# Reduction for over-depreciated assets (step D) administrative short cuts 

Description This example shows how the tax cost setting amount for over-depreciated assets may be calculated (step D of cost setting process) using either one of two administrative short cuts.

## Commentary

After the joining entity's allocable cost amount (ACA) is allocated among its reset cost base assets in proportion to their market values, and any necessary reductions are made for revenue-like assets (step C of cost setting process), a further reduction may be required for each over-depreciated asset (step D).

This further reduction is required where all of the following tests are satisfied for the particular asset:

- the asset is over-depreciated at the joining time
- the head company's tax cost setting amount (as calculated so far) is more than the joining entity's terminating value for the asset (its tax written down value at the joining time)
- the joining entity paid an unfranked or partly franked dividend during the period from when it acquired the asset to the joining time
- an amount representing the unfranked or partly franked dividend had not been further distributed as a dividend before the joining time to a recipient that was not entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate, and
- the dividends were paid out of profits that were sheltered from income tax, at least in part, by over-depreciation of the asset.

The amount of the reduction is the least of:

- the over-depreciation amount - this is the lesser of the excess of market value of an asset over its adjustable value just before the joining time (tax written down value at the joining time), and the excess of the asset's cost over its adjustable value at that time
- the amount of income that continues to be sheltered from tax, or
- the amount by which the tax cost setting amount would, apart from this provision, exceed the joining entity's terminating value of the asset.

This reduction prevents an increase in the adjustable value of a depreciating asset where there has been a tax deferral resulting from its over-depreciation. The potential for indefinite deferral arises where a company holds an overdepreciated asset at the joining time, and the income sheltered from tax by the over-depreciation was distributed as an unfranked dividend to a recipient who was entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate.

Constra ints on
use of the short cuts

A worked example showing how to calculate the over-depreciation adjustment for each asset is provided separately. $\rightarrow$ 'Reduction for over-depreciated assets (step D)', C 2-4-610

In many cases taxpayers will not have sufficient information available to work out the reduction for over-depreciation on an asset-by-asset basis or in strict accordance with section 705-50. In other cases, taxpayers may be able to work out the amount of reduction accurately, but with significant costs of compliance. For these reasons, the administrative short cut methods outlined below may be used to work out the reduction amounts for over-depreciated assets. These short cut methods give a reasonable approximation of the reduction required by section 705-50 and will be accepted by the Tax Office, subject to the constraints noted below.

These administrative short cut methods have been discussed in draft form with representatives of business and the accounting profession to ensure they achieve the legislation's policy objectives and also meet the needs of the user. If these short cut methods are not suitable for your circumstances and you would like to use another administrative approach, contact the Tax Office for guidance.

The administrative short cut methods are summarised in figure 1. The Tax Office plans to issue a practice statement to provide detailed guidance on the application of these methods.

While section 705-50 applies to all depreciating assets under the Uniform Capital Allowances regime in Division 40, these short cut methods are not currently available for the following types of depreciating assets:

- IRUs and submarine cable systems under former Division 44
- Spectrum licences under former Division 380
- D atacasting transmitter licences
- Software pools under former Division 46
- Water facilities under former Division 387
- Grapevines and horticultural plants under former Division 387
- Intellectual property under former Division 373
- Forestry roads and timber mill buildings under former Subdivision 387-G
- Mining expenditure that was deductible under former Division 330

However, the Tax Office is looking at whether it may be possible to extend the use of the short cuts, and in the event of this will issue further advice.

Figure 1: Summary of the over-depreciation short cut process

| 1 | AGGREG ATE MEIHOD | 2 | ANNUAL MEIHOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Determine the potential over-depreciation: <br> For all depreciating assets on hand at the joining time, total the excess of the BWDV (book written down value) over the TWDV (tax written down value). | 2A | Determine the potential over-depreciation: <br> For all depreciating assets on hand at the joining time, total the excess of the BWDV over the TWDV for each yearback to the dates of acquisition and work out the incremental increase of the excess each year. |
| 18 | Limit the amount of over-depreciation to the extent it could result in untaxed profits: <br> Multiply the result from 1A by $70 \%$. | 2B | Limit the amount of over-depreciation to the extent it could result in untaxed profits: <br> Multiply the results from 2A foreach year by $70 \%$. |
| 1 C | Reduce the potential over-depreciation adjustment for untaxed profits still in retained eamings at the joining time: <br> Reduce the result from 1B a bove by the a mount of IB $\times[a /(a+b+c)]$ where: <br> $a=$ unfrankable retained eamings at the joining time (excluding any ACA transitional step 3 a mount for the joining entity) <br> $\mathrm{b}=$ unfranked amount of dividends paid by the joining entity since 1.7.1987, and <br> $\mathrm{c}=$ the ACA transitional step 3 a mount for the joining entity. | 2 C | Reduce each year's potential over-depreciation adjustment for untaxed profits still in retained eamings at the joining time: <br> Reduce each year's result from $2 B$ above by the a mount of $2 B \times[d /(d+e+f)]$ where: <br> $d=$ unta xed profits of that year to the extent they are in unfrankable retained eamings at the joining time (excluding those profits that are in any ACA transitional step 3 a mount for the joining entity) <br> e = unfranked amount of dividends from untaxed profits of that year paid by the joining entity (or by a transferor under a Subdivision126-B rollover, to the extent they relate to over-depreciation of the rollover assets), and <br> $\mathrm{f}=$ untaxed profits of that year that are in the ACA transitional step 3 a mount for the joining entity. |
| 1D | Remove double counting of revenue tax losses: <br> Reduce the result from 1 C above to the extent that the over-depreciation resulted in any revenue tax losses in the ACA step 5 adjustment for the joining entity. |  | Other adjustments that may reduce the overdepreciation amount |
|  |  | 2D | Reduce each year's result from 2C above to the extent resulting unfranked dividends paid were preacquisition dividends in ACA step 4. |
| 1E | Limit adjustment to total unfranked dividends paid and transitional step 3 ACA amounts: <br> Reduce the result from 1D above to the extent that it exceeds the total of the following amounts: <br> (a) unfranked dividends paid by joining entity since 1.7.1987, and <br> (b) transitional step 3 unfranked profits. | 2 E | Reduce each year's result from 2D above to the extent that the over-depreciation resulted in any revenue tax losses in the ACA step 5 adjustment for the joining entity. |
|  |  | 2F | Reduce each year's result from 2E above to the extent that direct or indirect shareholders paid tax on the resulting unfranked dividends paid (excluding dividends that have resulted in a 2 D reduction) |
| $1 F$ | Estimate the over-depreciation reduction amount per asset <br> Allocate the result from 1E above between each asset that has been prima facie stepped up proportionately based on the amount of each asset's prima facie cost base step up (priorto the application of the over-depreciation adjustment). | 2G | Add each year's result from 2F above. |
|  |  | 2H | Limit adjustment to total unfranked dividends paid and transitional step 3 ACA amounts: <br> Reduce the result from 2G above to the extent that it exceeds the total of the following amounts: <br> (a) unfranked dividends paid by the joining entity (or by a transferor under a Subdivision 126-B rollover, to the extent they relate to over-depreciation of the rollover assets), and <br> (b) transitional step 3 unfranked profits. |
|  |  | 21 | Estimate the over-depreciation reduction amount per asset <br> Allocate the result from 2 H above to each asset proportionately based on each asset's excess of BWDV overTWDV. |

- 


## N otes to figure 1, Aggregate Method

This is the simplest method for calculating the over-depreciation reduction and will minimise the cost of compliance. Broadly, this approach compares book and tax written down values at the joining time and draws some conclusions as to how the difference has given rise to prior unfranked dividends or untaxed profits that are attributable to over-depreciation.

While less precise than the Annual Method, the Aggregate Method is still considered to provide a reasonable estimate of the over-depreciation adjustment. Taxpayers should note that a different result will arise under the Annual Method. This could be higher or lower than the result under the Aggregate Method.

Step 1A: In some cases taxpayers may have assets with book written down values (BWDV) less than their tax adjustable values (TWDV); for example, where there have been write-downs of depreciating assets for accounting purposes. Where the difference is significant, inclusion of those assets in step 1A could materially impact on the result. If an asset's adjustable value (its tax written down value) is more than $1 \%$ of the joining entity's ACA and its TWDV is greater than its BWDV, that asset should be excluded from the calculation.

Step 1C variable b: Taxpayers should not include pre-1 July 1987 dividends in the variable ' b ' amount. O rdinarily, those dividends pre-date the dividend imputation system, and taxpayers may be required to undertake a detailed analysis of those dividends to ascertain the extent to which they were paid out of untaxed profits. In the interests of minimising the costs of compliance, those dividends are not included in the Aggregate Method.

Step 1D: Where losses have been subtracted at step 5 in calculating the ACA they are not counted again in working out the reduction for over-depreciation. The relevant amount can be estimated by considering the ACA step 5 losses for each relevant year, and the difference between the total book and tax depreciation claim for that year. This information should be readily available in the joining entity's prior year income tax returns or working papers. Where only part of a loss for a year remains unused at the joining time, the component attributable to over-depreciation can be worked out by apportioning the remainder between over-depreciation and profits sheltered from tax for other reasons (e.g. R\&D) on a pro-rata basis.

Step $1 \mathbf{E}$ amount (a): If ascertainable, excluding any such dividends paid before the acquisition date of depreciating assets held at the joining time. To maintain consistency with step 1C, only dividends paid from 1 July 1987 should be counted.

Step 1F: In order to keep compliance costs to a minimum, the aggregate method uses a proportional allocation of the overall over-depreciation adjustment amount based on the initial cost base step-up.

## Notes to figure 1, Annual Method

This approach considers over-depreciation on a yearly basis, but again by reference only to assets on hand at the joining time. O ver-depreciation may have been recovered for assets sold before the joining time. In effect, this method 'reconstructs' the historical differences between book and tax depreciation. It also considers unfranked dividends and untaxed profits on a year by year basis.

This method also differs from the A ggregate Method in that it takes account of dividends paid out of pre-acquisition profits (step 2D). It also has regard to whether direct or indirect shareholders paid tax on unfranked dividends relating to over-depreciation (step 2F).

These additional steps mean that this method may more closely approximate the adjustment required by section 705-50.

Step 2A: In some cases taxpayers may have assets with book written down values (BWDV) less than their tax adjustable values (TWDV); for example, where there have been write-downs of depreciating assets for accounting purposes. Where the difference is significant, inclusion of those assets in step 2A could materially affect the result. If an asset's adjustable value (TWDV) is more than $1 \%$ of the joining entity's ACA and its TWDV is greater than its BWDV, that asset should be excluded from the calculation.

Tip: The easiest way to work out the annual amounts may be to import details of all depreciating assets, along with the book and tax W D V s, depreciation rates and methods into a spreadsheet. Then reconstruct annual book and tax depreciation for each asset for each year.

Note: Reconstruction of book and tax WDV for assets on hand at the joining time results in a reasonably accurate calculation of the total over-depreciation amount. However this requirement may give rise to a significant compliance burden. As an alternative, companies may base the step 2A amounts on the actual difference between book and tax WDV year by year. This alternative may have the effect of over-stating the over-depreciation for a year, because its use could involve counting the difference for assets held in that year but not held at the joining time. However, this would have a trade-off in reduced compliance costs.

Step 2B: The percentage of 70\% used here reflects the current general company tax rate. Even though different tax rates may have applied in the years leading up to the joining time, restatement of future tax liabilities at the new rates will release (or draw) profits such that the amount available for distribution will be aligned with the tax rate at the joining time.

Taxpayers may use a percentage based on the tax rate applicable for a particular year in this step, instead of using 70\%, provided adjustments are made to the potential over-depreciation figure to reflect the impact of changes in the tax rate on the deferred (or future) tax liability account and the consequential change to distributable profits in the year the tax rate changed.

Step 2C variable e: Variable ' $e$ ' in the formula could potentially include pre1 July 1987 dividends, which pre-date the dividend imputation system. The Tax Office is formulating an interpretative position as to whether those dividends should be counted, and will issue a tax determination as soon as possible. Given the removal of the inter-corporate dividend rebate for non-group public company shareholders from 1 July 2000, dividends after 30 June 2000 should not be counted in variable ' $e$ ' where the public company examined is not a wholly-owned subsidiary of another resident public company.

Variable 'e' should also include unfranked dividends paid by a transferor of a depreciating asset under a Subdivision 126-B rollover, to the extent the dividend was paid out of profits of the transferor that were sheltered from tax by over-depreciation of the transferred asset. If the annual method is also used to work out the over-depreciation adjustment for depreciating assets still held by the transferor when it joins the consolidated group, dividends related to rollover assets counted at variable 'e' in step 2C in the transferee's calculation should not be counted in steps 2C and 2H of the transferor's calculation. This will prevent double counting of those dividends.

Step 2D: To the extent that dividends paid out of profits sheltered from income tax because of over-depreciation have been subtracted at step 4 in calculating the ACA, they are not counted again in working out the reduction for over-depreciation. This amount should be subtracted at step 2D of the short cut process.

Step 2E: This is the same process as in step 1D.
Step 2F: Where unfranked dividends from profits sheltered from tax by overdepreciation have been paid as (or used to pay) unfranked dividends by a public company, it may not be possible for the consolidated group to work out the extent to which those dividends have ultimately reached the hands of recipients not entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate. Such a tracing exercise would also involve significant costs.

In those cases, an analysis of the public company's share register should be undertaken to estimate the breakdown between those shares for which it is clear the shareholder would not be entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate (e.g. an individual or non-resident), and those for which it is unclear who may be the ultimate recipient (e.g. a nominee). A methodology for estimating the step 2 F amount, without the need for tracing, is explained in example 3.

Where a taxpayer is able to demonstrate that a higher percentage of dividends ultimately reaches beneficial shareholders who not entitled to the intercorporate dividend rebate, that higher percentage may be used at step 2 F .

Step 2H amount (a): If ascertainable, excluding any such dividends paid before the acquisition date of depreciating assets held at the joining time. However, dividends paid by a transferor of an asset subject to a rollover under

Subdivision 126-B that relate to over-depreciation of the asset in the hands of the transferor should be included.

If the annual method is also used to work out the over-depreciation adjustment for depreciating assets still held by the transferor when it joins the consolidated group, dividends related to rollover assets counted at variable 'e' in step 2C in the transferee's calculation should not be counted in steps 2 C and 2 H of the transferor's calculation. This will prevent double counting of those dividends.

Given the removal of the inter-corporate dividend rebate for non-group public company shareholders from 1 July 2000, dividends after 30 June 2000 should not be counted in amount ' $a$ ' where the public company examined is not a wholly-owned subsidiary of another resident public company.

Step 2I: The Annual Method uses a proportional allocation of the overall over-depreciation adjustment amount based on the difference between the book written down value (BWDV) and the tax adjustable value or written down value (TWDV). This is different to the allocation under the Aggregate Method, and more closely approximates the methodology required by section 705-50.

## Example 1Aggregate Method

Facts Sub Co was incorporated by Hold Co on 1 July 1995. Hold Co elects to form a consolidated group on 1 July 2002. Sub Co’s financial position is as follows:

Table 1: Sub Co - financial position at 30 J une 2002

| Cash | 13,144 | Capital | 50,000 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Stock on hand | 6,693 | Reta ined eamings (loss) | $(298)$ |
| Deprec iating assets | 9,520 | Asset revaluation reserve | 1,350 |
| Other assets | 23,850 | Provision for long service | 1,393 |
| Future tax asset | 418 | Future tax liability | 1,180 |
|  |  | Provision for income tax | 0 |
|  |  |  | 53,625 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Sub Co's franking account has a credit balance on 20 June 2002 of $\$ 56$. After adjusting for hypothetical payments etc. under subsection 705-90(4), the hypothetical balance is $\$ 56$.

Sub Co's depreciation schedules for the year ending 30 June 2002 are shown in tables 2 and 3. In this case the market values (MV) of depreciating assets are equal to their book values at the joining time.

Sub Co incurs a tax loss of $\$ 640$ in the year ending 30 June 2002. Tax deductions related to over-depreciation and R\&D for that year are \$378 and
$\$ 26$ respectively. As the total loss exceeds these tax deductions, \$378 of the loss is treated as being attributable to deductions related to over-depreciation. But for the loss incurred in the year ending 30 June 2002, Sub Co would have had $\$ 99$ in undistributed profits accrued to the head company able to be counted in ACA step 3.

Before the consolidated group was formed, Sub Co paid a total of \$1,135 in unfranked dividends. These were partly attributable to profits sheltered from tax by over-depreciation and partly attributable to profits sheltered from tax by concessional deductions for research and development expenditure.

Table 2: Accounting deprec iation schedule for year ending 30 J une 2002

| Asset | Cost | Opening <br> WDV | Method | Life <br> (years) | Rate <br> $\%$ | Depreciation | Closing <br> WDV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset 1 | 1,100 | 732 | PC | 15 | 6.7 | 74 | 658 |
| Asset 2 | 1,200 | 480 | PC | 10 | 10 | 120 | 360 |
| Asset 3 | 1,300 | 1,040 | PC | 20 | 5 | 65 | 975 |
| Asset 4 | 1,400 | 980 | PC | 10 | 10 | 140 | 840 |
| Asset 5 | 1,500 | 1,283 | DV | 20 | 7.5 | 96 | 1,187 |
| Asset 6 | 1,600 | 1,493 | PC | 15 | 6.7 | 107 | 1,386 |
| Asset 7 | 1,700 | 1,700 | DV | 5 | 30 | 510 | 1,190 |
| Asset 8 | 1,800 | 781 | DV | 12 | 13 | 101 | 679 |
| Asset 9 | 1,900 | 1,487 | DV | 40 | 4 | 59 | 1,428 |
| Asset 10 | 2,000 | 929 | DV | 8 | 12 | 111 | 817 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 0 , 9 0 4}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 2 0}$ |

Table 3: Inc ome tax depreciation schedule for year ending 30 J une 2002

| Asset | Cost | Opening <br> WDV | Method | Life <br> (years) | Rate <br> $\%$ | Depreciation | Closing <br> WDV |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset 1 | 1,100 | 385 | PC | 15 | 13 | 143 | 242 |
| Asset 2 | 1,200 | 0 | PC | 10 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Asset 3 | 1,300 | 624 | PC | 20 | 13 | 169 | 455 |
| Asset 4 | 1,400 | 686 | PC | 10 | 17 | 238 | 448 |
| Asset 5 | 1,500 | 1,110 | PC | 20 | 13 | 195 | 915 |
| Asset 6 | 1,600 | 1,280 | DV | 15 | 20 | 256 | 1,024 |
| Asset 7 | 1,700 | 1,700 | DV | 5 | 30 | 510 | 1,190 |
| Asset 8 | 1,800 | 320 | DV | 12 | 25 | 80 | 240 |
| Asset 9 | 1,900 | 1,010 | DV | 40 | 10 | 101 | 909 |
| Asset 10 | 2,000 | 235 | DV | 8 | 30 | 71 | 164 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 , 3 5 0}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 7}$ |

## Calculation Worksheet 1: ACA calculation

| Step1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost base (CB) of membership interests (indexed) |  | 52,450 |  |
| Reduced cost base (RCB) of membership interests |  | 50,000 |  |
| Market value (MV) of membership interests |  | 56,552 |  |
| MV exceeds $C B$, so use CB |  |  | 52,450 |
| Step 2 |  |  |  |
| Add: Lia bilities |  |  |  |
| Provision forlong service leave (note 1) |  | 975 |  |
| Provision for income tax |  | 0 |  |
| Future tax liability (note 2) |  | 716 | 1,691 |
| Result after step 2 |  |  | 54,141 |
| Step 3 |  |  |  |
| Add: Frankable undistributed owned profits | 0 |  |  |
| Transitional rule for transitio nal entity |  |  |  |
| Add: Unfrankable undistributed owned profits | 0 | 0 |  |
| Less: extent these profits recouped owned losses |  | 0 | 0 |
| Result after step 3 |  |  | 54,141 |
| Step 3A |  |  |  |
| Adjust for Subdivision 126-B rollovers by non-resident | NA |  | 0 |
| Result after step 3A |  |  | 54,141 |
| Extra step on formation only |  |  |  |
| Adjust for Subdivision 126-B rollovers by head company | NA |  | 0 |
| Result after formation rollover adjustment |  |  | 54,141 |
| Step 4 |  |  |  |
| Subtract: distributions of ac quired profits |  | 0 |  |
| Distributions of owned profits recouping owned loss |  | 0 | 0 |
| Result after step 4 |  |  | 54,141 |
| Step 5 |  |  |  |
| Subtract: owned unused losses |  | 640 |  |
| Exclude to extent step 3 amount reduced |  | 99 | 541 |
| Result after step 5 |  |  | 53,600 |
| Step 6 |  |  |  |
| Subtract: tax benefit from acquired transferred losses (acquired transferred lossesx general company tax rate) | x 30\% | 0 | 0 |
| Result after step 6 |  |  | 53,600 |
| Step 7 |  |  |  |
| Subtract certain inherited deductions | NA |  | 0 |
| Result afterstep 7 is the ACA |  |  | 53,600 |

Note 1. Accounting value of the long senvice leave provision requires adjustment under section 705-75 and section 705-80. It is a ssumed the a mount after such adjustments is as shown.

Note 2. The future tax liability to be camied by the head company in respect of depreciating assets is counted at step 2, under subsection 705-70(1A). It is a ssumed the amount is as shown.

Note 3. Step 5 excludes losses accrued to the group to the extent that they have reduced the accounting profits available for distribution: subsection 705-100(2). The balance of retained eamings prior to the year commencing 1 J uly 2001 was $\$ 99$. This a mount would have been counted at step 3 as it would have been payable as a fully franked dividend, but for the loss made in the 2001-02 year. The step 5 a mount is reduced accordingly.

## Retained cost base assets

Cash $(\$ 13,144)$ and trading stock $(\$ 6,693)$ retain their existing tax values. In this example Sub Co is a continuing majority owned entity, so items of trading stock are treated as retained cost base assets.

The remainder of the ACA after setting the tax cost of retained cost base assets is $\$ 33,763$. This is allocated among the reset cost base assets (table 4). The tax cost setting amount (TCSA) for revenue-like assets, such as depreciating assets, is limited to the greater of their market value or terminating value (i.e. tax adjustable value at the joining time). Assets 11 to 19 are not revenue-like assets.

Table 4: First c ut apportionment to reset cost base assets

| Asset | Cost (\$) | Terminating value (\$) | Market value (\$) | Apportionment | Tax cost setting amount before reduction (\$) | Section <br> 705-40 max. amount (\$) | Excess for revenue -like assets (\$) | Tax cost setting amount after reduction (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciating assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1,100 | 242 | 658 | 33,763 x 658/38,870 | 571 | 658 | 0 | 571 |
| 2 | 1,200 | 0 | 360 | $33,763 \times 360 / 38,870$ | 313 | 360 | 0 | 313 |
| 3 | 1,300 | 455 | 975 | $33,763 \times 975 / 38,870$ | 847 | 975 | 0 | 847 |
| 4 | 1,400 | 448 | 840 | $33,763 \times 840 / 38,870$ | 730 | 840 | 0 | 730 |
| 5 | 1,500 | 915 | 1,187 | $33,763 \times 1,187 / 38,870$ | 1,031 | 1,187 | 0 | 1,031 |
| 6 | 1,600 | 1,024 | 1,386 | $33,763 \times 1,386 / 38,870$ | 1,204 | 1,386 | 0 | 1,204 |
| 7 | 1,700 | 1,190 | 1,190 | $33,763 \times 1,190 / 38,870$ | 1,034 | 1,190 | 0 | 1,034 |
| 8 | 1,800 | 240 | 679 | $33,763 \times 679 / 38,870$ | 590 | 679 | 0 | 590 |
| 9 | 1,900 | 909 | 1,428 | $33,763 \times 1,428 / 38,870$ | 1,240 | 1,428 | 0 | 1,240 |
| Non-depreciating assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2,000 | 164 | 817 | $33,763 \times 817 / 38,870$ | 710 | 817 | 0 | 710 |
| 11 | 2,100 | 2,204 | 2,210 | $33,763 \times 2,210 / 38,870$ | 1,920 | - | - | 1,920 |
| 12 | 2,200 | 2,309 | 2,320 | $33,763 \times 2,320 / 38,870$ | 2,015 | - | - | 2,015 |
| 13 | 2,300 | 2,413 | 2,430 | $33,763 \times 2,430 / 38,870$ | 2,111 | - | - | 2,111 |
| 14 | 2,400 | 2,518 | 2,540 | $33,763 \times 2,540 / 38,870$ | 2,206 | - | - | 2,206 |
| 15 | 2,500 | 2,623 | 2,550 | $33,763 \times 2,550 / 38,870$ | 2,215 | - | - | 2,215 |
| 16 | 2,600 | 2,728 | 2,600 | $33,763 \times 2,600 / 38,870$ | 2,258 | - | - | 2,258 |
| 17 | 2,700 | 2,833 | 2,500 | $33,763 \times 2,500 / 38,870$ | 2,172 | - | - | 2,172 |
| 18 | 2,800 | 2,938 | 2,450 | $33,763 \times 2,450 / 38,870$ | 2,128 | - | - | 2,128 |
| 19 | 2,900 | 3,043 | 2,750 | $33,763 \times 2,750 / 38,870$ | 2,389 | - | - | 2,389 |
| Good -will | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 33,763 $\times 7,000 / 38,870$ | 6,080 | - | - | 6,080 |
| Total |  |  | 38,870 |  | 33,763 |  |  | 33,763 |

Table 5: Adjustment for over-depreciation using the Aggregate MethodStep 1A. Potential for over-depreciation
Total book written down value (BWDV) ..... 9,520
Less: total tax written down values (TWDV) ..... 5,588
Result of step 1A ..... 3,932
Step 1B. Limit 1A to extent it could result in untaxed profits
Result of step 1B (Result of 1A x 70\%, i.e. 3,932 x 70\%) ..... 2,752
Step 1C. Reduce potential for untaxed profits still in retained eamings
Subtract $1 B \times a /(a+b+c)$ from result of step $1 B$, where:
a =unfrankable retained eamings (excluding transitional step 3) ..... 0
b = unfranked amount of prior dividends paid since 1987 ..... 1,135
$\mathrm{c}=$ transitional additional step 3 ACA amount ..... 0
$[2,752 \times(0 / 0+1,135+0)=0]$ ..... 0
Result of step 1C ..... 2,752
Step 1D. Remove double counting for unused losses
Unused loss of \$640 for the 2001-02 year
\$378 relates to over-depreciation ..... 378
Result of step 1D ..... 2,374
Step 1E Limit to unfranked dividends plus transitional step 3 ACA
Total unfranked dividends since 1987 ..... 1,135
Add: transitional step 3 ACA amount ..... 0Result of step 1E1,135

Step 1F: The amount at step 1E is allocated in table 6 to each of the depreciating assets on a pro-rata basis according to the potential step-up of tax value.

Table 6: Alloc ation of over-deprec iation aggregate adjustment

| Asset | TVDV (AV) | TCSA <br> (Table 4) | Potential <br> step up | Pro-rata according to <br> potential step up | Over <br> depreciation <br> adjustment | New <br> TCSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 242 | 571 | 329 | $1,135 \times 329 / 2,839$ | 132 | 439 |
| 2 | 0 | 313 | 313 | $1,135 \times 313 / 2,839$ | 125 | 188 |
| 3 | 455 | 847 | 392 | $1,135 \times 392 / 2,839$ | 157 | 690 |
| 4 | 448 | 730 | 282 | $1,135 \times 282 / 2,839$ | 113 | 617 |
| 5 | 915 | 1,031 | 116 | $1,135 \times 116 / 2,839$ | 46 | 985 |
| 6 | 1,024 | 1,204 | 180 | $1,135 \times 180 / 2,839$ | 72 | 1,132 |
| 7 | 1,190 | 1,034 | 0 | $1,135 \times 0 / 2,839$ | 0 | 1,034 |
| 8 | 240 | 590 | 350 | $1,135 \times 350 / 2,839$ | 140 | 450 |
| 9 | 909 | 1,240 | 331 | $1,135 \times 331 / 2,839$ | 133 | 1,107 |
| 10 | 164 | 710 | 546 | $1,135 \times 546 / 2,839$ | 217 | 493 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 5}$ |  |

Note that the over-depreciation adjustment must not reduce the TCSA below the depreciating asset's tax written down value (i.e. its adjustable value) at the joining time.

## Example 2-

Annual
Method
Facts The facts are the same as for example 1. The taxpayer wishes to use the Annual Method outlined in figure 1 to estimate the total amount of reduction for overdepreciation.

Table 7: Known data

| Asset | Cost <br> $\mathbf{\$}$ | Tax <br> method | Tax <br> depreciation <br> rate (\%) | Tax <br> depreciation <br> y/e $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | TWDV <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | Book <br> Book <br> method | Book <br> depreciation <br> rate (\%) | depreciation <br> y/e 30.6.02 | BWDV <br> $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1,100 | PC | 13 | 143 | 242 | PC | 6.7 | 74 | 658 |
| 2 | 1,200 | PC | 17 | 0 | 0 | PC | 10 | 120 | 360 |
| 3 | 1,300 | PC | 13 | 169 | 455 | PC | 5 | 65 | 975 |
| 4 | 1,400 | PC | 17 | 238 | 448 | PC | 10 | 140 | 840 |
| 5 | 1,500 | PC | 13 | 195 | 915 | DVM | 7.5 | 96 | 1,187 |
| 6 | 1,600 | DV | 20 | 256 | 1,024 | PC | 6.7 | 107 | 1,386 |
| 7 | 1,700 | DV | 30 | 510 | 1,190 | DVM | 30 | 510 | 1,190 |
| 8 | 1,800 | DV | 25 | 80 | 240 | DVM | 13 | 101 | 679 |
| 9 | 1,900 | DV | 10 | 101 | 909 | DVM | 4 | 59 | 1,428 |
| 10 | 2,000 | DV | 30 | 71 | 165 | DVM | 12 | 111 | 817 |

Table 8: Calculating TWDV extrapolating backwards to acquisition time

| Asset | Cost | TWDV 30.6.02 | TWDVs calc ulated for these dates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 30.6.01 | 30.6.00 | 30.6.99 | 30.6.98 | 30.6.97 | 30.6.96 | 1.7 .95 |
| 1 | 1,100 | 242 | 385 | 528 | 671 | 814 | 957 | 1,100 |  |
| 2 | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1,300 | 455 | 624 | 793 | 962 | 1,131 | 1,300 |  |  |
| 4 | 1,400 | 448 | 686 | 924 | 1,162 | 1,400 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,500 | 915 | 1,110 | 1,305 | 1,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,600 | 1,024 | 1,280 | 1,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,700 | 1,190 | 1,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1,800 | 240 | 320 | 427 | 569 | 759 | 1,013 | 1,350 | 1,800 |
| 9 | 1,900 | 909 | 1,010 | 1,122 | 1,247 | 1,385 | 1,539 | 1,710 | 1,900 |
| 10 | 2,000 | 165 | 235 | 336 | 480 | 686 | 980 | 1,400 | 2,000 |
| Total |  | 5,588 | 7,350 | 7,035 | 6,591 | 6,175 | 5,789 | 5,560 | 5,700 |

Assets 1 to 5 were depreciated for tax purposes using the prime cost (PC) method. The TWDVs as at 30.6 .01 were calculated by simply adding back the annual depreciation amount to the TWDV at 30.6.02. This method was used for each asset until its cost was reached. Note that no amount has been
calculated for asset 2, as this asset had been written off for tax purposes before the joining time. Further work is necessary to work out the TWDVs for asset 2.

Assets 6 to 10 were depreciated using the diminishing value (DV) method. Asset 6's TWDV as at 30.6 .01 was worked out by multiplying the TWDV at 30.6.02 by 100/ 80 . The figure of 80 is 100 minus the depreciation rate of $20 \%$ - i.e. $\$ 1,024 \times 100 / 80=\$ 1,280$. For the next year back, the TWDV was worked out at $\$ 1,280 \times 100 / 80$. This method was used for each asset until its cost was reached.

Table 9: Calc ulating BWDV extrapolating backwards to acquisition time

|  | BWDV | BWDVs calculated for these dates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 . 9 5}$ |
| 1 | 658 | 732 | 805 | 879 | 953 | 1,026 | 1,100 |  |
| 2 | 360 | 480 | 600 | 720 | 840 | 960 | 1,080 | 1,200 |
| 3 | 975 | 1,040 | 1,105 | 1,170 | 1,235 | 1,300 |  |  |
| 4 | 840 | 980 | 1,120 | 1,260 | 1,400 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1,187 | 1,283 | 1,388 | 1,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,386 | 1,493 | 1,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,190 | 1,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 679 | 781 | 897 | 1,031 | 1,185 | 1,362 | 1,566 | 1,800 |
| 9 | 1,428 | 1,487 | 1,549 | 1,614 | 1,681 | 1,751 | 1,824 | 1,900 |
| 10 | 817 | 929 | 1,055 | 1,199 | 1,363 | 1,549 | 1,760 | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 9 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 9 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 3 0}$ |  |

Table 9 uses the same methods used in table 8 to calculate the BWDVs.
Table 9 shows asset 2 was acquired on 1.7.95. We can now go back and work out the TWDV sor that asset, working forward from the acquisition time calculated in table 9.

Table 10: Calculating TWDV for asset 2 and adding to totals for table 8

| Asset | Cost | TWDV 30.6.02 | TWDVs calc ulated for these dates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 30.6.01 | 30.6.00 | 30.6.99 | 30.6.98 | 30.6.97 | 30.6.96 | 1.7.95 |
| 2 | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 384 | 588 | 792 | 996 | 1,200 |
| Sub- <br> total <br> from <br> table <br> 8 |  | 5,588 | 7,350 | 7,035 | 6,591 | 6,175 | 5,789 | 5,560 |  |
| Total |  | 5,588 | 7,350 | 7,215 | 6,975 | 6,763 | 6,581 | 6,556 |  |

Table 11: Step 2A - Incremental inc rease in excess of book and tax written down values

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BWDV from <br> table 9 | 9,520 | 10,904 | 10,120 | 9,373 | 8,657 | 7,949 | $\mathbf{7 , 3 3 0}$ |  |
| TWDVs from <br> table 10 | 5,588 | 7,350 | 7,215 | 6,975 | 6,763 | 6,581 | 6,556 |  |
| Excess | 3,932 | 3,554 | 2,905 | 2,398 | 1,893 | 1,368 | 774 |  |
| Incremental <br> increase | 378 | 649 | 507 | 505 | 525 | 594 | 774 | 3,932 |

Table 12: Step 2B - Limit to extent it c ould result in untaxed profits

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Result after <br> step 2A | 378 | 649 | 507 | 505 | 525 | 594 | 774 |
| 70\% = result <br> after step 2B | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |

Table 13: Step 2C - Reduction for certain retained eamings

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Result after <br> step 2B | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |
| table 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 0 | 218 | 264 | 137 | 355 | 161 |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2B x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| [d/(d+e+f)] | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |
| Result after <br> step 2C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

There were no undistributed profits at the joining time, so no amounts for 'd' and ' f ' in the formula in table 13. Accordingly there is no adjustment for step 2 C . The amounts for 'e' are based on an analysis of the unfranked dividends paid and summarised in table 14.

Table 14: Summary of dividends paid before the joining time

|  | Dividend paid |  |  | Out of this year's profits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Franked \$ | Unfranked \$ |  | Yearended | Taxed \$ | Untaxed \$ |
| 1.12 .96 | 2,039 | 161 |  | 30.6 .96 | 2,039 | 161 |
| 1.12 .97 | 2,145 | 355 |  | 30.6 .97 | 2,145 | 355 |
| 1.12 .98 | 2,663 | 137 |  | 30.6 .98 | 2,663 | 137 |
| 1.12 .99 | 2,136 | 264 |  | 30.6 .99 | 2,136 | 264 |
| 1.12 .00 | 2,282 | 218 |  | 30.6 .00 | 2,282 | 218 |
| 1.12 .01 | 1,600 | 0 |  | 30.6 .01 | 1,600 | 0 |

Table 15: Step 2D - Reduc tion for step 4 ACA amount attributable to over-depreciation

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Result after <br> step 2C | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |
| Step 4 ACA <br> istributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| attributable <br> to over- <br> depreciation <br> Result after <br> step 2D | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |

There were no distributions of profits subtracted at ACA step 4.

Table 16: Step 2E-Reduction for step 5 ACA amount attributable to over-depreciation

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Result after <br> step 2D | 265 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |
| Step 5 ACA <br> losses | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| attributable <br> to over- <br> depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Result after <br> step 2E | 0 | 454 | 355 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |

Table 17: Step 2F - Reduction for distributions to individuals etc. not entitled to inter-comorate dividend rebate

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 . 9 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Result after <br> step 2E | 0 | 455 | 354 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |
| Distributions <br> traced to <br> individuals <br> etc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Result after <br> step 2F | 0 | 455 | 354 | 353 | 368 | 416 | 542 |

All of the unfranked rebatable dividends were retained by the head company in this example.

Step 2G totals the year-by-year results after step 2F, i.e. \$2,488.

Table 18: Step 2H - Limit to unfranked dividends plus transitional step 3 ACA

| Total unfranked dividendspaid | 1,135 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Add: transitional step 3 ACA a mount | 0 |  |
| Result of step 2H |  | 1,135 |

The maximum adjustment for over-depreciation is limited to the step 2 H amount of $\$ 1,135$. This amount is allocated to the depreciating assets in table 19.

Table 19: Step 2l-Alloc ation of over-depreciation total adjustment under Annual Method

| Asset | TWDV <br> (AV) | BWDV | Excess of <br> BWDV over <br> TWDV | Pro-rata according to <br> excess of BWDV over <br> TWDV | Over- <br> depreciation <br> adjustment | TCSA <br> (Table 4) | New <br> TCSA |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 242 | 658 | 416 | $1,135 \times 416 / 3,932$ | 120 | 571 | 451 |
| 2 | 0 | 360 | 360 | $1,135 \times 360 / 3,932$ | 104 | 313 | 209 |
| 3 | 455 | 975 | 520 | $1,135 \times 520 / 3,932$ | 150 | 847 | 697 |
| 4 | 448 | 840 | 392 | $1,135 \times 392 / 3,932$ | 113 | 730 | 616 |
| 5 | 915 | 1,187 | 272 | $1,135 \times 272 / 3,932$ | 79 | 1,031 | 953 |
| 6 | 1,024 | 1,386 | 362 | $1,135 \times 362 / 3,932$ | 104 | 1,204 | 1,099 |
| 7 | 1,190 | 1,190 | 0 | $1,135 \times 0 / 3,932$ | 0 | 1,034 | 1,034 |
| 8 | 240 | 679 | 439 | $1,135 \times 439 / 3,932$ | 127 | 590 | 463 |
| 9 | 909 | 1,428 | 519 | $1,135 \times 519 / 3,932$ | 150 | 1,240 | 1,090 |
| 10 | 165 | 817 | 652 | $1,135 \times 652 / 3,932$ | 188 | 710 | 522 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 3 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 5}$ |  |

Note that the over-depreciation adjustment must not reduce the TCSA below the depreciating asset's tax written down value (i.e. its adjustable value) at the joining time.

The method of apportionment in table 19 is different to that used in table 6, as the A nnual Method provides more detailed information, allowing a better estimate of the reduction amount than is afforded by the Aggregate Method.

Example 3 Estimating the proportion of unfranked dividends paid by listed public companies that reach entities not entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate

For the purpose of working out the amount to be excluded at step 2 F of the short cut method for calculating the over-depreciation reduction amount, the Tax Office will accept an estimate worked out as follows:

1. Examine the largest 20 shareholders named in the public company's annual report, determine the category (in table 20) that each falls into and apply the proportions set out in the table to the share percentage held by each of these top 20 shareholders to arrive at a ratio for the total shareholding of the top 20.
2. Apply the ratio worked out in step 1 for the top 20 shareholders to the remaining shareholders to arrive at an estimated breakdown between entitled and not entitled for those remaining shareholders.
3. Add step 1 and step 2 amounts.

Table 20: Ratios for different shareholder categories for use in determining proportions of unfranked dividends to be treated as reaching entities that are and are not entitled to the intercoporate dividend rebate

| Shareholderentity category | Proportion of dividends paid to this <br> category of entity treated as <br> ultimately reaching recipients that <br> are entitled to the inter-coporate <br> dividend rebate | Proportion of dividends paid to this <br> category of entity treated as <br> ultimately reaching recipients that <br> are not entited to the inter-comorate <br> dividend rebate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public company | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Life insurance compa ny | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Comorate unit trust | $15 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Public trading trust | $15 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Other trusts | $15 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Superannuation fund | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Private company | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Nominee | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Individual | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Non-resident | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Exempt body | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The final percentage for all shareholders in the 'not entitled' category after step 3 is treated as being the proportion of unfranked dividends that ultimately reached beneficial owners not entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate. The balance is treated as the proportion not reaching such beneficial owners.

The results under this method for a sample financial year may be used for other years, provided there has been no significant change in the shareholder mix. Where there has been a significant change, sampling will be necessary either side of the period of abnormal trading to ensure the samples are more representative of the mix of shareholder categories for those years.

The proportion of life companies, other public companies, trusts, and nominees treated as being entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate has been based on statistical analysis of shareholder types, retention of profits rates, and varying entitlements to the rebate depending on the type of entity involved.

Where a taxpayer is able to demonstrate that a higher percentage of dividends ultimately reaches beneficial shareholders who are not entitled to the intercorporate dividend rebate, that higher percentage may be used at step 2 F .

Note: This method for estimating the step 2F amount is only available for dividends paid by listed public companies.

Facts Company X is a listed public company. It elects to consolidate, with S Co as one of its wholly owned subsidiaries. It received unfranked rebatable dividends from S Co before consolidation, attributable to profits made by S Co that were sheltered from tax by over-depreciation. Company X used these funds to pay unfranked dividends. Company X is unable to work out precisely the extent to which these dividends reached beneficial owners not entitled to the intercorporate dividend rebate. This is due primarily to large shareholdings by nominees. Company X's largest 20 shareholders are listed in table 21. Shareholder 5 is a life insurance company.

Calculation The shareholders are first categorised according to entitlement to the intercorporate dividend rebate (table 21).

Table 21: Estimating the amount to be subtracted under step 2F

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Entitlement to inter- } \\ \text { comorate dividend } \\ \text { rebate }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio of |  |  |  |  |$]$

Shareholdings have been split between the entitled and not entitled categories in the proportions stated above. After this adjustment, the top 20 (31.6\%) consists of $7.23 \%$ entitled to the inter-corporate dividend rebate under section 46 or section 46A of the ITAA 1936, and 24.37\% not entitled to the rebate. The same proportions are applied to the remaining shareholders to get proportions of entitled (22.88\%), and not entitled (77.12\%). Unfranked dividends in this latter category are not counted in the tax deferral amount for over-depreciation under step 2F.
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