



Product Ruling

Income tax: National Viticultural Fund of Australia Project No. 4 (1 November 2005 to 31 May 2006 Growers)

Contents	Para
----------	------

LEGALLY BINDING SECTION:	
---------------------------------	--

What this Ruling is about	1
----------------------------------	----------

Date of Effect	9
-----------------------	----------

Ruling	11
---------------	-----------

Scheme	16
---------------	-----------

NOT LEGALLY BINDING SECTION:	
-------------------------------------	--

Appendix 1:	
--------------------	--

Explanation	19
--------------------	-----------

Appendix 2:	
--------------------	--

Detailed contents list	28
-------------------------------	-----------

❶ This publication provides you with the following level of protection:

This publication (excluding appendixes) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

A public ruling is an expression of the Commissioner's opinion about the way in which a relevant provision applies, or would apply, to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes.

If you rely on this ruling, the Commissioner must apply the law to you in the way set out in the ruling (unless the Commissioner is satisfied that the ruling is incorrect and disadvantages you, in which case the law may be applied to you in a way that is more favourable for you – provided the Commissioner is not prevented from doing so by a time limit imposed by the law). You will be protected from having to pay any underpaid tax, penalty or interest in respect of the matters covered by this ruling if it turns out that it does not correctly state how the relevant provision applies to you.

No guarantee of commercial success

The Commissioner **does not** sanction or guarantee this product. Further, the Commissioner gives no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. The Commissioner recommends a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for participants by confirming that the tax benefits set out in the **Ruling** part of this document are available, **provided that** the scheme is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given, and have described below in the **Scheme** part of this document. If the scheme is not carried out as described, participants lose the protection of this Product Ruling.

Terms of use of this Product Ruling

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the entity or the entities that applied for the Product Ruling, and their associates, will abide by its strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Product Ruling.

What this Product Ruling is about

1. This Product Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the relevant provisions identified in the Ruling section (below) apply to the defined class of entities, who take part in the scheme to which this Ruling relates. All legislative references in this Product Ruling are to the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) unless otherwise indicated.
2. In this Product Ruling this scheme is referred to as the National Viticultural Fund of Australia Project No. 4 (1 November 2005 to 31 May 2006 Growers) or simply as 'the Project'.

Class of entities

3. This part of the Product Ruling specifies which entities can rely on the tax benefits set out in the Ruling section of this Product Ruling and which entities cannot rely on those tax benefits. In this Product Ruling, those entities that can rely on the tax benefits set out in this Ruling are referred to as Growers.
4. The class of entities who can rely on those tax benefits consists of those entities who were specifically identified in paragraph 49 of Product Ruling PR 2005/73 and who entered into the specific scheme that is set out in paragraphs 14 to 48 of that Ruling on or after 1 November 2005 and on or before 31 May 2006.
5. The class of entities who can rely on the tax benefits set out in the Ruling section of this Product Ruling does **not** include entities who:
 - intend to terminate their involvement in the scheme prior to its completion, or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it;
 - were accepted into this Project before 1 November 2005 or after 31 May 2006; or
 - have participated in the scheme through offers made other than through the Product Disclosure Statement.

Qualifications

6. The class of entities defined in this Product Ruling may rely on its contents provided the scheme actually carried out is carried out in accordance with the scheme described in paragraphs 14 to 48 of this PR 2005/73.

7. If the scheme actually carried out is materially different from the scheme that is described in this Product Ruling, then:

- this Product Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner because the scheme entered into is not the scheme on which the Commissioner has ruled; and
- this Product Ruling may be withdrawn or modified.

8. This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

Commonwealth Copyright Administration
Copyright Law Branch
Attorney-General's Department
National Circuit
Barton ACT 2600

or posted at: <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

Date of effect

9. This Product Ruling applies only to the specified class of entities that entered into the scheme set out in paragraphs 14 to 48 of PR 2005/73 on or after 1 November 2005 and on or before 31 May 2006.

10. However the Product Ruling only applies to the extent that there is no change in the scheme or in the entity's involvement in the scheme.

Ruling

Application of this Ruling

Continuing application of PR 2005/73

11. Although now withdrawn, the tax benefits set out in Product Ruling PR 2005/73 continue to apply to participants who are within the specified class of persons to which the Ruling applied and who entered into the specified arrangement on or after 1 November 2005 and on or before 31 May 2006. This is subject to there being no material difference in the scheme or in the entities involvement in the scheme.

Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – exercise of Commissioner’s discretion

2009-10 to 2010-11 income years

12. For the 2009-10 to 2010-11 income years, the Commissioner will exercise the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) once the following conditions are satisfied:

- the Grower carried on their business of viticulture during the income year;
- the business activity that is carried on is not materially different to that in the scheme described in this Ruling; and
- the Grower has incurred a taxation loss for the income year from carrying on that business activity.

13. If these conditions are met for the 2009-10 to 2010-11 income years, the Commissioner will exercise the discretion for that year under:

- Paragraph 35-55(1)(b) for a Grower in the Project who satisfies the income requirement in subsection 35-10(2E); and
- Paragraph 35-55(1)(c) for a Grower in the Project who does not satisfy the income requirement in subsection 35-10(2E).

14. If the Commissioner determines that the discretion will not be exercised for a particular year or years the Grower will be informed of that decision and the reasons. In any year where the discretion is not exercised losses incurred by a Grower will be subject to the loss deferral rule in section 35-10 and the Grower will not be able to offset the losses from the Project against other assessable income.

15. The issue of this Product Ruling of itself does not constitute the exercise of the Commissioner’s discretion in subsection 35-55(1) for any income year.

Scheme

16. The scheme that is the subject of this Ruling is called the National Viticultural Fund of Australia Project No.4 (1 November 2005 to 31 May 2006 Growers) and is described in paragraphs 14 to 48 of PR 2005/73. It also incorporates the following additional documents:

- Correspondence dated 9 November 2011, 3 February 2012 and 13 March 2012;
- Revised cashflow model for Growers received 9 November 2011;

- Belvidere Vineyards, Langhorne Creek Summer 2010, Winter 2010 and Winter 2011 Project Updates
- National Viticultural Fund of Australia Project No.4 presentation to Growers and Investors dated November 2010; and
- National Viticultural Fund of Australia Project No.4 Annual Works Programme dated June 2011

Note: certain information has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under Freedom of Information legislation.

17. For the purposes of describing the scheme to which this Ruling applies, there are no other agreements, whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable, which a Grower or any associate of a Grower, will be a party to, which are a part of the scheme.

18. All Australian Securities and Investment Commission requirements are, or will be, complied with for the term of the agreements.

Commissioner of Taxation

9 May 2012

Appendix 1 – Explanation

❶ *This Appendix is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.*

Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Sections 35-10 and 35-55

19. Based on the information provided with the application for this Product Ruling, a Grower who was accepted into the Project on or after 1 November 2005 and on or before 31 May 2006 and carries on a business of viticulture individually (alone or in a partnership) is expected to incur losses from their participation in the Project for each of the income years from 2004-05 to 2011-12.¹ These losses will be subject to the loss deferral rules in section 35-10 unless an exception applies or, for each income year in which losses are incurred, the Commissioner exercises the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) on 30 June of that specific income year.

20. The exceptions to the loss deferral rule depend upon the circumstances of individual Growers and are outside the scope of this Product Ruling.

21. The Commissioner will apply the principles set out in taxation Ruling TR 2007/6 Income tax: non commercial business losses: Commissioner's discretion when exercising the discretion.

22. For the 2004-05 to 2008-09 income years, a Grower who incurs a loss in an income year from carrying on a business activity in a way that is not materially different to the Scheme described in the Ruling, and the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) as it applied is exercised for that year, the Commissioner will be satisfied that:

- it is because of its nature that the business activity of the grower will not satisfy one of the four tests in Division 35; and
- there is an objective expectation that within a period that is commercially viable for the primary production industry, the grower's business activity will satisfy one of the four tests set out in Division 35 or produce assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsections 35-10(2) and (2C)).

23. In the 2009-10 income year an income requirement was introduced to Division 35. This income requirement will apply to Growers in the Project for the 2009-10 and 2010-11 income years.

¹ Division 35 does not apply to growers who do not carry on a business or who carry on a business other than as individuals (alone or in partnership).

24. For the 2009-10 and 2010-11 income years, where a Grower with income for NCL purposes of less than \$250,000 (that is, the Grower satisfies the income requirement in subsection 35-10(2E)) incurs a loss in an income year from carrying on their business activity in a way that is not materially different to the Scheme described in this Product Ruling, and the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) is exercised for that year, the Commissioner will be satisfied that:

- it is because of its nature that the business activity of the Grower will not satisfy one of the four tests in Division 35; and
- there is an objective expectation that within a period that is commercially viable for the primary production industry, the Grower's business activity will satisfy one of the four tests set out in Division 35 or produce assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsections 35-10(2) and (2C)).

25. For the 2009-10 and 2010-11 income years, where a Grower with income for NCL purposes of \$250,000 or more (that is, the Grower does not satisfy the income requirement in subsection 35-10(2E)) incurs a loss in an income year from carrying on their business activity in a way that is not materially different to the scheme described in this product Ruling, and the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(c) is exercised for that year, the Commissioner will be satisfied that:

- it is because of its nature that the business activity of the Grower will not produce assessable income greater than the deductions attributable to it; and
- there is an objective expectation that within a period that is commercially viable for the primary production industry, the Grower's business activity will produce assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsections 35-10(2) and (2C)).

26. A Grower will satisfy the income requirement in subsection 35-10(2E) where the sum of the following amounts is less than \$250,000:

- taxable income for that year (ignoring any loss arising from participation in the Project or any other business activity);
- total reportable fringe benefits for that year;
- reportable superannuation contributions for that year; and
- total net investment losses for that year.

27. Whether or not the necessary objective expectation about the future performance of the Project exists, it must be determined for each particular year and may change from year to year. Therefore, whether or not an objective expectation exists in any income year, it can be affected by decisions about how a particular activity is operated over the life of the project.

28. In each individual year where the Commissioner's discretion is exercised a Grower within either paragraph 22, 24 or 25 of this Ruling who would otherwise be required to defer a loss arising from their participation in the Project under section 35-10 until a later income year is able to offset that loss against their other assessable income.

Appendix 2 – Detailed contents list

29. The following is a detailed contents list for this Ruling:

	Paragraph
What this Ruling is about	1
Class of entities	3
Qualifications	6
Date of effect	9
Ruling	
Application of this Ruling	11
<i>Continuing application of PR 2005/61</i>	11
Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	12
<i>Section 35-55 – exercise of the Commissioner's discretion</i>	12
<i>2009-10 to 2010-11 income years</i>	12
Scheme	16
Appendix 1 – Explanation	
Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial Business activities	19
<i>Sections 35-10 and 35-55</i>	19
Appendix 2 – Detailed contents list	29

References

Previous draft:

Not previously issued as a draft

Related Rulings/Determinations:

PR 2005/73; TR 2007/6

Subject references:

- non commercial losses
- product rulings
- public rulings

Legislative references:

- ITAA 1997 Div 35
- ITAA 1997 35-10
- ITAA 1997 35-10(2)
- ITAA 1997 35-10(2C)
- ITAA 1997 35-10(2E)
- ITAA 1997 35-55
- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)
- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b)
- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(c)
- TAA 1953
- Copyright Act 1968

ATO references

NO: 1-3PNANDY

ISSN: 1441-1172

ATOlaw topic: Income Tax ~~ Product ~~ vineyards & wineries