



Status: **legally binding**

Product Ruling

Utmost International Isle of Man Limited – Executive Investment Account

❶ Relying on this Ruling

This publication (excluding appendix) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

If this Ruling applies to you, and you correctly rely on it, we will apply the law to you in the way set out in this Ruling. That is, you will not pay any more tax or penalties or interest in respect of the matters covered by this Ruling.

Terms of use of this Ruling

This Ruling has been given on the basis that the entity who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

Changes in the law

Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for entities in schemes such as this. In keeping with that intention, the Commissioner suggests promoters and advisers ensure that participants are fully informed of any legislative changes after the Ruling has issued. Similarly, entities that are considering participating in the Scheme are advised to confirm with their tax adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Ruling since it was issued.

No guarantee of commercial success

The Commissioner does not sanction or guarantee this product. Further, the Commissioner gives no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. The Commissioner recommends a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

Table of Contents	Paragraph
What this Ruling is about	1
Who this Ruling applies to	4
<i>Requirements of the Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993</i>	6
Date of effect	7
Ruling	9
Assumptions	10
Scheme	11
Overview of scheme	13
Appendix – Explanation	29

Status: **legally binding**

What this Ruling is about

1. This Ruling sets out the income tax consequences for entities referred to in paragraph 4 of this Ruling in connection with a life insurance policy referred to as an Executive Investment Account (EIA) issued by Utmost International Isle of Man Limited (Utmost) and subject to the Executive Investment Account Policy Terms (reference SO1AUG2012) (Policy Terms).
2. All legislative references in this Ruling are to the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936), unless otherwise indicated. Terms which are defined in the Policy Terms referred to in paragraph 11 of this Ruling have been capitalised.
3. This Ruling does not address:
 - the tax consequences arising in relation to an EIA held by a Policyholder that is not a resident of Australia for tax purposes during the period on or after 1 July 2025 and on or before 30 June 2028
 - the treatment of any fees or charges (including the Premium) incurred in connection with an EIA
 - the assessability (or otherwise) of amounts received under an EIA, other than under
 - section 26AH, and
 - the capital gains tax regime in Part 3-1 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997)
 - a Policyholder's entitlement (or otherwise) to a rebate under section 160AAB in relation to an assessable bonus received under an EIA
 - the tax consequences arising in relation to the payment of Additional Life Cover following the death of the Relevant Life Assured
 - the capital gains tax consequences arising from the assignment of ownership of an EIA to another entity for consideration, and
 - whether a Policyholder makes forex realisation gains or losses under Division 775 of the ITAA 1997.

Who this Ruling applies to

4. This Ruling applies to you if you are:
 - (a) an entity (including a natural person) that purchases an EIA subject to the Policy Terms (Policyholder) on or after 1 July 2025 and on or before 30 June 2028, and either
 - (i) are a resident of Australia for tax purposes at the time of purchase, or
 - (ii) subsequent to that purchase and during the period on or after 1 July 2025 and on or before 30 June 2028, became a resident of Australia for tax purposes
 - (b) an entity (including a natural person) to which ownership of an EIA subject to the Policy Terms is assigned for no consideration (also a Policyholder) on or after 1 July 2025 or on or before 30 June 2028 and, at the time of, or subsequent to, that assignment and during the period on or after

Status: **legally binding**

1 July 2025 and on or before 30 June 2028, are a resident of Australia for tax purposes¹, or

- (c) either an entity nominated as a beneficiary by the Policyholder or the deceased estate of the Policyholder in receipt of the Death Benefit.

5. This Ruling does not apply to you if you are not an entity listed in paragraph 4 of this Ruling.

Requirements of the Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993

6. This Ruling does not address the provisions of the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993*. We give no assurance that the scheme is an appropriate investment for a superannuation fund. The trustees of superannuation funds are advised that no consideration has been given in this Ruling as to whether investment in this scheme may contravene the provisions of the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993*.

Date of effect

7. This Ruling applies from 1 July 2025 to the entities specified in paragraph 4 of this Ruling.

8. However, the Ruling only applies and may be relied on to the extent that there is no change in the scheme or in the entity's involvement in the scheme. If the scheme carried out is materially different from the scheme described at paragraphs 11 to 28 of this Ruling, this Ruling cannot be relied upon and may be withdrawn or modified.

Ruling

9. Subject to paragraph 3 of this Ruling and the assumptions in paragraph 10 of this Ruling:

- (a) The EIA is an 'eligible policy' for the purpose of section 26AH.
- (b) Any portion of a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) received by a Policyholder under an EIA and comprising an adjustment for earnings referable to the Investments represented by the cancelled Allocated Units (as per paragraph 24 of this Ruling) is an amount as or by way of a 'bonus' for the purposes of section 26AH, and is
 - (i) assessable under subsection 26AH(6) when received during the eligible period in relation to the EIA, and
 - (ii) not otherwise assessable as ordinary or statutory income under the ITAA 1936 or the ITAA 1997.
- (c) Where, having regard to the matters listed in paragraph 26AH(8)(b), the Commissioner is of the opinion that it would be unreasonable for subsection 26AH(6) to apply (as per subparagraph 9(b) of this Ruling) to

¹ All references to 'Policyholder' in this Ruling are a reference to a Policyholder as described in both or either of subparagraphs 4(a) or (b) of this Ruling, unless otherwise indicated.

Status: **legally binding**

any portion (or to part of any portion) of a benefit received by a Policyholder by reason of their part or total surrender of their EIA, and which constitutes an amount as or by way of a bonus, subsection 26AH(6) will not apply to that amount (subsection 26AH(8)).

- (d) No portion of a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) received by a Policyholder under an EIA otherwise than as or by way of a bonus shall, for the purposes of subsection 26AH(6), be deemed pursuant to subsection 26AH(9) to have been received by the Policyholder under the EIA as or by way of a bonus.
- (e) Pursuant to subsection 26AH(5), an accretion in the value of the Portfolio Fund linked to a Policyholder, as calculated on a Valuation Date, is not regarded as having been received by the Policyholder for the purposes of assessment under subsection 26AH(6).
- (f) Where, during the eligible period in relation to an EIA, a Policyholder receives an amount of consideration in respect of an assignment of that EIA, that consideration (or part of it, as the case may be) will, for the purposes of subsection 26AH(6), be deemed pursuant to subsection 26AH(9) to have been received by the Policyholder under the EIA as or by way of a bonus if the Commissioner is of the opinion that the consideration (or part of it) is attributable to a bonus that has accrued or has been declared in respect of the EIA or a bonus that can reasonably be expected to accrue in respect of the EIA (subsection 26AH(12)).
- (g) Pursuant to subparagraph 26AH(7)(a)(i), no portion of a Death Benefit paid under an EIA is assessable under subsection 26AH(6).
- (h) Where the Premium payable by a Policyholder in respect of an EIA in relation to an assurance year exceeds the Premium payable under that EIA in the immediately preceding assurance year by more than 25%, subsection 26AH(13) applies to deem the 10-year eligible period in respect of the EIA to have commenced at the beginning of the year in which the premium was increased (rather than at the date of commencement of the period in respect of which the first premium under the EIA was paid).
- (i) Table item 3 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 disregards any capital gain or capital loss made by a Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(a) of this Ruling resulting from the payment to them under an EIA of a
 - (i) total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) upon total or part surrender of their EIA, or
 - (ii) Death Benefit upon the death of the Relevant Life Assured (where the Policyholder is not a Life Assured).
- (j) Table item 4 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 disregards any capital gain or capital loss made by a Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(b) of this Ruling resulting from the payment to them under an EIA of a
 - (i) total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) upon total or part surrender of their EIA, or
 - (ii) Death Benefit upon the death of the Relevant Life Assured (where the Policyholder is not a Life Assured).

Status: **legally binding**

- (k) Any capital gain or capital loss made by the nominated beneficiary or the deceased estate of a Policyholder, as applicable, resulting from the payment to them under an EIA of the Death Benefit upon the death of the Policyholder (as the Relevant Life Assured) is disregarded pursuant to table item 4 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997.
- (l) Provided the scheme ruled on is entered into and carried out as described in this Ruling, the anti-avoidance provisions in Part IVA will not apply to an entity referred to in paragraph 4 of this Ruling.

Assumptions

10. This Ruling is made on the basis of the following necessary assumptions:
- A nominated beneficiary referred to in subparagraph 4(c) of this Ruling is an Australian resident for tax purposes at the time of receipt of a Death Benefit under the EIA.
 - A Policyholder's deceased estate, referred to in subparagraph 4(c) of this Ruling, is an Australian-resident trust estate as defined in subsection 95(2) at the time of receipt of a Death Benefit under the EIA.
 - A Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(a) of this Ruling is the original owner of the EIA they purchased.
 - All dealings between any of the entities referred to in paragraph 4 of this Ruling and Utmost will be at arm's length.
 - The scheme will be executed in the manner described in the Scheme section of this Ruling and in the Policy Terms referred to in paragraph 11 of this Ruling.

Scheme

11. The scheme is identified and described in the following:
- application for a product ruling as constituted by documents and information received on 16 May 2025, and
 - the Executive Investment Account Policy Terms (with reference SO1AUG2012), effective for EIAs entered into on or after 8 July 2024.

Note: certain information has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under freedom of information legislation.

12. For the purposes of describing the scheme, there are no other agreements (whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable) which an entity referred to in paragraph 4 of this Ruling, or any associate of such entity, will be a party to which are a part of the scheme.

Overview of scheme

13. Utmost, registered in the Isle of Man, issues (among other products) EIAs. Entities (Policyholders) capable of purchasing an EIA from Utmost may, or may not, be residents of Australia for tax purposes at the time of issue.

Status: **legally binding**

14. An EIA is issued as a number of separate Policies (known as a Cluster of Policies), each representing an equal proportion of the EIA.

15. Full details of the EIA, including the commitments and rights of both Utmost and a Policyholder, are contained in the Policy Terms. The Policy Terms are interpreted in accordance with, and are governed by, the law of Singapore.

Portfolio Funds and Units

16. To enable calculation of the benefits and charges under an EIA, Utmost creates notional units (referred to as Allocated Units or Units), each representing a proportionate share of the value of the Investments in a Portfolio Fund linked to the Policyholder's EIA. The Investments in the Portfolio Fund linked to the Policyholder are chosen by the Policyholder (or their Investment Adviser Representative or the Discretionary Asset Manager) from a broad selection of Investments, subject to Utmost's acceptance, and may be changed by instruction from the Policyholder (or their Investment Adviser Representative or the Discretionary Asset Manager).

17. Allocated Units are allocated whenever a Premium is paid by the Policyholder and credited by Utmost to the Policyholder's Transaction Account, an account Utmost keeps to buy and sell Investments for the Portfolio Fund linked to the Policyholder, as well as for the payment of benefits. The percentage of the Policyholder's Premium used to calculate the number of Units allocated to their EIA each time they pay a Premium is referred to as the Allocation Percentage.

18. The value of the Portfolio Fund (and the price of the Allocated Units) is determined on the Contract Date and on each quarterly Valuation Date (Quarterly Date) thereafter.

19. While the Policyholder legally owns their EIA, they have no legal or beneficial interest in the Units, Portfolio Fund or any of the Investments therein, which remain the property of Utmost. Any appointed Authorised Custodian under the terms of the EIA is therefore custodian of Utmost, not the Policyholder.

Surrender of a Policy

20. The Policyholder can totally surrender one or more Cluster of Policies or part surrender a Policy or Cluster of Policies and receive the surrender benefits, being the value of the Allocated Units allocated to the surrendered Policy (or Policies), less any outstanding charges.

21. Where the Policyholder totally surrenders one or more Cluster of Policies:

- Utmost arranges for the sale of the Investments in the Policyholder's Portfolio Fund on the first dealing date administratively available to pay the total surrender benefit
- Utmost cancels the Allocated Units in the Policyholder's Portfolio Fund, and
- the surrendered Cluster of Policies ends, and no further benefits are payable.

22. Any part surrender of a Policyholder's Policy or Cluster of Policies may, on request by the Policyholder, be made via Regular Withdrawals (each month, 2 months, quarter, 4 months, 6 months or year, subject to minimum withdrawal limits and minimum Portfolio Fund value at the time) and must be made by selling Investments in the Portfolio Fund so that the value of each Policy will be reduced proportionately to reflect the part surrender benefit.

Status: legally binding

23. The Policyholder can provide Utmost with instructions as to which Investments to realise to pay a part surrender benefit. If Utmost pays the Policyholder a part surrender benefit, it will cancel Allocated Units to pay the benefit based on the price of those Units on the preceding Quarterly Date (or the Contract Date if there is none).

24. Any total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) paid to the Policyholder on total or part surrender respectively consists of the Premium allocated to the cancelled Allocated Units, as adjusted for any earnings referable to the Investments represented by those Allocated Units.

25. The Policyholder has no right to payment of the total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit, as applicable, other than on surrender of their EIA either in whole or in part.

Death Benefit

26. The EIA is a whole-of-life assurance policy providing for a payment of a Death Benefit on the death of the Relevant Life Assured. Where multiple lives are assured under an EIA, the Relevant Life Assured is the last of the lives assured to die. A Life Assured under an EIA may or may not be that of the Policyholder.

27. The Death Benefit payable by Utmost is 101% of the Surrender Value, calculated on the Final Plan Valuation Date that the last of the Investments have been realised and credited to the Transaction Account.

28. On payment of the Death Benefit, the Allocated Units (subject to all Investments being sold or disposed of) will be cancelled and no further benefit will be payable by Utmost under the EIA.

Commissioner of Taxation

23 July 2025

Status: **not legally binding**

Appendix – Explanation

❶ ***This Explanation is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.***

Table of Contents	Paragraph
Application of section 26AH to the Executive Investment Account	29
<i>The Executive Investment Account is an eligible policy</i>	30
<i>Treatment of benefits received under the Executive Investment Account</i>	35
<i>Effect of increased premiums on eligible period</i>	45
Capital gain or capital loss from payments under the Executive Investment Account disregarded	49

Application of section 26AH to the Executive Investment Account

29. All, or part of, amounts received as, or by way of, bonuses under certain life assurance policies (eligible policies) which otherwise would not be included in the assessable income of the recipient, are included in the assessable income of the recipient pursuant to subsection 26AH(6) when received within 10 years of the date on which the first or only premium paid under the policy was paid (eligible period).

The Executive Investment Account is an eligible policy

30. An 'eligible policy' in respect of which section 26AH may apply is defined in subsection 26AH(1) to mean:

... a life assurance policy in relation to which the date of commencement of risk is after 27 August 1982, other than a funeral policy (as defined in the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997*) issued on or after 1 January 2003.

31. The term 'life assurance policy' is defined in subsection 6(1) as having the meaning given to life insurance policy by the ITAA 1997. A life insurance policy is defined in subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997 as having the meaning given to the expression 'life policy' in section 9 of the *Life Insurance Act 1995* (LIA 1995).

32. A contract of insurance that provides for the payment of money on the death of a person and a contract (whether or not it is a contract of insurance) that constitutes an investment-linked contract both constitute a life policy under paragraphs 9(1)(a) and (g) of the LIA 1995.

33. Some of the more general features of an investment-linked policy are described in paragraphs 5 and 6 of Taxation Ruling IT 2346 *Income tax: bonuses paid on certain life assurance policies – section 26AH – interpretation and operation* as follows:

... A contract providing a death benefit, and an investment account the value of which is directly linked to the performance of a specific investment portfolio. The value of the policyholder's interest will rise and fall with the movements in the value of the portfolio. ...

Premiums in respect of an unbundled policy may be paid in a lump sum or annually, or the policyholder may elect to vary the amount of the premium by making additional payments under the policy at any time. A further feature of these types of

Status: **not legally binding**

policies is that they generally allow the policyholder to surrender a part of the policy at any time (a “partial surrender”).

34. An EIA issued to a Policyholder referred to in paragraph 4 of this Ruling is an eligible policy for the purposes of section 26AH as it:

- has a date of commencement of risk, being the date of commencement of the period in respect of which the first premium paid under the EIA is paid, which is after 27 August 1982
- is not a ‘funeral policy’, as defined in subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997, and
- is a life assurance policy by virtue of it constituting a life policy pursuant to paragraphs 9(1)(a) and (g) of the LIA 1995.

Treatment of benefits received under the Executive Investment Account

35. The term ‘bonus’ is not defined for the purposes of section 26AH but is explained at paragraph 8 of IT 2346 in the context of ‘more traditional policies’ (for example, endowment policies) as a guaranteed addition to the amount insured, payable when the amount insured is payable and representing both a form of participation by the policyholder in the issuing company’s profits and a share in the surpluses derived by the issuing company during the period the policy is in force. Such a bonus, where received under an eligible policy that matures or is surrendered, forfeited or otherwise terminated within the eligible period of 10 years after commencement, falls within the scope of section 26AH.

36. The EIA, being an investment-linked policy, may be characterised as an unbundled life assurance contract (also referred to as an ‘unbundled policy’ in IT 2346). In the context of unbundled policies, paragraph 9 of IT 2346 explains:

In the case of unbundled policies, the concept of bonuses representing the profit or gain element passed on to the policyholder is maintained for the purposes of section 26AH. For example, where a policy is linked to the purchase and sale of investment units, the profit derived on the sale of those units is, when paid to the policyholder, regarded as a payment by way of a bonus.

37. The portion of a total surrender benefit or any part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) comprising an adjustment for earnings referable to the Investments represented by the cancelled Allocated Units and paid by Utmost from the balance of the Transaction Account maintained for each Policyholder that owns an EIA, is considered to be a bonus. This amount provides the Policyholder with participation in Utmost’s profits, as derived from the Investments in the Portfolio Fund linked to the Policyholder (but owned by Utmost) during the period the Policyholder’s EIA is in force.

38. Payments of a bonus by Utmost from the balance of the Transaction Account maintained under an EIA are therefore subject to section 26AH and are not assessable under any other provision of the ITAA 1936 or the ITAA 1997. Specifically, a bonus received under an EIA is included as assessable income of a Policyholder pursuant to subsection 26AH(6) to the following extent:

- in full, where it is received during the first 8 years of the eligible period
- two-thirds of the amount received, where it is received during the ninth year of the eligible period
- one-third of the amount received, where it is received during the 10th year of the eligible period, and

Status: **not legally binding**

- nil, where it is received after the 10th year of the eligible period.

39. To ensure that bonuses or other amounts in the nature of bonuses are not subject to tax unless the total amount received by the policyholder under an eligible policy (that is, amounts previously received (including any amounts of bonus) and the surrender value at the time of forfeiture, surrender or other termination) exceeds the premiums paid under that eligible policy, subsection 26AH(8) provides (subject to any other matters we consider relevant) a discretion for us to exclude from assessable income the whole, or part of, an amount received as, or by way of, a bonus by reason of the forfeiture, surrender or other termination of an eligible policy, and which would otherwise be included in assessable income by the application of subsection 26AH(6) (see paragraph 10 of IT 2346).

40. Where a policyholder receives an amount under an eligible policy within the eligible period otherwise than as, or by way of, a bonus, that amount is assessable under subsection 26AH(6) as if it had been received as a bonus to the extent that, in our opinion, it represents a bonus that has accrued or been declared, or a bonus that can reasonably be expected to accrue (see subsection 26AH(9)). No portion of a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) received by a Policyholder under an EIA otherwise than as or by way of a bonus (as referred to in subparagraph 9(b) of this Ruling) shall be deemed pursuant to subsection 26AH(9) to have been received by the Policyholder as if it had been received as or by way of a bonus.

41. Subject to subsection 26AH(5), subsection 26AH(4) operates to ensure that where an amount payable under an eligible policy is reinvested or otherwise dealt with on behalf of or at the direction of the policyholder, they are taken to have received that amount under or in relation to that policy. Subsection 26AH(6) does not, however, apply to bonuses that are merely notionally credited during the life of the policy but cannot actually be received until it ends. In this regard, subsection 26AH(5) provides that subsection 26AH(4) does not apply to an amount in relation to an eligible policy that is reinvested or otherwise dealt with on behalf of or at the direction of the policyholder so as to increase the surrender or maturity value that might reasonably be expected to be received under the policy.

42. An accretion in the value of the Portfolio Fund linked to a Policyholder, as calculated on a Valuation Date, constitutes a bonus that is merely accrued so as to increase the amount ultimately payable to the Policyholder on part or total surrender of their EIA, and pursuant to subsection 26AH(5) is not regarded as having been received by the Policyholder for the purposes of assessment under subsection 26AH(6).

43. Where, during the eligible period of an eligible policy, a policyholder receives an amount of consideration in respect of the assignment of that eligible policy, that amount is assessable under subsection 26AH(6) as if it had been received as a bonus to the extent that, in our opinion, it is attributable to a bonus that has accrued or been declared, or a bonus that can reasonably be expected to accrue (subsections 26AH(9) and (12)). Any consideration received by a Policyholder in respect of an assignment of their EIA during its eligible period will, for the purposes of subsection 26AH(6), be deemed pursuant to subsection 26AH(9) to have been received by the Policyholder as or by way of a bonus to the extent that the consideration received is attributable to a bonus.

44. Pursuant to subparagraph 26AH(7)(a)(i), subsection 26AH(6) does not apply to assess an amount received under an eligible policy where the amount is received in consequence of the death of the person on whose life the policy was effected. Any amount as, or by way of, a bonus received under an EIA (as a portion of the Death Benefit) in consequence of the death of the Relevant Life Assured is therefore not assessable under subsection 26AH(6).

Status: **not legally binding**

Effect of increased premiums on eligible period

45. As an anti-avoidance measure, subsection 26AH(13) provides for a substituted date of commencement to apply if premiums increase by a certain amount from year to year. Where the premium payable under an eligible policy in relation to an assurance year exceeds by more than 25% the premium payable under the policy in the immediately preceding assurance year, the policy is deemed to have commenced at the beginning of the year in which the premium was increased. The effect of subsection 26AH(13) is to cause the 10-year eligible period in respect of an eligible policy to run from the commencement of that new period rather than from the date upon which the risk was first insured.

46. Where the premium payable is at the policyholder's discretion, the premium payable in relation to an assurance year is the total amount paid on the policy during that year (paragraph 19 of IT 2346). In relation to an EIA, the amount invested across any assurance year (if any) is at the discretion of the Policyholder (subject to a minimum amount). Therefore, in relation to an EIA, the premium payable for an assurance year is the total Premiums paid in an assurance year.

47. Where the Premium payable by a Policyholder in respect of an EIA in relation to an assurance year exceeds the Premium payable under that EIA in the immediately preceding assurance year by more than 25%, the 10-year eligible period in respect of the EIA is deemed by application of subsection 26AH(13) to have commenced at the beginning of the year in which the Premium was increased, rather than at the date of commencement of the period in respect of which the first Premium under the EIA was paid.

48. Where the Premium payable by a Policyholder in respect of an EIA in relation to each assurance year does not exceed the Premium payable under that EIA in the immediately preceding assurance year by more than 25%, the 10-year eligible period in respect of the EIA, for the purposes of the application of subsection 26AH(6), continues to run from the date of commencement of the period in respect of which the first Premium under the EIA was paid.

Capital gain or capital loss from payments under the Executive Investment Account disregarded

49. Under subsection 108-5(1) of the ITAA 1997, a CGT asset is any kind of property or a legal or equitable right that is not property. The contractual rights of a Policyholder and, as applicable, a Policyholder's nominated beneficiary or deceased estate under an EIA, are legally enforceable rights and therefore a CGT asset according to the definition in subsection 108-5(1) of the ITAA 1997.

50. Where Utmost makes a payment of a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) in satisfaction of a Policyholder's contractual rights under an EIA, their ownership of those rights is discharged or satisfied. Similarly, where Utmost makes a payment of a Death Benefit in satisfaction of a Policyholder's, a nominated beneficiary's or a Policyholder's deceased estate's contractual rights under an EIA, as applicable, their ownership of those rights is discharged or satisfied. This discharge or satisfaction of the contractual rights gives rise to CGT event C2 (paragraph 104-25(1)(b) of the ITAA 1997).

51. The Policyholder, their nominated beneficiary or their deceased estate, as applicable, makes a capital gain from this CGT event if their capital proceeds from the ending of the ownership of their asset are more than the asset's cost base or, alternatively,

Status: **not legally binding**

a capital loss if those capital proceeds are less than the asset's reduced cost base (subsection 104-25(3) of the ITAA 1997).

52. Section 118-300 of the ITAA 1997 exempts certain capital gains and losses made in respect of a policy of insurance on the life of an individual. The meaning to be given to the expression 'policy of insurance on the life of an individual' includes, but is not limited to, life insurance policies within the common law meaning of that term. It can apply to other life insurance policies as defined in subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997 but only to the extent that those policies provide for a sum of money to be paid if an event happens that results in the death of an individual (Taxation Determination TD 2007/4 *Income tax: capital gains tax: is a 'policy of insurance on the life of an individual' in section 118-300 of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 limited to a life insurance policy within the common law meaning of that expression?*).

53. Table item 3 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 provides that a capital gain or capital loss made from a CGT event happening in relation to a CGT asset that is an interest in rights under a policy of insurance on the life of an individual is disregarded where that CGT event happens to the original owner of the policy (other than the trustee of a complying superannuation entity).

54. As an entity to which the EIA is first issued, a Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(a) of this Ruling is regarded as an original owner of a policy of insurance on the life of an individual. Accordingly, that Policyholder (where they are not the trustee of a complying superannuation entity²) is entitled under table item 3 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 to disregard any capital gain or capital loss they make under section 104-25 of the ITAA 1997 from the receipt of a payment by Utmost of either a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) or a Death Benefit.

55. Table item 4 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 provides that a capital gain or capital loss made from a CGT event happening in relation to a CGT asset that is an interest in rights under a policy of insurance on the life of an individual is disregarded where that CGT event happens to an entity that acquired the interest in the policy for no consideration.

56. On the assignment of ownership of an EIA for no consideration to a Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(b) of this Ruling, that Policyholder acquires an interest in the EIA for no consideration. A Policyholder referred to in subparagraph 4(b) of this Ruling is therefore entitled under table item 4 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 to disregard any capital gain or capital loss they make under section 104-25 of the ITAA 1997 from the receipt of a payment by Utmost of either a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) or a Death Benefit.

57. On the death of a Policyholder who is the Relevant Life Assured, the Policyholder's nominated beneficiary or deceased estate, as applicable, acquires an interest in the EIA for no consideration. The beneficiary or the deceased estate, as applicable, is therefore entitled under table item 4 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997 to disregard any capital gain or capital loss they make under section 104-25 of the ITAA 1997 from the receipt of a payment of a Death Benefit by Utmost.

² Any capital gain or capital loss a Policyholder that is the trustee of a complying superannuation entity makes under section 104-25 of the ITAA 1997 from the receipt of a payment of either a total surrender benefit or part surrender benefit (including a Regular Withdrawal) or Death Benefit is disregarded pursuant to table item 5 of subsection 118-300(1) of the ITAA 1997.

Status: **not legally binding**

References

Related rulings and determinations:

IT 2346; TD 2007/4

- ITAA 1936 95(2)
- ITAA 1936 160AAB
- ITAA 1936 Pt IVA
- ITAA 1997 Pt 3-1
- ITAA 1997 104-25
- ITAA 1997 104-25(1)(b)
- ITAA 1997 104-25(3)
- ITAA 1997 108-5(1)
- ITAA 1997 118-300
- ITAA 1997 118-300(1)
- ITAA 1997 Div 775
- ITAA 1997 995-1(1)
- Life Insurance Act 1995 9
- Life Insurance Act 1995 9(1)(a)
- Life Insurance Act 1995 9(1)(g)
- SISA 1993

Legislative references:

- ITAA 1936 6(1)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(1)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(4)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(5)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(6)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(7)(a)(i)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(8)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(8)(b)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(9)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(12)
 - ITAA 1936 26AH(13)
-

ATO references

NO: 1-16UUCLWX

ISSN: 2205-6114

BSL: PW

ATOlaw topic Income tax ~~ Assessable income ~~ Other types of income ~~ Life insurance bonuses and policies

© AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

You are free to copy, adapt, modify, transmit and distribute this material as you wish (but not in any way that suggests the ATO or the Commonwealth endorses you or any of your services or products).