TD 2006/D21 - Income tax: is any part of an amount, which is otherwise deductible as a transport expense for travel between workplaces (one of which is a business), attributable to the relevant business activity under subsection 35-10(2) of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 ?

• This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TD 2006/D21* - *Income tax: is any part of an amount, which is otherwise deductible as a transport expense for travel between workplaces (one of which is a business), attributable to the relevant business activity under subsection 35-10(2) of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 ?*

This document has been finalised by <u>TD 2006/61</u>.



Australian Government Australian Taxation Office Draft Taxation Determination

TD 2006/D21

Status: draft only – for comment

Page 1 of 6

Draft Taxation Determination

Income tax: is any part of an amount, which is otherwise deductible as a transport expense for travel between workplaces (one of which is a business), attributable to the relevant business activity under subsection 35-10(2) of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997?*

• This Ruling provides you with the following level of protection:

This publication is a draft for industry and professional comment. It represents the Commissioner's preliminary view about the way in which a relevant taxation provision applies, or would apply to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes. You can rely on this publication (excluding appendices) to provide you with protection from interest and penalties in the way explained below. If a statement turns out to be incorrect and you under-pay your tax as a result, you will not have to pay a penalty. Nor will you have to pay interest on the under-payment provided you reasonably relied on the publication in good faith. However, even if you don't have to pay a penalty or interest, you will have to pay the correct amount of tax provided the time limits under the law allow it.

Ruling

1. Yes. Where a *business is carried on at one of the workplaces, the amount of a *transport expense otherwise deductible for *travel between workplaces under section 25-100 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) is attributable to both the relevant business activity and the activity at the other workplace.¹

2. The extent to which such an amount is attributable to the business activity for the purposes of subsection 35-10(2) of the ITAA 1997 is a question of fact and degree and where apportionment is called for, this needs to be on a 'fair and reasonable' basis. In most situations a fair and reasonable basis will be to apportion the amount 50 per cent to the business activity and 50 per cent to the other workplace activity as the travel arises because of the income producing activities being carried out at both.

¹ The terms marked with * are defined in section 995-1 of the ITAA 1997. Any subsequent use of an asterisked term carries with it the same definition as the ITAA 1997.

Draft Taxation Determination

TD 2006/D21

Page 2 of 6

3. However, there may be cases where the circumstances strongly suggest a different basis of apportionment is appropriate. These cases will need to be dealt with on their own facts, either by the taxpayer self assessing on a fair and reasonable basis, or by seeking the Commissioner's opinion on what portion of the otherwise deductible amount is attributable to the business activity.

Example 1

4. Tony works as a public servant in a government department during the day. He also carries on a business as a kung fu teacher at a studio. On three days a week he travels either from work to the studio or from the studio to work. Tony has incurred \$2,000 transport expenses which are deductible under section 25-100 of the ITAA 1997.

5. Tony has only been teaching kung fu for a part of the year and, while he has derived income of \$15,000 he has not been able to satisfy any of the four tests² set out in the non-commercial loss provisions of Division 35 of the ITAA 1997. Tony's business activity also does not satisfy either of the exceptions to the loss deferral rule.³ If Tony's expenses attributable to his kung fu teaching business activity exceed the assessable income he derives from it then any loss he makes must be deferred. Tony has incurred \$14,000 of otherwise deductible expenses, excluding the \$2,000 transport expenses.

6. Tony's circumstances are indicative of those situations where a 50:50 split is the fair and reasonable basis of determining that part of the deductible amount to be attributed to the business activity.

7. Therefore, \$1,000 of the \$2,000 that Tony can deduct under section 25-100 of the ITAA 1997 is attributable to his business activity, and is added to the \$14,000 of otherwise deductible expenses to determine whether the loss deferral rule applies. Tony now has a total of \$15,000 of otherwise deductible expenses attributable to his business activity. As this does not exceed the \$15,000 of assessable income that he derived from his business activity the loss deferral rule will not apply.

Date of effect

8. When the final Determination is issued, it is proposed to apply both before and after its date of issue. However, the Determination will not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Determination.

Commissioner of Taxation 7 June 2006

² The four tests are: the assessable income test section 35-30; the profits test section 35-35; the real property test section 35-40 and the other assets test section 35-45.

³ The exceptions are contained in subsection 35-10(4) and apply where the business activity is a *primary production business or a *professional arts business and income from other unrelated sources is less than \$40,000.

TD 2006/D2

Status: draft only – for comment

Appendix 1 – Explanation

• This Appendix is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's preliminary view has been reached. It does not form part of the proposed binding public ruling.

Explanation

9. Under Division 35 of the ITAA 1997 unless a business activity, carried on by an individual (whether alone or in partnership), can pass one of the four tests,⁴ one of the exceptions applies, or the Commissioner exercises his discretion to allow the losses, any loss made must be deferred.

10. The loss deferral rule is contained in subsection 35-10(2) of the ITAA 1997. Simply put it provides that where the total of otherwise deductible amounts that are **attributable to a business activity** exceed the assessable income from that business activity then the loss must be deferred until a later year in which the business activity is carried on.

11. Section 25-100 of the ITAA 1997, applying to assessments for the 2002 and later income years, specifically allows, subject to certain exclusions, a deduction for transport expenses incurred in travelling between two workplaces. It was enacted to overcome the result of the High Court decision in *Federal Commissioner of Taxation v. Payne* (2001) 202 CLR 93; [2001] HCA 3 (*Payne's Case*).

12. While a majority of the High Court in *Payne's Case* did not consider that the connection between the travel expenditure and the gaining or producing of assessable income was sufficient for the purposes of subsection $51(1)^5$ of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936), they did acknowledge that there was a causal connection between the two. This was by virtue of the fact that the travel allowed the taxpayer to be in the position of producing assessable income at each of the workplaces the taxpayer travelled to.

13. In *FC* of *T v*. Sun Alliance Investments Pty Ltd (in liq) [2005] HCA 70; 2005 ATC 4955; (2005) 60 ATR 560, the High Court considered the meaning of the expression, 'could reasonably be taken to be attributable to', as it appeared in paragraph 160ZK(5)(b) of the ITAA 1936. In relation to the link that this provision was concerned with between a distribution by a company and profits derived by that company, as spoken of in paragraph 160ZK(5)(b), the Court said at paragraph 77:

Thirdly, that link may be described in terms of necessary causation but, as with all questions of causality, the starting point is the identification of the purpose (here the legislative purpose) to which the question is directed.

14. The High Court held at paragraph 80, that given the text of subsection 160ZK(5) of the ITAA 1936 and its objects as expressed in the relevant Explanatory Memorandum,⁶ the meaning of 'attributable to' as requiring only a 'contributory causal connection' applied, rather than any narrower meaning. At paragraph 82 they referred to the 'breadth of nexus' contemplated by the words 'attributable to'.

15. The purpose of subsection 35-10(2) of the ITAA 1997 in the context in question, is to quantify for a particular income year, the overall amount of the 'loss' generated by the relevant business activity, that is not taken into account in the calculation of taxable income for that year. In other words, the subsection is aimed at measuring the financial results for that business activity for income tax purposes in relation to that year.

Page 3 of 6

⁴ See footnote 3 of this Determination.

 $[\]frac{5}{5}$ Section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997 has replaced subsection 51(1) and is in similar terms.

⁶ See the Explanatory Memorandum to the Taxation Laws Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1994.

Draft Taxation Determination

TD 2006/D21

Page 4 of 6

16. Where, as in the case in question, these results involve an otherwise deductible amount for which one contributory cause is the business activity, but there is another contributory cause, being another income producing activity, it is not considered that the purpose of subsection 35-10(2) of the ITAA 1997 is to attribute all of this amount in the calculation of the loss to be deferred. Rather, as is stated in paragraph 57 of Taxation Ruling TR 2001/14 Income tax: Division 35 – non-commercial business losses:

The 'amounts attributable to the *business activity' that an individual taxpayer can otherwise deduct are, for the purposes of applying the loss deferral rule in subsection 35-10(2), all those amounts otherwise deductible under any provision...to the extent that they relate to the carrying on of the particular *business activity in the income year in question.

17. The carrying on of the business activity is a contributory cause of the amount otherwise deductible under section 25-100 of the ITAA 1997, whether the travel is to the place where that activity is carried on, or from that place.

18. In applying paragraph 57 of TR 2001/14, in determining how much of the amount deductible under section 25-100 of the ITAA 1997 is attributable to the business activity for the purposes of subsection 35-10(2) of the ITAA 1997, a 'fair and reasonable basis' of apportionment is called for. In most cases apportionment equally to each activity will be accepted as fair and reasonable. However arguments for some different basis of apportionment will be considered on their merits.

Page 5 of 6

TD 2006/D21

Status: draft only - for comment

Appendix 2 – Your comments

19. We invite you to comment on this draft Taxation Determination. Please forward your comments to the contact officer by the due date. (Note: The Tax Office prepares a compendium of comments for the consideration of the relevant Rulings Panel. The Tax Office may use a sanitised version (names and identifying information removed) of the compendium in providing its responses to persons providing comments. Please advise if you do not want your comments included in a sanitised compendium.)

Due date:	7 July 2006
Contact officer:	Graham Cordon
E-mail address:	graham.cordon@ato.gov.au
Telephone:	(08) 742 22368
Facsimile:	(08) 742 22201
Address:	PO Box 1130
	Penrith NSW 2740

TD 2006/D21

Page 6 of 6

References

<i>Previous draft:</i> Not previously issued as a draft <i>Related Rulings/Determinations:</i> TR 2001/14	- ITAA 1997 35-10(2) - ITAA 1997 35-10(4) - ITAA 1997 35-30 - ITAA 1997 35-35 - ITAA 1997 35-40 - ITAA 1997 35-45
Subject references:	- ITAA 1997 995-1
 allowable deductions business activity deferred non commercial loss employment related expenses travel 	Case references: - Federal Commissioner of Taxation v. Payne (2001) 202 CLR 93; [2001] HCA 3 - FC of T v. Sun Alliance Investments Pty Ltd (in liq) [2005] HCA 70; 2005 ATC 4955; (2005) 202 ATC 500
Legislative references:	(2005) 60 ATR 560
- ITAA 1936 51(1) - ITAA 1936 160ZK(5) - ITAA 1936 160ZK(5)(b) - ITAA 1997 8-1	<i>Other references:</i> - Explanatory Memorandum to the Taxation Laws Amendment Bill (No. 2) 1994

ATO references

- ITAA 1997 25-100 - ITAA 1997 Div 35

NO:	2006/4890
ISSN:	1038-8982
ATOlaw topic:	Income Tax ~~ Losses ~~ non commercial
	Income Tax ~~ Deductions ~~ travel expenses