

***GSTD 2007/1 - Goods and services tax: is a credit card provider entitled to a reduced input tax credit under item 27 of the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999 for the acquisition of services from a co-branding partner where it pays commission for those services?***

! This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *GSTD 2007/1 - Goods and services tax: is a credit card provider entitled to a reduced input tax credit under item 27 of the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999 for the acquisition of services from a co-branding partner where it pays commission for those services?*

! From 1 July 2015, the term 'Australia' is replaced in nearly all instances within the GST, Luxury Car Tax, and Wine Equalisation Tax legislation with the term 'indirect tax zone' by the *Treasury Legislation Amendment (Repeal Day) Act 2015*. The scope of the new term, however, remains the same as the now repealed definition of 'Australia' used in those Acts. This change was made for consistency of terminology across the tax legislation, with no change in policy or legal effect. For readability and other reasons, where the term 'Australia' is used in this document, it is referring to the 'indirect tax zone' as defined in subsection 195-1 of the GST Act.

This Ruling contains references to provisions of the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999*, which have been replaced by the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 2019*. This Ruling continues to have effect in relation to the remade Regulations.

Paragraph 32 of [TR 2006/10](#) provides further guidance on the status and binding effect of public rulings where the law has been repealed and rewritten.

A [comparison table](#) which provides the replacement provisions in the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 2019* for regulations which are referenced in this Ruling is available.

! This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *20 August 2014*



---

---

## Goods and Services Tax Determination

---

---

Goods and services tax: is a credit card provider entitled to a reduced input tax credit under item 27 of the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999 for the acquisition of services from a co-branding partner where it pays commission for those services?

⚠ From 1 July 2015, the term 'Australia' is replaced in nearly all instances within the GST, Luxury Car Tax, and Wine Equalisation Tax legislation with the term 'indirect tax zone' by the *Treasury Legislation Amendment (Repeal Day) Act 2015*. The scope of the new term, however, remains the same as the now repealed definition of 'Australia' used in those Acts. This change was made for consistency of terminology across the tax legislation, with no change in policy or legal effect. For readability and other reasons, where the term 'Australia' is used in this document, it is referring to the 'indirect tax zone' as defined in subsection 195-1 of the GST Act.

⚠ This Ruling contains references to provisions of the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999*, which have been replaced by the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 2019*. This Ruling continues to have effect in relation to the remade Regulations.

Paragraph 32 of [TR 2006/10](#) provides further guidance on the status and binding effect of public rulings where the law has been repealed and rewritten.

A [comparison table](#) which provides the replacement provisions in the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 2019* for regulations which are referenced in this Ruling is available.

### **Preamble**

This document was published prior to 1 July 2010 and was a public ruling for the purposes of former section 105-60 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

From 1 July 2010, this document is taken to be a public ruling under Division 358 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

A public ruling is an expression of the Commissioner's opinion about the way in which a relevant provision applies, or would apply, to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes.

If you rely on this ruling, the Commissioner must apply the law to you in the way set out in the ruling (unless the Commissioner is satisfied that the ruling is incorrect and disadvantages you, in which case the law may be applied to you in a way that is more favourable for you – provided the Commissioner is not prevented from doing so by a time limit imposed by the law). You will be

protected from having to pay any underpaid tax, penalty or interest in respect of the matters covered by this ruling if it turns out that it does not correctly state how the relevant provision applies to you.

**[Note:** This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the Legal Database (<http://law.ato.gov.au>) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]

1. Yes. A credit card provider (financial supply provider) is entitled to a reduced input tax credit under item 27 of the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Regulations 1999 (GST Regulations) for the acquisition of services from a co-branding partner, where it pays commission for those services and the nature of the services acquired means the partner is a financial supply facilitator as defined in regulation 40-5.07 of the GST Regulations in relation to the supply of an interest in or under a credit arrangement.

## **Background**

### ***Co-branded credit card arrangements***

2. A co-branded credit card arrangement is an arrangement entered into between a credit card provider (for example, a bank) and another entity having a strong brand presence for the purpose of introducing a credit card product into the market.<sup>1</sup> The credit card product is known as a co-branded credit card because it bears the names of both the credit card provider and the co-branding partner.<sup>2</sup>

3. The credit card provider has the legal responsibility for issuing the credit card product to customers. The co-branding partner provides services to the credit card provider in relation to the issue of the credit card product. The types of services provided by the co-branding partner vary between arrangements. Under some arrangements the co-branding partner may continue to provide services after the credit card has been issued to the customer.

4. The services that a co-branding partner provides in accordance with the terms of its agreement with the credit card provider may include the following:

- allowing access to its existing client base;
- sourcing and introducing customers;
- retaining customers;
- offering, promoting and supporting the credit card product;
- encouraging the acceptance and use of the credit card at agreed locations;
- advertising the credit card product by means of electronic media and newspapers, direct mail, door drops and shopping centre booths;
- assisting applicants to complete the application for the credit card;
- validating completed application forms to ensure they have been correctly completed;

---

<sup>1</sup> This Determination does not apply to arrangements known as 'white labelling' arrangements. For the purposes of this Determination, a 'white labelling' arrangement is one where one financial institution (A) offers its existing customer base to another financial institution (B) such that B becomes the credit provider for A's credit card customers.

<sup>2</sup> Although both names appear on the co-branded credit card, it is common for only one name to be displayed prominently.

- processing the completed application forms or forwarding them to the credit card provider for processing;
  - issuing temporary cards where on-the-spot approval is obtained;
  - operating a call centre to assist customers; or
  - operating a reward/incentive program linked to the credit card product.
5. The co-branded credit card arrangement provides for the credit card provider to pay commission to the co-branding partner for the services it provides. The form the commission takes will depend on the arrangement.

## Explanation

### ***GST legislation and GST Regulations***

6. Section 11-20 of the *A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Act 1999* (GST Act) provides that you are entitled to an input tax credit 'for any creditable acquisition that you make'. For an acquisition to qualify as a creditable acquisition it must, amongst other things, be acquired 'solely or partly for a creditable purpose' (section 11-5 of the GST Act).

7. Under subsection 11-15(1) of the GST Act, a thing is acquired for a creditable purpose to the extent that 'you acquire it in carrying on your enterprise'. Under paragraph 11-15(2)(a) of the GST Act, a thing acquired in carrying on an enterprise is not acquired for a creditable purpose to the extent that the acquisition relates to making supplies that would be input taxed.<sup>3</sup> Section 40-5 of the GST Act provides that a financial supply, as defined in the GST Regulations, is input taxed.

8. The provision, acquisition or disposal of an interest in or under a matter mentioned in any of the items listed in the table in subregulation 40-5.09(3), or an interest mentioned in subregulation 40-5.09(4) of the GST Regulations is a financial supply provided:

- the conditions in subregulation 40-5.09(1) are all satisfied, and
- the interest is not excluded from being a financial supply because it is mentioned in regulation 40-5.12 of the GST Regulations.<sup>4</sup>

9. The provision of an interest in<sup>5</sup> a credit arrangement, by the credit card provider to the cardholder, falls within item 2 of the table in subregulation 40-5.09(3). Provided all the requirements in subregulation 40-5.09(1) are met, the provision of the interest in the credit arrangement is a financial supply. The credit card provider typically makes acquisitions related to the financial supply of the interest in a credit arrangement. Such acquisitions are not for a creditable purpose under section 11-15 of the GST Act to the extent they relate to making a supply that would be input taxed. Therefore, the acquisitions, to that extent, do not ordinarily give rise to an input tax credit under Division 11 of the GST Act.

<sup>3</sup> Unless the acquisition relates to making financial supplies through an enterprise or a part of an enterprise carried on outside Australia by the acquirer (subsection 11-15(3) of the GST Act); or the acquisition relates to making financial supplies and the acquirer does not exceed the financial acquisitions threshold (subsection 11-15(4) of the GST Act); or where the acquisition relates to a financial supply consisting of a borrowing and the borrowing relates to supplies that are not input taxed (subsection 11-15(5) of the GST Act).

<sup>4</sup> Refer subregulation 40-5.08(2) of the GST Regulations. Regulation 40-5.12 sets out an itemised list of supplies that are not financial supplies.

<sup>5</sup> In the context of regulation 40-5.09, we do not ascribe any specific technical significance to establishing whether something is covered as an interest in or an interest under an item in the table. See paragraph 82 of Goods and Services Tax Ruling GSTR 2002/2 Goods and services tax: GST treatment of financial supplies and related supplies and acquisitions.

# GSTD 2007/1

10. However, subsection 70-5(1) of the GST Act states that the GST Regulations may provide that acquisitions of a specified kind that relate to making financial supplies can give rise to an entitlement to a reduced input tax credit. Subregulation 70-5.02(1) of the GST Regulations provides that an acquisition mentioned in subregulation 70-5.02(2) 'that relates to making financial supplies' gives rise to this entitlement. The expression 'an acquisition ... that relates to making financial supplies' used in subregulation 70-5.02(1) identifies a class of acquisitions that are denied input tax credits because of the operation of paragraph 11-15(2)(a) and subsection 40-5(1) of the GST Act.<sup>6</sup> Such acquisitions are referred to as reduced credit acquisitions.<sup>7</sup>

11. Subregulation 70-5.02(1) of the GST Regulations does not impose any further test once an input tax credit has been denied under these provisions. That is, an acquisition that does not have a creditable purpose because it relates (solely or partly) to making supplies that would be input taxed financial supplies, is taken to relate, to that extent, to making financial supplies for the purposes of regulation 70-5.02. Individual items within the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2), however, may impose a more stringent nexus test than paragraph 11-15(2)(a) of the GST Act.<sup>8</sup>

12. Division 70 of the GST Regulations provides an exhaustive list of items which have the status of reduced credit acquisitions. While many of the terms within these items may describe acquisitions made by a financial supply provider (and are therefore denied an input tax credit) regard must be had to the qualifications imposed in each item in determining whether a reduced input tax credit is available for a particular acquisition. Under item 27 of the table in subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the GST Regulations (item 27), 'Supplies for which financial supply facilitators are paid commission by financial supply providers' are reduced credit acquisitions.

13. Therefore, for item 27 to be met there must be:

- a financial supply provider;
- a financial supply facilitator; and
- commission.

## ***Financial supply provider***

14. Subregulation 40-5.06 of the GST Regulations provides:

An entity, in relation to the supply of an interest that was:

- (a) immediately before the supply, the property of the entity; or
- (b) created by the entity in making the supply,

is the ***financial supply provider*** of the interest.<sup>8A</sup>

15. Under a co-branded credit card arrangement, the credit card provider is the entity that creates the interest in a credit arrangement and supplies it to its customers. It is therefore the financial supply provider of the interest.

---

<sup>6</sup> See paragraph 47 of Goods and Services Tax Ruling GSTR 2004/1 Goods and services tax: reduced credit acquisitions.

<sup>7</sup> Subsection 70-5(1) of the GST Act and subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the GST Regulations.

<sup>8</sup> See paragraph 48 of GSTR 2004/1.

<sup>8A</sup> This concept is further explained in paragraphs 30 to 35 and footnote 11A of GSTR 2004/1 and paragraph 106A of GSTR 2002/2.

***Financial supply facilitator***

16. A financial supply facilitator is defined in regulation 40-5.07 of the GST Regulations, in relation to a supply of an interest, as an entity facilitating the supply of an interest for a financial supply provider.<sup>8B</sup>

17. The Commissioner, in GSTR 2002/2<sup>9</sup> and GSTR 2004/1,<sup>10</sup> has set out principles for determining whether an entity is a 'financial supply facilitator' for the purposes of subregulation 70-5.02(2) of the GST Regulations. A summary of these principles is as follows:

- being a financial supply facilitator describes the role that the entity plays in a particular transaction and is not a characteristic inherent of the entity itself;
- an entity can be a financial supply facilitator in relation to some transactions but not in relation to others;
- the particular supply may be an actual financial supply or it may be a supply that the entity intends to make; and
- the activities of the entity must have an identifiable association with the supply that goes beyond a mere general association for the entity to be a financial supply facilitator. An identifiable association requires there to be a substantial connection so as to exclude activities that are only generally related.

***Supplies that an entity intends to make***

18. An intended supply is a particular supply which is intended to be made but which, for some reason, is not actually made. An example of an intended supply is where a mortgage broker introduces a borrower and a lender, but the loan does not take place because the parties cannot reach agreement on the terms.

19. If the activities of an entity (here the co-branding partner) were clearly directed towards assisting in the making of a financial supply and that supply is not made, the entity will still retain the character of a financial supply facilitator.<sup>11</sup>

***A substantial connection with provision of co-branded credit cards***

20. Examples of the types of services provided by a co-branding partner under a co-branded credit card arrangement are listed at paragraph 4 of this Determination. This list is not intended to be exhaustive. The documents that constitute the co-branded credit card arrangement will typically detail which services the co-branding partner is to provide.

21. It is not necessary that all of the services listed in paragraph 4 of this Determination are provided for a co-branding partner to be a financial supply facilitator. However, to have a sufficient nexus, the services provided must have an identifiable association with the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement that goes beyond a mere general association. An identifiable association does not mean that the services have to be directly linked to the supply; however, it does require that there be a substantial connection so as

<sup>8B</sup> This concept is further explained in paragraphs 30 to 35 and footnote 11A of GSTR 2004/1 and paragraph 106A of GSTR 2002/2.

<sup>9</sup> See paragraphs 257 to 265 of GSTR 2002/2.

<sup>10</sup> See paragraphs 30 to 35 of GSTR 2004/1.

<sup>11</sup> See paragraphs 32 and 39 of GSTR 2004/1.

to exclude activities that are only generally related (for example, promotion, advertising, product design, market research or similar types of activities).<sup>12</sup> This is an objective test and should be determined on a case by case basis taking into account all the facts and circumstances.

22. In determining whether services provided by a co-branding partner have a substantial connection to the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement, consideration is to be given to the services provided as a whole, because none of the individual services listed in paragraph 4 of this Determination is determinant. Also, the provision of some services in isolation would not have a substantial connection with the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement.

23. For example, where the co-branding partner's involvement in the issue of the credit cards merely involves providing a list of names, or access to a customer data base, there is not considered to be a substantial connection with the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement. Therefore, the co-branding partner is not a financial supply facilitator.

24. Further, the supply by a co-branding partner of credit card marketing and promotional services, in isolation, is not considered to have a substantial connection to the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement. Similarly, an isolated supply by a co-branding partner of processing credit card applications is not considered to have a substantial connection to the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement.

25. However, these activities may be provided as part of a package which includes, for example, sourcing and introducing customers, providing a telephone application facility and follow up activities where application forms have not been correctly completed. Such a package of services, when looked at as a whole, is indicative of the co-branding partner playing an active role in forwarding and assisting the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement. The acquisition of such a package of services would be considered to have a substantial connection with the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement and, consequently, the co-branding partner would be considered a financial supply facilitator in relation to that supply.

### **Commission**

26. Under the co-branding arrangement, the co-branding partner is paid a commission for the services it provides. Item 27 only applies to supplies by a financial supply facilitator for which it is paid a commission by a financial supply provider.

27. The term 'commission' is not defined in the GST Regulations for the purposes of item 27 in subregulation 70-5.02. The Commissioner considers that the term 'commission' for these purposes means:

Payment to an agent or similar entity, or to an employee for particular services rendered. The payment may be made on a fixed sum or fixed percentage basis, or on a sliding scale based on the value of the transaction.<sup>13</sup>

28. The term commission may include corpus commission, income commission, trailing commission, and brokerage. However, not all of these are necessarily payments to a financial supply facilitator. Other fees, including those calculated on the value of work

<sup>12</sup> See paragraph 32 of GSTR 2004/1.

<sup>13</sup> Refer Schedule 1 of GSTR 2002/2. As per paragraph 652 of GSTR 2004/1, the services referred to are not those provided by an employee.

done, rather than a per-transaction or percentage of value based calculation, and retainers, are not commission.<sup>14</sup>

## Examples

### **Example 1 – substantial connection**

29. *Rockmelon Pty Ltd (a company with a strong brand presence) signs an agreement with Contour Bank to operate a co-branded credit card product. Under the agreement, Contour Bank is responsible for launching a co-branded credit card product into the market. Contour Bank will have the legal relationship with customers and the ensuing operational responsibilities. Rockmelon Pty Ltd is responsible for sourcing, introducing and retaining customers and will undertake its own marketing activities. Both entities are jointly responsible for the design and pricing of the product. If a customer sourced by Rockmelon Pty Ltd is accepted as a customer of Contour Bank, Contour Bank will pay Rockmelon Pty Ltd a commission.*

30. *The sourcing and introduction obligations owed by Rockmelon Pty Ltd to Contour Bank are carried out at its own cost by Rockmelon Pty Ltd. It directly markets and promotes the credit card program to both its existing customer base and the general public. This involves advertising through its own outlets, electronic media and newspapers, direct mail, door drops and booths in shopping centres.*

31. *Paper applications are mailed directly to Rockmelon Pty Ltd who outsources the processing of the applications to a third party, Tiburon & Co. Online applications are hosted on a Contour Bank server and processed by Tiburon & Co. Rockmelon Pty Ltd is constructively involved in this process by being the entity responsible for the operation of the call centre (the provision of which has been outsourced to Tiburon & Co). Telephone applications are handled by a third party engaged by Rockmelon Pty Ltd, which has the responsibility for this function.*

32. *Rockmelon Pty Ltd is also responsible for following up those applicants who have failed to complete checks in the credit approval process. Rockmelon Pty Ltd provides incentives to applicants to complete this process. Where an application is successful and a credit card is issued by Contour Bank, Rockmelon Pty Ltd encourages the use of the credit card by paying an amount into the cardholder's account.*

33. *The retention obligations owed by Rockmelon Pty Ltd to Contour Bank are carried out primarily by Rockmelon Pty Ltd operating a 'reward program' linked to the credit card program. Rockmelon Pty Ltd is also involved in encouraging the use of the credit card after periods of inactivity and training 'retention teams' controlled and funded by Contour Bank.*

34. *The payment of a commission by Contour Bank to Rockmelon Pty Ltd is calculated by reference to customers introduced during a particular period. Where performance goals (in relation to the economic performance of the entire credit card program) are met, Rockmelon Pty Ltd is entitled to a trailing commission from Contour Bank.*

35. *Rockmelon Pty Ltd is a financial supply facilitator in relation to the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement provided by Contour Bank to the co-branded credit card customers. This is because there is a substantial connection between Rockmelon Pty Ltd's supplies to Contour Bank and the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement. The services provided by Rockmelon Pty Ltd are supplies for which a financial supply facilitator is paid a commission by a financial supply provider. Therefore, the acquisition of Rockmelon Pty Ltd's services by Contour Bank is a reduced credit acquisition under*

<sup>14</sup> Refer paragraph 653 of GSTR 2004/1.

item 27 and gives rise to an entitlement to a reduced input tax credit under subregulation 70-5.02(1).

**Example 2 – substantial connection**

36. *High Finance identifies Dominion Pty Ltd as a suitable partner in the establishment of a co-branded credit card product because Dominion Pty Ltd has access to an existing customer base and has the capacity to influence its customers. Dominion Pty Ltd and High Finance contract with each other with the terms and conditions set out in the relevant agreement.*

37. *Under the agreement, High Finance is responsible for introducing a co-branded credit card product into the Australian market. It will have the legal relationship with customers and the ensuing operational responsibilities. Dominion Pty Ltd is responsible for offering, promoting, supporting and distributing the credit card product and encouraging its acceptance and use at distribution channels covered by the agreement.*

38. *The co-branded credit card is for use by cardholders in making credit purchases with merchants in general, but provides incentives (in the form of a rebate off the card balance) where the credit card is used with Dominion Pty Ltd merchants. The incentives are partly funded by Dominion Pty Ltd.*

39. *Under the terms of the agreement, a committee consisting of representatives from both parties is set up to determine the creative style of the credit card product and develop a plan for card distribution and promotion initiatives for the following year. As part of this process, the committee engages an advertising agency to market the credit card product. The costs are shared equally by High Finance and Dominion Pty Ltd.*

40. *Dominion Pty Ltd is responsible for displaying point of sale promotional materials and application forms and placement of posters at participating Dominion Pty Ltd merchants. The staff of participating Dominion Pty Ltd merchants will promote the credit card product by explaining its features and providing other information.*

41. *Dominion Pty Ltd will also provide High Finance with access to its existing and future customer data and will give High Finance access to its merchant's premises to conduct its own promotional campaigns.*

42. *Dominion Pty Ltd is not responsible for collating and dispatching application forms filled out at its participating merchant's premises. This is done by a third party under contract with High Finance. Dominion Pty Ltd is not responsible for the processing of the application forms or for handling any enquiries resulting from these applications. Apart from partially funding the rebate paid by High Finance to cardholders, Dominion Pty Ltd plays no part in the retention of such cardholders.*

43. *Under the agreement, High Finance will pay Dominion Pty Ltd the following commissions:*

- *new account commission (for each new approved credit card account acquired through a participating Dominion Pty Ltd merchant);*
- *trailing commission (based on a percentage of the customer net revenue for the total credit card portfolio);*
- *cross sell commission (where a customer introduced by Dominion Pty Ltd goes on to acquire another financial product- for example, a personal loan – offered by High Finance).*

44. *Despite the fact that Dominion Pty Ltd is not responsible in any way for the processing of application forms, does not handle enquiries in relation to the applications and plays only a small part in retaining cardholders, there is still a substantial connection between the activities of Dominion Pty Ltd and the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement by High Finance. Dominion Pty Ltd is therefore a financial supply facilitator in relation to the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement to the cardholders. Dominion Pty Ltd is paid commission for its services. The acquisition of Dominion Pty Ltd's services by High Finance is therefore a reduced credit acquisition under item 27 and gives rise to an entitlement to a reduced input tax credit under subregulation 70-5.02(1).*

**Example 3 – not a substantial connection**

45. *Tesoro Bank decides to release a new credit card product. It enters into an arrangement with Mercado Pty Ltd, a high profile company with a strong brand image, to undertake the marketing of the credit card product by means of direct mail, television and radio commercials and newspaper advertisements. The arrangement also provides for Mercado Pty Ltd to lend its name to the credit card product as a means of encouraging customer acceptance of the product. Mercado Pty Ltd agrees to accept payment by way of commission based upon the number of customers who take up the offer of the interest in the credit arrangement. Mercado Pty Ltd is not involved in the processing of the applications for the credit card product or in responding to cardholder enquiries in relation to the applications. Mercado Pty Ltd does not play any part in retaining cardholders as customers.*

46. *The activities of Mercado Pty Ltd in providing marketing services and lending its name and image to the credit card product do not have a substantial connection to the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement by Tesoro Bank. Mercado Pty Ltd is not a financial supply facilitator in relation to the supply by Tesoro Bank because there is insufficient nexus between the promotional services it provides and the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement by Tesoro Bank. The acquisition of Mercado Pty Ltd's services is not a reduced credit acquisition under item 27.*

**Example 4 – not a substantial connection**

47. *Gorgon Pty Ltd is an entertainment company whose enterprise includes the operation of theme parks, the production of motion pictures and television shows, and the sale of merchandise. Gorgon Pty Ltd is the owner of a well known cartoon character called Sassy Suzy. Gorgon Pty Ltd enters into an agreement with Dinero Bank under which it grants Dinero Bank the licence to use the image of Sassy Suzy on a new credit card product. The consideration for the grant of the licence is paid to Gorgon Pty Ltd as a commission based on the number of customers who take up the new credit card product.*

48. *Dinero Bank promotes the credit card product by direct mail and the launch of a national television and print advertising campaign. The campaign highlights the competitive benefits of the card together with the ability to accumulate rewards points which can be redeemed at Gorgon Pty Ltd theme parks or for Gorgon Pty Ltd merchandise.*

**GSTD 2007/1**

49. *Gorgon Pty Ltd has not undertaken sufficient activities to be regarded as assisting the provision of the interest in a credit arrangement. There is not a substantial connection between the mere grant of the licence by Gorgon Pty Ltd and the supply of the interest in the credit arrangement by Dinero Bank. Gorgon Pty Ltd is not a financial supply facilitator in relation to the financial supply of the interest in the credit arrangement to the cardholders. Although Gorgon Pty Ltd is paid by way of commission for the grant of the licence, the acquisition of the licence by Dinero Bank is not a reduced credit acquisition under item 27.*

**Date of effect**

50. This Determination applies [to tax periods commencing] both before and after its date of issue. However, this Determination will not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of a settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of this Determination (see paragraphs 75 and 76 of Taxation Ruling TR 2006/10).

51. [Omitted.]

**Commissioner of Taxation**

26 September 2007

*Previous draft:*

GSTD 2007/D1

*Related Rulings/Determinations:*

TR 2006/10; GSTR 2002/2; GSTR 2004/1

*Subject references:*

- credit cards
- goods and services tax
- GST financial supplies
- input tax credit entitlement
- reduced credit acquisitions

*Legislative references:*

- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-5
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15(1)
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15(2)(a)
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15(3)

- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15(4)
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-15(5)
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 11-20
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 40-5
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 40-5(1)
- ANTS(GST)A 1999 70-5(1)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.06
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.07
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.08(2)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.09
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.09(1)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.09(3)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.09(4)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 40-5.12
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 Div 70
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 70-5.02
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 70-5.02(1)
- ANTS(GST)R 1999 70-5.02(2)
- TAA 1953 Sch 1 Div 358

## ATO references

NO: 2007/3422

ISSN: 1443-5179

ATOLaw topic: Goods and Services Tax -- Financial supplies -- reduced credit acquisitions