



PR 2000/119 - Income tax: Red Earth Olives Project

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *PR 2000/119 - Income tax: Red Earth Olives Project*

 This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *20 December 2000*



Product Ruling

Income tax: Red Earth Olives Project

Contents	Para
What this Product Ruling is about	1
Date of effect	12
Withdrawal	14
Arrangement	15
Ruling	32
Explanations	44
Example	92
Detailed contents list	93

Preamble

*The number, subject heading, and the **What this Product Ruling is about** (including **Tax law(s)**, **Class of persons** and **Qualifications** sections), **Date of effect**, **Withdrawal**, **Arrangement** and **Ruling** parts of this document are a ‘public ruling’ in terms of Part IVAAA of the **Taxation Administration Act 1953**. Product Ruling PR 1999/95 explains Product Rulings and Taxation Rulings TR 92/1 and TR 97/16 together explain when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.*

No guarantee of commercial success

The Australian Taxation Office (ATO) **does not** sanction or guarantee this product as an investment. Further, we give no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential investors must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. This will involve a consideration of important issues such as whether projected returns are realistic, the ‘track record’ of the management, the level of fees in comparison to similar products, how the investment fits an existing portfolio, etc. We recommend a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for potential investors by confirming that the tax benefits set out below in the **Ruling** part of this document are available, **provided that** the arrangement is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given, and have described below in the **Arrangement** part of this document.

If the arrangement is not carried out as described below, investors lose the protection of this Product Ruling. Potential investors may wish to seek assurances from the promoter that the arrangement will be carried out as described in this Product Ruling.

Potential investors should be aware that the ATO will be undertaking review activities to confirm the arrangement has been implemented as described below and to ensure that the participants in the arrangement include in their income tax returns income derived in those future years.

Terms of Use of this Product Ruling

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the person(s) who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

Potential investors may wish to refer to the ATO’s Internet site at <http://www.ato.gov.au> or contact the ATO directly to confirm the currency of this Product Ruling or any other Product Ruling that the ATO has issued.

What this Product Ruling is about

1. This Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the 'tax law(s)' identified below apply to the defined class of persons, who take part in the arrangement to which this Ruling relates. In this Ruling this arrangement is sometimes referred to as the Red Earth Olives Project, or 'the Project'.

Tax law(s)

2. The tax law(s) dealt with in this Ruling are:

- section 6-5 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997);
- section 8-1 (ITAA 1997);
- section 17-5 (ITAA 1997);
- Division 27 (ITAA 1997);
- Section 387-55 (ITAA 1997);
- Section 387-125 (ITAA 1997);
- Section 387-165 (ITAA 1997);
- Section 388-55 (ITAA 1997);
- Subdivision 960-Q (ITAA 1997);
- Section 82KL of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936);
- Section 82KZL (ITAA 1936);
- Section 82KZME (ITAA 1936);
- Section 82KZMF (ITAA 1936); and
- Part IVA (ITAA 1936).

Goods and Services Tax

3. In this Ruling all fees and expenditure referred to include Goods and Services Tax (GST) where applicable. In order for an entity (referred to in this Ruling as a Grower) to be entitled to claim input tax credits for the GST included in its expenditure, it must be registered, or required to be registered, for GST and hold a valid tax invoice.

Business Tax Reform

4. The Government is currently evaluating further changes to the tax system in response to the *Ralph Review of Business Taxation* and continuing business tax reform is expected to be implemented over a number of years. Although this Ruling deals with the laws enacted at the time it was issued, future tax changes may affect the operation of those laws and, in particular, the tax deductions that are allowable. Where tax laws change, those changes will take precedence over the application of this Ruling, and to that extent, this Ruling will be superseded.

5. Taxpayers who are considering investing in the Project are advised to confirm with their taxation adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Product Ruling since it was issued.

Note to promoters and advisers

6. Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for investors in projects such as this. In keeping with that intention, the Tax Office suggests that promoters and advisers ensure that potential investors are fully informed of any changes in tax laws that take place after the Ruling is issued. Such action should minimise suggestions that potential investors have been negligently or otherwise misled.

Class of persons

7. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies is those who enter into the arrangement described below on or after the date this Ruling is made. They will have a purpose of staying in the arrangement until it is completed (i.e., being a party to the relevant agreements until their term expires), and deriving assessable income from this involvement as set out in the description of the arrangement. In this Ruling these persons are referred to as 'Growers'.

8. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies does not include persons who intend to terminate their involvement in the arrangement prior to its completion, or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from the Project.

Qualifications

9. The Commissioner rules on the precise arrangement identified in the Ruling.

10. If the arrangement described in this Ruling is materially different from the arrangement that is actually carried out:

PR 2000/119

- the Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner, as the arrangement entered into is not the arrangement ruled upon; and
- the Ruling will be withdrawn or modified.

11. A Product Ruling may only be reproduced in its entirety. Extracts may not be reproduced. As each Product Ruling is copyright, apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no Product Ruling may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Manager, Legislative Services, AusInfo, GPO Box 1920, Canberra ACT 2601.

Date of effect

12. This Ruling applies prospectively from 20 December 2000, the date this Ruling is made. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

13. If a taxpayer has a more favourable private ruling (which is legally binding), the taxpayer can rely on the private ruling if the income year to which the private ruling relates has ended, or has commenced but not yet ended. However, if the arrangement covered by the private ruling has not begun to be carried out, and the income year to which it relates has not yet commenced, this Ruling applies to the taxpayer to the extent of the inconsistency only (see Taxation Determination TD 93/34).

Withdrawal

14. This Product Ruling is withdrawn and ceases to have effect after 30 June 2004. The Ruling continues to apply, in respect of the tax law(s) ruled upon, to all persons within the specified class who enter into the specified arrangement during the term of the Ruling. Thus, the Ruling continues to apply to those persons, even following its withdrawal, who entered into the specified arrangement prior to withdrawal of the Ruling. This is subject to there being no material difference in the arrangement or in the persons' involvement in the arrangement.

Arrangement

15. The arrangement that is the subject of this Ruling is described below. This description is based on the following documents. These documents, or relevant parts of them, as the case may be, form part of and are to be read with this description. The relevant documents or parts of documents incorporated into this description of the arrangement are:

- Application for Product Ruling dated 7 July 2000;
- Constitution (Articles of Association) of Red Earth Olives Limited (“REOL”);
- Constitution (Articles of Association) of Red Earth Olives Land Holdings Limited (“REOLL”);
- Draft Prospectus for Red Earth Olives Project (“the Prospectus”);
- **Draft Constitution of Red Earth Olives Project;**
- Draft Management Agreement between REOL and the Growers;
- Custodian Agreement of Red Earth Olives Project, between REOL and Australian Rural Group Limited (“ARG”) (“the Custodian Agreement”);
- Draft Compliance Plan of Red Earth Olives Project;
- Lease Agreement between REOLL (as lessor) and ARG (as lessee) (“the Lease”);
- Sublease between ARG (as lessor) and REOLL (as lessee) (“the Sublease”);
- Loan Agreement between Laton Finance Pty Limited (“LFPL”) and an applicant; and
- Correspondence received from Applicant dated 6 September 2000, 29 September 2000, 16 October 2000, 19 October 2000 and 28 November 2000.

Note: Certain information received from REOL has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under Freedom of Information Legislation.

16. The document described in bold in paragraph 15 is one the Growers will enter into.

PR 2000/119**Overview**

17. The salient features and effect of these arrangements are summarized below:

Location:	Property known as “Kabinga” situated on the outskirts of Narromine which lies 26 kilometres west of Dubbo, NSW.
Type of business each Grower is carrying on:	Commercial growing of a number of varieties of olives for sale as either fruit destined for the table olive market or for processing into a variety of olive oils.
Number of hectares under cultivation:	Minimum of 50.4 hectares for the Red Earth Olives Project .
Name used to describe the product:	Red Earth Olives Project .
Minimum subscription	200 participation units
Size of the leased area:	400.2 hectares.
Number of trees per hectare	560 Approximately
Expected production:	Average yield of 60kg per tree / 21 tonnes per hectare (average) from when the trees start to yield fruit
The term of the investment:	20 years.
Initial cost:	\$7,474
Initial cost on a per hectare basis:	\$29,080
Ongoing costs:	\$2,702 for year ended 30 June 2002

18. This arrangement is called “Red Earth Olives Project”. Under the arrangement an investor (Grower) must purchase a minimum number of “A” class shares in REOLL. (Note that the Project will not proceed unless the minimum subscription of 200 participation units is achieved). If the investor purchases the minimum number of shares, being 1,000 “A” Class shares of \$1.50 each fully paid, the investor will be entitled to acquire an interest in the Project, subject to the acceptance of the investor’s application by the Manager in its capacity as responsible entity and paying the appropriate Application Price of \$7,474 for Year 1. Such an investor is known as a “Grower”. A

Grower will pay monies to REOLL on account of the subscription price of shares and to REOL on account of irrigation and water management works, licence fee/farm rent, supply of olive trees, prevention of or combating land degradation, insurance, administration fees and management fees.

19. Minimum subscription is required to be reached within 4 months from the date of the Prospectus. Shares will be allocated after minimum subscription has been reached

20. Under the terms of the Constitution, REOL is appointed as the Manager responsible for the ongoing management of the business on behalf of each Grower.

21. The property on which the farming activities are to be carried out is known as “Kabinga” which is owned by REOLL. This property is subject to a first mortgage with the Wespac Banking Corporation. The property is comprised of 400.2 hectares and is situated on the Mitchell Highway approximately 25 kilometers from Dubbo and 13 kilometers from Narromine in central New South Wales. The Property Description is:

AREA	DESCRIPTION	PARISH	COUNTY
400.2 ha	Lot 1 in Deposited Plan No 852135	Minore	Lincoln

Rights of shareholders (Growers)

22. The rights of shareholders are set out in REOLL’s Constitution. In particular:

- A Grower shall have a right to occupy a section of the land owned by REOLL and specified in the Company’s Constitution.
- A Grower shall be entitled to use the agricultural infrastructure necessary for the business, including but not limited to access to irrigation mains, storage areas and access roads.
- A Grower shall be entitled to use the processing infrastructure necessary for the business, including but not limited to loading and unloading equipment, storage areas, grading and sampling equipment; and
- At the conclusion of the Project after the year 2020 harvest, the “A” class shares will convert to ordinary shares and will continue in perpetuity for the benefit of the shareholders of REOLL at that time. Also, at that time, the benefit of and the responsibility for the olive grove reverts to REOLL as owner of the land. The

PR 2000/119

Grower's rights in the land at that time will be the rights attaching to that Grower's ordinary shares in REOLL. The taxation consequences, flowing from the events occurring at that time, do not form part of this Ruling.

Ruling only applies to Growers who join with other Growers and enter the Management Agreement

23. If investors, for whatever reason, enter the Management Agreement, their circumstances may be unique and their tax affairs different from those Growers who also enter the Management Agreement. Investors who do not pay the Application Price and subsequently become bound by the Constitution do not fall within the defined 'class of persons' for the purposes of this Ruling. Accordingly, this Ruling only applies to Growers who become bound by the Constitution.

Project Constitution (Growers)

24. Under the Project Constitution, which incorporates the Management Agreement between the Growers and REOL, the Manager agrees to carry out duties that relate to:

- (i) Soil conditioning, fertilizing and drainage of the land, planting, maintaining, processing and marketing on the Growers' behalf during the first 12 months of the Project; and
- (ii) ongoing management, harvesting, processing and marketing.

25. Under the Constitution, REOL will manage the Grower's business and engage appropriate contractors with relevant expertise in order to achieve its undertakings.

Expenditure of the Growers

26. The expenditure to be paid by a Grower is as follows:

To REOLL (the land Owner):

Upon Application

Allotment fee of \$1.50 per shares for 1,000 shares	\$1,500
---	---------

To REOL (i.e., to the Manager in its capacity as manager of the Grower's business):

Upon Application

Application Price paid by each Grower	\$7,474
---------------------------------------	---------

Expenditure of the Project

27. The expenditure of the Project, per Farm, under the Project Constitution for the relevant periods is as follows:

For the first year

Management fees	\$1,773
Administration fees	\$2,412
Irrigation and water management works	\$1,100
Supply of olive trees	\$1,078
Management fees - establishing horticultural plants	\$684
Licence Fees/Farm Rental	\$146
Prevention of or combating land degradation	\$171
Insurance	\$110

Year 2 (year ended 30 June 2002)

Management fees	\$1,774
Administration fees	\$672
Licence Fee/Farm Rental	\$146
Insurance	\$110

PR 2000/119**Years 3 (Year ended 30 June 2003)**

Insurance	The fee for Year 2 increased in accordance with the CPI
Administration fees	The fee for Year 2 increased in accordance with the CPI
Management fees	\$1,360
Licence Fee/Farm Rental	The fee for Year 2 plus CPI Increase

Years 4 (Year ended 30 June 2004) and each subsequent Year

Management fees	The fee for the previous Year increased in accordance with the CPI
Administration fees	The fee for the previous Year increased in accordance with the CPI
Insurance	The fee for the previous Year increased in accordance with the CPI
Licence Fee/Farm Rental	The fee for the previous Year increased in accordance with the CPI

28. In addition to the payment of fees mentioned above, the Grower will contribute further fees to pay for the harvesting of olives in accordance with the following table:

Year	Fee	Year	Fee
1	Nil	11	\$1,493
2	Nil	12	\$1,537
3	\$378	13	\$1,810
4	\$728	14	\$1,863
5	\$1004	15	\$1,920
6	\$1,315	16	\$1,977
7	\$1,364	17	\$2,036
8	\$1,834	18	\$2,098
9	\$2,049	19	\$2,430

10	\$1,449	20	\$2,504
----	---------	----	---------

29. It is anticipated that the revenue generated from the sale of olives will cover the costs detailed in paragraph 27 above from the year 2006.

Finance

30. Growers can fund their investment in the Project themselves, or borrow from an independent lender.

31. This Ruling does not apply if a Grower enters into a finance agreement that includes or has any of the following features:

- there are split loan features of a type referred to in Taxation Ruling TR 98/22;
- there are indemnity arrangements or other collateral agreements in relation to the loan designed to limit the borrower's risk;
- 'additional benefits' are or will be granted to the borrowers for the purpose of section 82KL or the funding arrangements transform the Project into a 'scheme' to which Part IVA may apply;
- the loan or rate of interest is non-arm's length;
- repayments of the principal and payments of interest are linked to the derivation of income from the Project;
- the funds borrowed, or any part of them, will not be available for the conduct of the Project but will be transferred (by any mechanism, directly or indirectly) back to the lender, or any associate of the lender; or
- lenders do not have the capacity under the loan agreement, or a genuine intention, to take legal action against defaulting borrowers;
- entities associated with the Project, are involved or become involved, in the provision of finance to Growers for the Project.

Ruling

Section 6-5 - assessable income

32. A Grower's share of the gross sales proceeds from the Project, less any GST payable on these proceeds, will be assessable income

under section 6-5. Section 17-5 excludes from assessable income an amount relating to GST payable on a taxable supply.

33. Any dividends received from REOLL in respect of a Grower's "A" Class shares will constitute assessable income of the Grower.

Trading Stock

34. Where REOL performs all functions on behalf of the Growers, olives will remain the trading stock of the Growers.

Minimum subscription

35. A Grower will not incur the fees shown in the Tables below before the minimum subscription for the Project is reached and the Grower's application to enter the Project is accepted (the date the investment is made). Under the prospectus, a Grower's application will not be accepted and the Project will not proceed until the minimum subscription of 200 interests is achieved. Tax deductions are not allowable until these requirements are met.

Section 8-1

Deductions where a Grower is not registered nor required to be registered for GST

36. A Grower may claim tax deductions in the Tables below where the Grower

- participates in the Project by 30 June 2001 to carry on the business of growing olives;
- incurs the fees shown in paragraph 27; and
- is not registered nor required to be registered for GST.

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year 1 deductions	Year 2 deductions	Year 3 deductions
Management Fee	8-1	\$1773 - See note (i) below	\$1774 - See note (i) below	\$1360 - See note (i) below
Administration Fee	8-1	\$2412 - See note (i) below	\$672 - See note (i) below	Year 2 fee increased by CPI - See note (i) below
Insurance	8-1	\$110 - See note (i) below	\$110 - See note (i) below	Year 2 fee increased by CPI - See note (i) below
Licence Fee (Farm Rent)	8-1	\$146 - See note (i) below	\$146 - See note (i) below	Year 2 fee increased by CPI - See note (i) below
Interest		See note (ii) below	See note (ii) below	See note (ii) below

In year four and in each subsequent year, a Grower may claim tax deductions equal to the amount shown above for year three increased in accordance with CPI.

Notes:

- (i) Where a Grower incurs the management, administration, licence and insurance fees as required by the Management Agreement those fees are deductible in full in the year incurred. However, if a Grower **chooses** to prepay fees for the doing of things (eg, the provision of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done in the same income year as the fees are incurred, then the prepayments rules of the ITAA may apply to apportion those fees. In such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee **MUST** be determined using the formula shown in paragraphs 74 to 81 unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. 'Excluded expenditure', being expenditure of less than \$1,000, is an 'exception' to any prepayment rules that apply and is deductible in full in the year in which it is incurred.
- (ii) The deductibility or otherwise of interest arising from agreements that Growers enter into to finance their participation in the Project is outside the scope of this Ruling. However, all Growers who enter into agreements to finance their participation in the Project should read carefully the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 85 to 87 below as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid.

PR 2000/119**Tax deductions for capital expenses**

37. A Grower who participates in the Project will also be entitled to the following tax deductions:

Fee type	ITAA 1997 section	Year 1 deductions	Year 2 deductions	Year 3 deductions
Landcare operations	387-55	\$171 - see note (iii) and (v) below		
Irrigation costs	387-125	\$367 - see note (iv) and (v) below	\$367 - see note (iv) and (v) below	\$366 - see note (iv) and (v) below
Establishment of horticultural plants	387-165	Nil - see note (vi) below		

Notes:

- (iii) A deduction is allowable under section 387-55 for capital expenditure incurred for landcare operations. The deduction is allowed in the year that the expenditure is incurred.
- (iv) A deduction is allowable under section 387-125 for capital expenditure incurred for acquisition and installation of the irrigation system. The deduction is calculated on the basis of one third of the capital expenditure in the year in which the expenditure is incurred, and one third in each of the next 2 years of income.
- (v) A tax offset is available to certain low income primary producers under section 388-55 in respect of expenditure incurred on landcare operations and/or facilities to conserve or convey water. This is an alternative to claiming deductions under sections 387-55 and 387-125.
- (vi) A deduction is allowable to the Grower under section 387-165 for capital expenditure incurred for the acquisition and establishment of the olive trees for use in a horticultural business. The deduction is allowable when the olive trees, as horticultural plants, enter their first commercial season. If the olive trees have an

‘effective life’ for the purposes of section 387-185 of greater than ‘30 years’, this results in a write-off rate of rate of 7% prime cost. The Project’s manager will inform Growers of when the olive trees enter their first commercial season.

Deductions where a Grower is registered or is required to be registered for GST

38. Where a Grower who is registered or is required to be registered for GST:

- participates in the Project by 30 June 2001 to carry on the business of growing olives;
- incurs the fees shown in paragraph 27; and
- is entitled to an input tax credit for the fees

then the tax deductions shown in the Tables above will exclude any amounts of input tax credit (Division 27 of the ITAA). See Example 1 at paragraph 92.

Division 35 – losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – Commissioner’s discretion

39. For a Grower who is an individual and who enters the Project during the year ended 30 June 2001 the rule in section 35-10 may apply to the business activity comprised by their involvement in this Project. Under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) the Commissioner will decide for the income years ending 30 June 2001 to 30 June 2005 that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling.

40. This exercise of the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) will not be required where, for any year in question:

- a Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the objective tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45; or
- the ‘Exception’ in subsection 35-10(4) applies (see paragraph 66 in the Explanations part of this Ruling, below).

41. Where either the Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the objective tests, the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) is exercised, or the Exception in subsection 35-10(4) applies, section 35-10 will not apply. This means that a Grower will not be required to defer any excess of deductions attributable to their business activity in excess of

any assessable income from that activity, ie, any 'loss' from that activity, to a later year. Instead, this 'loss' can be offset against other assessable income for the year in which it arises.

42. Growers are reminded of the important statement made on Page 1 of this Product Ruling. Therefore, Growers should not see the Commissioner's decision to exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) as an indication that the Tax Office sanctions or guarantees the Project or the product to be a commercially viable investment. An assessment of the Project or the product from this perspective has not been made.

Sections 82KZM, 82KZMB – 82KZMD, 82KZME – 82KZMF, 82KL and Part IVA

43. For a Grower who participates in the Project and incurs expenditure as required by the Management Agreement and the Constitution of REOLL the following provisions of the ITAA 1936 have application as indicated:

- expenditure by the Grower does not fall within the scope of section 82KZM (but see paragraphs 74 to 81);
- expenditure by the Grower does not fall within the scope of sections 82KZMB-82KZMD (but see paragraphs 74 to 81);
- expenditure by the Grower does not fall within the scope of sections 82KZME-82KZMF (but see paragraphs 74 to 81);
- section 82KL does not apply to deny the deductions otherwise allowable; and
- the relevant provisions in Part IVA will not be applied to cancel a tax benefit obtained under a tax law dealt with in this Ruling.

Explanations

Section 8-1

44. Consideration of whether management fees and administration fees are deductible under section 8-1 begins with the first limb of the section. This view proceeds on the following basis:

- the outgoings in question must have a sufficient connection with the operations or activities that directly gain or produce the taxpayer's assessable income;

- the outgoings are not deductible under the second limb if they are incurred when the business has not commenced; and
- where all that happens in a year of income is that a taxpayer contractually commits themselves to a venture that may not turn out to be a business, there can be doubt about whether the relevant business has commenced, and hence, whether the second limb applies. However, that does not preclude the application of the first limb in determining whether the outgoing in question has a sufficient connection with activities to produce assessable income.

Is the Grower carrying on a business?

45. An Olive scheme can constitute the carrying on of a business. Where there is a business, or a future business, the Gross Harvest Proceeds each year from the olives from the groves comprising the Project will constitute gross assessable income in their own right. The generation of 'business income' from such a business, or future business, provides the backdrop against which to judge whether the outgoings in question have the requisite connection with the operations that more directly gain or produce this income. These operations will be the planting, tending, maintaining and harvesting of the olives each year from the grove. Generally, a Grower will be carrying on a business of olive growing where:

- the Grower has an identifiable interest in specific growing trees coupled with a right to harvest and sell the olives each year from the trees;
- the olive tree activities are carried out on the Grower's behalf; and
- the weight and influence of the general indicators of a business as used by the Courts point to the carrying on of a business.

46. For this Project Growers have rights under the Constitution in the form of a licence over an identifiable area of land consistent with the intention to carry on a business of growing olive trees. Under the Constitution the Growers engage the Project Manager to acquire olive seedlings and plant out the seedlings on the leased land and to provide ongoing services to care and maintain the olive trees. Growers are considered to have control of their operations.

47. The Licence provides Growers with more than a chattel interest in the olive trees. The Project documentation contemplates Growers will have an ongoing interest in the olive trees.

48. The Growers have the right to use the land in question for growing and selling olives and to have the Project Manager come onto the land to carry out its obligations under the Constitution. The Growers' degree of control over the Project Manager as evidenced by the Constitution, and supplemented by the Corporations Law, is sufficient. Under the Project, Growers are entitled to receive regular progress reports on the Project Manager's activities. Growers are able to terminate arrangements with the Project Manager in certain instances, such as cases of default or neglect. The olive tree activities described in the Constitution are carried out on the Growers' behalf.

49. The general indicators of a business, as used by the Courts, are described in Taxation Ruling TR 97/11. Positive findings can be made from the arrangement's description for all the indicators. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to derive assessable income from the Project. This intention is related to projections contained in the Prospectus that suggest the Project should return a 'before-tax' profit to the Growers, i.e., a 'profit' in cash terms that does not depend in its calculation, on the fees in question being allowed as a deduction.

50. Growers will engage the professional services of a manager with appropriate credentials. These services are based on accepted viticulture practices and are of the type ordinarily found in olive growing ventures that would commonly be said to be businesses.

51. Growers have a continuing interest in the olive trees from the time they are acquired until the cessation of the Project. The olive tree activities, and hence the fees associated with their procurement, are consistent with an intention to commence regular activities that have an 'air of permanence' about them. The Growers' olive tree activities will constitute the carrying on of a business.

52. The licence fees and management fees associated with the olive tree activities will relate to the gaining of income from this business, and hence have a sufficient connection to the operations by which income (from the regular sale of olives) is to be gained from this business. They will thus be deductible under the first limb of section 8-1. Further, no 'non-income producing' purpose in incurring the fee is identifiable from the arrangement. The fee appears to be reasonable. There is no capital component of the management fee. The tests of deductibility under the first limb of section 8-1 are met. The exclusions do not apply.

Expenditure of a capital nature

53. Any part of the expenditure of a Grower entering into a olive tree business that is attributable to acquiring an asset or advantage of an enduring kind is generally capital or capital in nature and will not

be an allowable deduction under section 8-1. In this Project, the costs of, landcare, irrigation and the establishment of horticultural plants are considered to be capital in nature. The fees for these expenditures are not deductible under section 8-1. However, this expenditure falls for consideration under specific write-off provisions of the ITAA 1997.

Subdivision 387-A - expenditure for landcare operations

54. Section 387-55 allows a taxpayer a deduction for capital expenditure incurred on a landcare operation for land used to carry on a primary production business. Growers need not own the land to qualify for the deduction, so long as it is used by them to carry on a primary production business.

55. 'Landcare operation for land' includes constructing surface or subsurface drainage works on the land primarily and principally for controlling salinity or assisting in drainage control. In order to qualify for a deduction under section 387-55, a business must be carried on at the time that the expenditure is incurred. A business will be carried on by a Grower from the time that the Grower enters into the Project. That will generally be the time at which the Grower executes the applicable agreements.

56. Under the Management Agreement a Grower incurs expenditure for constructing surface or subsurface drainage works on the land. In this Project there will be no delay between the execution of the relevant agreements and the commencement of 'business operations' on the Growers' behalf. Accordingly, a Grower's primary production business will have commenced at the time the expenditure in question has been incurred, and the requirements of section 387-55 will have been satisfied.

57. However, a deduction under section 387-55 is denied where the Grower is entitled to claim a landcare tax offset under section 388-55 and chooses to do so. A Grower can only choose a landcare tax offset where:

- had the Grower chosen a deduction instead of the tax offset, the Grower's taxable income for the income year would have been \$20,000 or less; and
- the expenditure is incurred before the end of the 2000-01 income year.

Subdivision 387-B – irrigation expenditure

58. Section 387-125 allows a taxpayer, who is carrying on a business of primary production on land in Australia, to claim a deduction for capital expenditure on conserving or conveying water.

The deduction is allowed over a three-year period and applies to plant or a structural improvement primarily or principally used for the purpose of conserving or conveying water for use in a primary production business. Irrigation systems of the kind proposed would be covered by this Subdivision.

59. As the taxpayer who can claim the deduction does not have to actually own the land but can be a tenant, a lessee or licensee who is conducting a primary production business on land in Australia, a deduction would be available to a Grower in the Project at a rate of 33.3 per cent per annum for the cost of the irrigation system.

60. However, a deduction under section 387-125 is denied where the Grower is entitled to claim a water facility tax offset under section 388-55 and chooses to do so. A Grower can only choose a water facility tax offset where:

- had the Grower chosen a deduction instead of the tax offset, the Grower's taxable income for the income year would have been \$20,000 or less; and
- the expenditure is incurred before the end of the 2000-01 income year.

Subdivision 387-C - vines and horticultural provisions

61. Section 387-165 allows capital expenditure on establishing horticultural plants owned and used, or held ready for use, in Australia in a business of horticulture to be written off for tax purposes. A lessee or licensee of land carrying on a business of horticulture is taken to own the plants growing on that land rather than the actual owner of the land (section 387-210).

62. Under this Subdivision, if the effective life of the plant is less than three years, the expenditure can be written off in full. If the effective life of the plant is more than three years, an annual deduction is allowable on a prime cost basis during the plant's maximum write-off period. The period starts from the time the plant enters its first commercial season. The write-off rate is detailed in section 387-185. For a plant, such as the olive trees in this Project, with an effective life of 30 years or more, that rate is 7%.

Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

63. Under the rule in subsection 35-10(2) a deduction for a loss incurred by an individual (including an individual in a general law partnership) from certain business activities will not be allowable in an income year unless:

- the ‘Exception’ in subsection 35-10(4) applies;
- one of four objective tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45 is met; or
- if one of the objective tests is not satisfied, the Commissioner exercises the discretion in section 35-55.

64. Generally, a loss in this context is, for the income year in question, the excess of an individual taxpayer’s allowable deductions attributable to the business activity over that taxpayer’s assessable income from the business activity.

65. Under the loss deferral rule in subsection 35-10(2) the relevant loss is not able to be taken into account in the calculation of taxable income in the year that loss arose. Instead, in a later year it may be offset against any income from the same or similar business activity, or, if one of the objective tests is passed, or the Commissioner’s discretion exercised, against other income.

66. For the purposes of applying the objective tests, subsection 35-10(3) allows taxpayers to group business activities ‘of a similar kind’. Under subsection 35-10(4), there is an ‘Exception’ to the general rule in subsection 35-10(2) where the loss is from a primary production business activity and the individual taxpayer has other assessable income for the income year from sources not related to that activity, of less than \$40,000 (excluding any net capital gain). As both subsections relate to the individual circumstances of Growers who participate in the Project they are beyond the scope of this Product Ruling and are not considered further.

67. In broad terms, the objective tests require:

- (a) at least \$20,000 of assessable income in that year from the business activity (section 35-30);
- (b) the business activity results in a taxation profit in 3 of the past 5 income years (including the current year)(section 35-35);
- (c) at least \$500,000 of real property is used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-40); or
- (d) at least \$100,000 of certain other assets are used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-45).

68. A Grower who participates in the Project will be carrying on a business activity that is subject to these provisions. Information provided with the application for this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one interest in the Project is unlikely to pass one of the objective tests until the income

year ended 30 June 2008. Growers who acquire more than one interest in the Project may however, pass one of the tests in an earlier income year.

69. Therefore, prior to this time, unless the Commissioner exercises an arm of the discretion under paragraphs 35-55(1)(a) or (b), the rule in subsection 35-10(2) will apply to defer to a future income year any loss that arises from the Grower's participation in the Project.

70. The first arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(a) relates to 'special circumstances' applicable to the business activity, and has no relevance for the purposes of this Product Ruling. However, for an individual Grower who acquires an interest(s) in the Project, the Commissioner will decide that it would be unreasonable not to exercise the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) up to and including the year ended 30 June 2005.

71. The second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) may be exercised by the Commissioner where:

- (i) the business activity has started to be carried on; and
- (ii) there is an objective expectation that the business activity of an individual taxpayer will either pass one of the objective tests or produce a taxation profit within a period that is commercially viable for the industry concerned.

72. This Product Ruling is issued on a prospective basis (i.e., before an individual Grower's business activity starts to be carried on). Therefore, if the Project fails to be carried on during the income years specified above (see paragraph 39), in the manner described in the Arrangement (see paragraphs 15 to 31), the Commissioner's discretion will not have been exercised, because one of the key conditions in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will not have been satisfied.

73. In deciding that the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will be exercised on this conditional basis, the Commissioner has relied upon:

- the report of the independent horticulturalist and additional expert or scientific evidence provided with the application by the Responsible Entity; and
- independent, objective, and generally available information relating to the Olive industry which substantially supports cash flow projections and other claims, including prices and costs, in the Product Ruling application submitted by the Responsible Entity.

Prepayments provisions – sections 82KZM, 82KZMA – 82KZMD and 82KZME – 82KZMF

74. The prepayments provisions of the ITAA operate to spread over more than one income year, a deduction for prepaid expenditure that would otherwise be immediately deductible, in full, under section 8-1. These provisions apply to certain expenditure incurred under an agreement in return for the doing of a thing under the agreement (e.g., the performance of management services or the leasing of land) that is not wholly done within the same year of income as the year in which the expenditure is incurred.

75. In this Project, the Management Fee of \$7,328 and a Licence Fee of \$146 per Farm will be incurred on execution of the Management Agreement. The Management Fee and the Licence Fee are charged for providing management services and leasing land to a Grower by 30 June of the year of execution of the Agreements. In particular, the Management Fee is expressly stated to be for a number of specified services. No explicit conclusion can be drawn from the description of the arrangement that the Management Fee has been inflated to result in reduced fees being payable for subsequent years.

76. There is also no evidence that might suggest the management services covered by the fee could not be provided within the same year of income as the expenditure in question is incurred. Thus, for the purposes of this Ruling, it can be accepted that no part of the initial fee is for the Manager doing 'things' that are not to be wholly done within the year of income of the fee being incurred. On this basis, provided a Grower incurs expenditure as required by the agreements as set out in paragraph 27, then the basic precondition for the operation of the prepayment provisions is not satisfied and fees will be deductible in the year in which they are incurred.

Growers who choose to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project's agreements

77. Although not required under either the Management Agreement, a Grower participating in the Project may choose to prepay fees for a number of years. Where this occurs, contrary to the conclusion reached in paragraph 76 above, the prepayments provisions of the ITAA will operate to apportion the expenditure and allow an income tax deduction over the period that the prepaid benefits are provided.

78. The amount and timing of tax deductions for any prepaid Management Fees or Licence Fees otherwise deductible under section 8-1 will depend upon when the respective amounts are incurred and what the 'eligible service period' is, as defined in subsection 82KZL(1), in relation to these amounts. The 'eligible service period'

PR 2000/119

means generally, the period over which the services are to be provided. The relevant provision of the ITAA will depend on a number of factors including the amount and timing of the prepayment and, where the 'eligible service period' exceeds 13 months, whether the Grower is a 'small business taxpayer'.

79. Where a Grower participating in this Project incurs expenditure in respect of an eligible service period that ends 13 months or less from the time the expenditure was incurred, but also in respect of the doing of a thing not to be wholly done within the income year in which that expenditure has been incurred, and the other tests in section 82KZME are met, then section 82KZMF will apply in the manner set out in the formula below.

$$\text{Expenditure} \times \frac{\text{Number of days of eligible service period in the year of income}}{\text{Total number of days of eligible service period}}$$

In the formula, the 'eligible service period' means, generally, the period to which the services are to be provided.

80. Where a Grower participating in this Project incurs expenditure in respect of a period that ends more than 13 months after that expenditure has been incurred, then section 82KZM will apply if the Grower is a 'small business taxpayer' or section 82KZMD if the Grower is not a 'small business taxpayer'. For a 'small business taxpayer' (see paragraphs 82 to 84) the amount and timing of the allowable deductions will then be calculated using the formula in subsection 82KZM(1) and for non-small business taxpayers using the formula in subsection 82KZMD(2). Both formulae are the same, or effectively the same as that shown in paragraph 79 above, concerning section 82KZMF.

81. A prepaid management fee and/or a prepaid licence fee of less than \$1,000 incurred in an expenditure year is 'excluded expenditure' as defined in subsection 82KZL(1). Subsections 82KZM(1), 82KZME(4) and 82KZMA(4) all provide that 'excluded expenditure' is an exception to the prepayment rules discussed above. Therefore, a prepaid fee of less than \$1,000 is deductible in full in the year in which it is incurred. However, where a Grower acquires more than one interest in the Project and the quantum of a prepaid management fee or a prepaid licence fee is \$1,000 or more, then the amount and timing of the deduction allowable must be determined using the formula shown above.

Subdivision 960-Q - small business taxpayers

82. A 'small business taxpayer' is defined in section 960-335 of the ITAA 1997 as a taxpayer who is carrying on a business and either their 'average turnover' for the year is less than \$1,000,000 or their turnover recalculated under section 960-350 is less than \$1,000,000.

83. 'Average turnover' is determined under section 960-340 by reference to the average of the taxpayer's 'group turnover'. The group turnover is the sum of the 'value of business supplies' made by the taxpayer and entities connected with the taxpayer during the year (section 960-345).

84. Whether a Grower is a 'small business taxpayer' depends upon the circumstances of each Grower and is beyond the scope of this Product Ruling. It is the responsibility of each Grower to determine whether or not they are within the definition of a 'small business taxpayer'.

Interest deductibility

85. The deductibility of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or other financier is outside the scope of this Ruling. Product Rulings only deal with arrangements where all details and documentation have been provided to, and examined by the Tax Office.

86. While the terms of any finance agreement entered into between relevant Growers and such financiers are subject to commercial negotiation, those agreements may require interest to be prepaid. Under the prepayment rules contained in sections 82KZME, 'agreement' (defined in subsection 82KZME(4)) is a broad concept and includes all activities that relate to the agreement including those that give rise to deductions or assessable income. It will encompass activities not described in the Arrangement or otherwise dealt with in the Product Ruling, such as a loan to finance participation in the Project.

87. Therefore, unless the prepaid interest is 'excluded expenditure', where such a loan facility requires interest to be prepaid and the requirements of section 82KZME are met, relevant Growers will be required to use the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) to determine any tax deduction that may be allowable. Where a prepayment is for a more than 13 months, any tax deduction that may be allowable must be determined under section 82KZM (for a 'small business taxpayer') or section 82KZMD (for a taxpayer who is not a 'small business taxpayer'). The relevant formula is the same, or effectively the same as that shown above in paragraph 79 above.

Section 82KL - recouped expenditure

88. The operation of section 82KL depends, among other things, on the identification of a certain quantum of 'additional benefits(s)'. Insufficient 'additional benefits' will be provided to trigger the

application of section 82KL. It will not apply to deny the deduction otherwise allowable under section 8-1.

Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions

89. For Part IVA to apply there must be a 'scheme' (section 177A), a 'tax benefit' (section 177C) and a dominant purpose of entering into the scheme to obtain a tax benefit (section 177D).

90. The Red Earth Olives Project No1 will be a 'scheme'. A Grower will obtain a 'tax benefit' from entering into the scheme, in the form of tax deductions for the amounts detailed at paragraphs 36 to 37 that would not have been obtained but for the scheme. However, it is not possible to conclude the scheme will be entered into or carried out with the dominant purpose of obtaining this tax benefit.

91. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to stay in the scheme for its full term and derive assessable income from the harvesting and sale of the olives. There are no facts that would suggest that Growers have the opportunity of obtaining a tax advantage other than the tax advantages identified in this Ruling. There is no non-recourse financing or round robin characteristics, and no indication that the parties are not dealing with each other at arm's length, or, if any parties are not at arm's length, that any adverse tax consequences result. Further, having regard to the factors to be considered under paragraph 177D(b) it cannot be concluded, on the information available, that participants will enter into the scheme for the dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.

Example

Example 1 – entitlement to 'input tax credit'

92. Margaret, who is registered for GST, invests in the Green Circle Bluegums Project. The management fees are payable on 1 July each year for management services to be provided over the following 12 months. On 1 July 2000 Margaret pays her first year's management fees of \$5,500 and is eligible to claim a tax deduction for the fees in the income year ended 30 June 2001. The extent of her deduction for the management fees however, is reduced by the amount of any 'input tax credit' to which she is entitled. The Project Manager provides Margaret with a 'tax invoice' showing its ABN and the 'price of the taxable supply' for management services as \$5,500. Using the details shown on the valid tax invoice, Margaret calculates her input tax credit as:

$$1/11 \times \$5,500 = \$500$$

Therefore, the tax deduction for management fees that she can claim in her income tax return for the year ended 30 June 2001 is \$5,000 (\$5,500 *less* \$500).

Detailed contents list

93. Below is a detailed contents list for this Product Ruling:

	Paragraph
What this Ruling is about	1
Tax law(s)	2
Goods and Services Tax	3
Business Tax Reform	4
Note to promoters and advisers	6
Class of persons	7
Qualifications	9
Date of effect	12
Withdrawal	14
Arrangement	15
Overview	17
Rights of shareholders (Growers)	22
Ruling only applies to Growers who join with other Growers and enter the Management Agreement	23
Project Consitution (Growers)	24
Expenditure of the Growers	26
Upon Application	26
Upon Application	26
Expenditure of the Project	27
For the first year	27
Year 2 (year ended 30 June 2002)	27
Years 3 (year ended 30 June 2003)	27
Years 4 (year ended 30 June 2004) and each subsequent year	27
Finance	30
Ruling	32
Section 6-5 - assessable income	32

PR 2000/119

Trading stock	34
Minimum subscription	35
Section 8-1	36
Deductions where a Grower is <u>not</u> registered nor required to be registered for GST	36
Tax deductions for capital expenses	37
Deductions where a Grower is registered or is required to be registered for GST	38
Division 35 - losses from non-commercial business activities	39
Section 35-55 - Commissioner's discretion	39
Sections 82KZM, 82KZMB - 82KZMD, 82KZME - 82KZMF, 82KL and Part IVA	43
Explanations	44
Section 8-1	44
Is the Grower carrying on a business ?	45
Expenditure of a capital nature	53
Subdivision 387-A - expenditure for landcare operations	54
Subdivision 387-B - irrigation expenditure	58
Subdivision 387-C - vines and horticultural provisions	61
Division 35 - deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	63
Prepayments provisions - sections 82KZM, 82KZMA - 82KZMD and 82KZME - 82KZMF	74
Growers who choose to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project's agreements	77
Subdivision 960-Q - small business taxpayers	82
Interest deductibility	85
Section 82KL - recouped expenditure	88
Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions	89
Example	92
Example 1 - entitlement to 'input tax credit'	92
Detailed contents list	93

Commissioner of Taxation

20 December 2000

<i>Previous draft:</i>	- ITAA 1997 35-30
Not previously issued in draft form	- ITAA 1997 35-40
	- ITAA 1997 35-45
<i>Related Rulings/Determinations:</i>	- ITAA 1997 35-55
TR 94/13; TR 97/11; TR 97/16;	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)
PR 1999/95; PR 1999/27; TR 92/1;	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(a)
TR 92/20; TD 93/34; TR 98/22	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b)
<i>Subject references:</i>	- ITAA 1987 387-A
- carrying on a business	- ITAA 1997 387-55
- commencement of business	- ITAA 1997 387-60
- crops as trading stock	- ITAA 1997 387-B
- fee expenses	- ITAA 1997 387-125
- horticulture	- ITAA 1997 387-C
- irrigation expenses	- ITAA 1997 387-165
- management fees expenses	- ITAA 1997 387-185
- plantation forestry	- ITAA 1997 388-55
- primary production	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 960-Q
- primary production expenses	- ITAA 1997 960-335
- primary production income	- ITAA 1997 960-340
- producing assessable income	- ITAA 1997 960-345
- product rulings	- ITAA 1997 960-350
- public rulings	- ITAA 1936 82KH(1)
- schemes and shams	- ITAA 1936 82KH(1F)(b)
- tax administration	- ITAA 1936 82KL
- tax avoidance	- ITAA 1936 82KL(1)
- tax benefits under tax avoidance schemes	- ITAA 1997 82KZL
- tax shelters	- ITAA 1997 82KZL(1)
- tax shelters project	- ITAA 1936 82KZM
- trading stock	- ITAA 1997 82KZM(1)
<i>Legislative references:</i>	- ITAA 1936 82KZMA
- ITAA 1997 6-5	- ITAA 1936 82KZMA(4)
- ITAA 1997 8-1	- ITAA 1936 82KZMB
- ITAA 1997 8-1(2)(a)	- ITAA 1936 82KZMC
- ITAA 1997 17-5	- ITAA 1936 82KZMD
- ITAA 1997 Division 27	- ITAA 1936 82KZME
- ITAA 1997 27-5	- ITAA 1936 82KZME(4)
- ITAA 1997 27-30	- ITAA 1936 82KZMF
- ITAA 1997 Division 35	- ITAA 1936 82KZMF(1)
- ITAA 1997 35-10	- ITAA 1936 Part IVA
- ITAA 1997 35-10(2)	- ITAA 1936 177A
- ITAA 1997 35-10(4)	- ITAA 1936 177C
	- ITAA 1936 177D
	- ITAA 1936 177D(b)

ATO references:

NO 2000/004741

BO

FOI number: I 1022419

ISSN: 1441-1172