

# ***PR 2002/25 - Income tax: Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2***

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *PR 2002/25 - Income tax: Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2*

 This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *6 March 2002*



# Product Ruling

## Income tax: Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2

Contents	Para
<b>What this Product Ruling is about</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Date of effect</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Withdrawal</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Arrangement</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Ruling</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>Explanations</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Detailed contents list</b>	<b>119</b>

### *Preamble*

*The number, subject heading, and the **What this Product Ruling is about** (including **Tax law(s)**, **Class of persons and Qualifications sections**), **Date of effect**, **Withdrawal**, **Arrangement and Ruling** parts of this document are a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the **Taxation Administration Act 1953**. Product Ruling PR 1999/95 explains Product Rulings and Taxation Rulings TR 92/1 and TR 97/16 together explain when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.*

### **No guarantee of commercial success**

Potential participants may wish to refer to the ATO's Internet site at <http://www.ato.gov.au> or contact the ATO directly to confirm the currency of this Product Ruling or any other Product Ruling that the ATO has issued.

The Australian Taxation Office (ATO) **does not** sanction or guarantee this product. Further, we give no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. This will involve a consideration of important issues such as whether projected returns are realistic, the 'track record' of the management, the level of fees in comparison to similar products, how this product fits an existing portfolio, etc. We recommend a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for potential participants by confirming that the tax benefits set out below in the **Ruling** part of this document are available **provided that** the arrangement is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given and have described below in the **Arrangement** part of this document.

If the arrangement is not carried out as described below, participants lose the protection of this Product Ruling. Potential participants may wish to seek assurances from the promoter that the arrangement will be carried out as described in this Product Ruling.

Potential participants should be aware that the ATO will be undertaking review activities to confirm the arrangement has been implemented as described below and to ensure that the participants in the arrangement include in their income tax returns income derived in those future years.

### **Terms of Use of this Product Ruling**

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the person(s) who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

## What this Product Ruling is about

---

1. This Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the 'tax laws' identified below apply to the defined class of persons who take part in the arrangement to which this Ruling refers. In this Ruling this arrangement is sometimes referred to as the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2 or simply as 'the Project'.

### Tax laws

2. The tax laws dealt with in this Ruling are:
- Section 6-5 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* ('ITAA 1997');
  - Section 8-1 (ITAA 1997);
  - Section 17-5 (ITAA 1997);
  - Division 27 (ITAA 1997);
  - Division 35 (ITAA 1997);
  - Division 40 (ITAA 1997);
  - Division 328 (ITAA 1997);
  - Section 82KL of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* ('ITAA 1936');
  - Section 82KZL (ITAA 1936);
  - Section 82KZME (ITAA 1936);
  - Section 82KZMF (ITAA 1936); and
  - Part IVA (ITAA 1936).

### Goods and Services Tax

3. In this Ruling all fees and expenditure referred to include Goods and Services Tax ('GST') where applicable. In order for an entity (referred to in this Ruling as a Grower) to be entitled to claim input tax credits for the GST included in its expenditure, it must be registered or required to be registered for GST and hold a valid tax invoice.

### Changes in the Law

4. The Government is currently evaluating further changes to the tax system in response to the *Ralph Review of Business Taxation* and

continuing business tax reform is expected to be implemented over a number of years. Although this Ruling deals with the taxation legislation enacted at the time it was issued, later amendments may impact on this Ruling. Any such changes will take precedence over the application of this Ruling and, to that extent, this Ruling will be superseded.

5. Taxpayers who are considering participating in the Project are advised to confirm with their taxation adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Product Ruling since it was issued.

### **Note to promoters and advisers**

6. Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for participants in projects such as this. In keeping with that intention, the Tax Office suggests that promoters and advisers ensure that participants are fully informed of any legislative changes after the Ruling is issued.

### **Class of persons**

7. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies is the persons who are more specifically identified in the Ruling part of this Product Ruling and who enter into the arrangement specified below on or after the date this Ruling is made. They will have a purpose of staying in the arrangement until it is completed (i.e., being a party to the relevant Agreements until their term expires) and deriving assessable income from this involvement. In this Ruling these persons are referred to as 'Growers'.

8. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies does not include persons who intend to terminate their involvement in the arrangement prior to its completion or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it.

### **Qualifications**

9. The Commissioner rules on the precise arrangement identified in the Ruling. If the arrangement described in the Ruling is materially different from the arrangement that is actually carried out, the Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner. The Ruling will be withdrawn or modified.

10. A Product Ruling may only be reproduced in its entirety. Extracts may not be reproduced. As each Product Ruling is copyright, apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning

reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Manager,  
Legislative Services, AusInfo, GPO Box 1920, Canberra ACT 2601.

## Date of effect

---

11. This Ruling applies prospectively from 6 March 2002, the date this Ruling is made. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

12. If a taxpayer has a more favourable private ruling (which is legally binding), the taxpayer can rely on that private ruling if the income year to which it relates has ended or has commenced but not yet ended. However if the arrangement covered by the private ruling has not commenced, and the income year to which it relates has not yet commenced, this Ruling applies to the taxpayer to the extent of the inconsistency only (see Taxation Determination TD 93/34).

## Withdrawal

---

13. This Product Ruling is withdrawn and ceases to have effect after 30 June 2004. The Ruling continues to apply, in respect of the tax law(s) ruled upon, to all persons within the specified class who enter into the arrangement specified below. Thus, the Ruling continues to apply to those persons, even following its withdrawal, who entered into the specified arrangement prior to withdrawal of the Ruling. This is subject to there being no change in the arrangement or in the person's involvement in the arrangement.

## Arrangement

---

14. The arrangement that is the subject of this Ruling is described below. This description incorporates the following documents:

- Application for Product Ruling from Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Limited (CPVL, the "Manager"), dated 22 December 2000;
- Draft Prospectus for the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2 ("Prospectus") received by the ATO on 3 January 2001;

- **Draft Constitution for Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2** (“Project Deed”), received by the ATO on 19 February 2001;
- Draft Custody Agreement between the Manager and Tower Trust (SA) Ltd (“Custodian”), received by the ATO on 20 February 2001;
- Draft Memorandum of Lease (“Lease”) between CPV Land Holdings Limited and the Custodian, received by the ATO on 3 January 2001;
- Draft Memorandum of Sub-Lease (“Sub-Lease”) between the Custodian and the Manager, received by the ATO on 3 January 2001;
- Draft Vineyard Management Agreement between the Manager and Coonawarra Vineyard Management Services Pty Ltd (“CVMS”), received by the ATO on 19 February 2001;
- Draft Compliance Plan for the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2, received by the ATO on 19 February 2001;
- Independent Valuation Report, dated 21 January 2001, received by the ATO 16 February 2001;
- Independent Horticultural Reports received by the ATO 20 February 2001;
- Application for Product Ruling from Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Limited (CPVL, the “Manager”), dated 22 October 2001;
- Prospectus for Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2 dated 10 April 2001;
- Supplementary Prospectus for Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2 dated 1 November 2001;
- Draft Vineyard Management Agreement No 2- Second Issue dated 19 November 2001 between the Manager and CVMS;
- Deed Poll of Variation for the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2 Constitution dated 8 January 2002;
- Memorandum of Lease (“Lease”) between CPV Land Holdings Limited and the Custodian dated 28 March 2001;

- Memorandum of Sub-Lease (“Lease”) between the Custodian and the Manager dated 28 March 2001;
- Draft copy of the Addendum to Vineyard Management Plan for the Second Issue received by the ATO 21 February 2002; and
- Correspondence received from the Applicant dated 20 February 2001, 7, 10, 12 and 23 March 2001, 22 and 26 October 2001, 14 November 2001, and 11, 14, 18 and 21 February 2002.

**Note: certain information received from the applicant has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under the Freedom of Information legislation.**

15. The documents highlighted are those that the Growers enter into. There are no other agreements, whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable, which a Grower, or an associate of the Grower will be a party to that are part of the arrangement to which this Ruling applies.

16. All Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) requirements are, or will be, complied with for the term of the agreements. The effect of the agreements may be summarised as follows.

### Overview of the Project

17. The arrangement is called the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2

Location	Coonawarra wine-grape growing region in the South-East of South Australia
Type of business each participant is carrying on	Planting, propagating, cultivating and developing vines on the Grower’s Vineyard Lot, for commercial growing and sale of premium quality wine grapes.
Number of hectares under cultivation	129.6 planted hectares (140.2 hectares of land in total)
Name used to describe the Product	Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2.
Size of the licensed area	0.1 hectares (approx)
Number of vines per hectare	1,818
Expected production	6.3, 10.08 and 12.6 tonnes per hectare for the years ended 30 June 2005, 2006, and 2007 to 2015 respectively.

The term of the investment in years	Greater than 13 years but less than 14 years
Initial costs per Vineyard Lot	Initial cost of \$9,538 for the licence and management fees for the first 3 years and \$1,357 for the issue of shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited.
Initial costs per hectare	Initial cost of \$94,236 for the licence and management fees for the first 3 years and \$13,402 for the issue of shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited.
Outgoing costs per hectare	In Year 4 and later years there is licence and management fees of \$11,346 per annum (indexed to CPI)
Other costs	Nil.

### **Offer to Potential Investors**

18. The offer to potential Growers is an interest in the Project, by way of Grower Units, together with ordinary shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited.

19. For each Grower Unit, Growers (or their associate) must subscribe for one hundred ordinary shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited. Each Grower (or their associate) is required to hold 100 shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited for each Grower Unit at all times. There are no restrictions attaching to the CPV Land Holdings Limited shares.

20. Growers will operate the vineyard located on property owned by CPV Land Holdings Limited (a company in which the Growers hold shares).

21. Potential Growers have the choice of whether or not their Grower Unit is to be a Managed Grower Unit (cl 32 of the Constitution). In either case the Grower is entitled to use a specified area of land (their Vineyard Lot) to carry on a business of growing grapevines and harvesting and selling any resulting grapes.

22. However, subscribing for a Managed Grower Unit also involves appointing CPVL as the Grower's agent to carry on this business. The rest of this description of the arrangement only covers Growers who acquire Managed Grower Units. **Therefore, this Ruling will not apply to persons who enter the Project but choose not to use the services of CPVL.**

### **Share subscription**

23. CPV Land Holdings Limited presently owns, or has under contract for acquisition, the land on which the Project will be

conducted. Growers will subscribe for 100 ordinary shares in CPV Land Holdings Limited and, under the Lease, CPV Land Holdings Limited will lease the land to the Custodian, who in turn will lease the land to the Manager until 30 June 2015.

## **Project Constitution**

24. Under the Project Constitution, the Manager will grant each Grower a licence to use one or more Vineyard Lots with attaching water rights. Each Vineyard Lot is an individually identifiable area of approximately 0.1 hectare of land. The licence will be granted for the purpose of enabling each Grower to use and occupy their Vineyard Lot for the purpose of growing, cultivating and harvesting wine grapes for sale. The Grower bears the cost of establishing the grape vines, trellising, irrigation system, frost protection and other infrastructure on their Vineyard Lot.

25. There is no separate Management Agreement for this Project. Instead, the terms under which CPVL is to provide management services to the Growers holding Managed Grower Units are set out in clauses 32 to 38 of the Constitution. In fact, the Constitution sets out in full the legal relationships between a Grower and CPVL on acceptance of the Grower into the Project.

26. Where the Grower appoints CPVL, they enter into a management arrangement with that company for the period to 31 December in the 13th year following the Allocation of the Vineyard Lot (cl 32). CPVL is responsible for the establishment of a vineyard on their behalf, the ongoing maintenance of those vines, the harvesting of grapes from those vines, and the marketing and selling of the grapes. The Grower and, therefore, CPVL as their agent, must plant no less than 181 grapevines, of only specific varieties, on each Vineyard Lot (cls 28 and 29).

27. CPVL may subcontract its obligations under this arrangement to another party, and will contract with Coonawarra Vineyard Management Services Pty Ltd in this regard.

28. Under clause 34.1, CPVL will be responsible for maintaining records of the activities carried out on behalf of the Grower. The Grower may inspect these records at any time. CPVL is, under clause 34.2, to supply the following reports to each Grower at least once a year:

- the state of health of the grapevines;
- the expected crop levels;
- previous crop yield;
- revenue generated; and

- expenses incurred.

29. A Grower may terminate their agreement with CPVL in certain situations:

- where CPVL commits a breach of any of its obligations and the default is not remedied within 10 Business Days of receiving notice of the breach (cl 38.2); and
- where a meeting of members, complying with section 252J of the Corporations Law, passes a motion, by at least 50% of the total number of votes, to do so (cl 39.2).

30. Any trellises affixed to the land on behalf of a Grower will remain the property of the Grower (cl 19.5) and the Constitution grants the Grower the right to remove these trellises at the end of the Project. The ownership of trellises that are not removed by the Grower at the end of the Project will revert to the landowner. The Manager at its own cost will provide all vineyards for this Project, as the vineyards will be recycled for use in later Projects.

31. Each Grower's name will be entered in a Growers' Register. Each Grower's name will be matched with a 'uniquely identified' Vineyard Lot (cl 13.5) of approximately 0.10 hectares, and they will be advised of the exact location of this by reference to the 'GRO' plan lodged with the South Australian Land Titles Office.

### **Grape sales**

32. Growers with Managed Grower Units appoint CPVL to be their exclusive agent to market and sell the grapes produced (cl 32).

33. CPVL may, at its discretion, mix all or some of the grapes from each Grower's managed vineyard lots for subsequent sale (cl 32.7).

34. CPVL may also reject and dispose of any grapes that it deems unsuitable for the production of wine (cl 32.8).

35. Growers will be entitled to the proceeds from the sale of the grapes without reference to type, quality or volume. However, each Grower based on the number of Grower Units held (cl 32.10) will derive sale proceeds.

### **Vineyard Management Agreement**

36. The Manager will enter into the Vineyard Management Agreement with the Vineyard Manager. Under this agreement the Vineyard Manager will be obliged to:

**PR 2002/25**

- (a) establish and develop the vineyard;
- (b) maintain and supervise all viticultural activities on the vineyard plots; and
- (c) harvest and arrange for transport of the grapes from the vineyard to the winery.

**Fees payable and work to be performed**

37. The Licence and Management Fees payable in the first three years of the Project for each Vineyard Lot are as follows:

<b>Work Performed</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Year 3</b>
Land Degradation / Fencing	\$18.70	-	-
Land Preparation & Design	\$173.80	-	-
Vines & Planting	\$222.20	\$133.10	-
Trellising	\$507.10	\$507.10	-
Irrigation & Frost Control	\$728.20	\$980.10	-
Infrastructure	\$26.40	\$26.40	-
Electricity	\$51.70	\$51.70	-
Management Fee	\$2,550.90	\$1,763.30	\$1,302.40
Licence Fee	\$165.00	\$165.00	\$165.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,444.00</b>	<b>\$3,626.70</b>	<b>\$1,467.40</b>

38. The Manager will complete all year 1 services by 30 June 2002. Specifically, these services include:

- Land preparation;
- Land degradation;
- Installation of underground components of irrigation and frost system;
- Drilling of bores;
- Installation of trellising;
- Acquisition of grapevines;
- Power connection; and
- General farm maintenance.

**Finance**

39. Growers can fund their investment in the Project themselves, or borrow from an independent lender.

40. This Ruling does not apply if a Grower enters into a finance agreement that includes or has any of the following features:

- there are split loan features of a type referred to in Taxation Ruling TR 98/22;
- there are indemnity arrangements or other collateral agreements in relation to the loan designed to limit the borrower's risk;
- 'additional benefits' are or will be granted to the borrowers for the purpose of section 82KL or the funding arrangements transform the Project into a 'scheme' to which Part IVA may apply;
- the loan or rate of interest is non-arm's length;
- repayments of the principal and payments of interest are linked to the derivation of income from the Project;
- the funds borrowed, or any part of them, will not be available for the conduct of the Project but will be transferred (by any mechanism, directly or indirectly) back to the lender, or any associate of the lender;
- lenders do not have the capacity under the loan agreement, or a genuine intention, to take legal action against defaulting borrowers; or
- entities associated with the Project are involved, or become involved, in the provision of finance to Growers for the Project.

## **Ruling**

---

### **Application of this Ruling**

41. This Ruling applies only to Growers who are accepted to participate in the Project on or after the issue date of this Ruling and on or before 10 May 2002 and who have executed a Management Agreement and a Licence Agreement before that date. The Grower's participation in the Project must constitute the carrying on of a business of primary production.

42. A Grower is not eligible to claim any tax deductions until the Grower's application to enter the Project is accepted and the Project has commenced.

## **The Simplified Tax System ('STS')**

### **Division 328**

43. For a Grower participating in the Project, the recognition of income and the timing of tax deductions, including those related to capital allowances, is different depending on whether the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer'. To be an 'STS taxpayer' a Grower:

- must be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer'; and
- must have elected to be an 'STS taxpayer'.

### **Qualification**

44. This Product Ruling assumes that a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' is so for the income year in which their participation in the Project commences. A Grower may become an 'STS taxpayer' at a later point in time. Also, a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may choose to stop being an 'STS taxpayer', or may cease to be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer', during the term of the Project. These are contingencies relating to the circumstances of individual Growers that cannot be accommodated in this Ruling. Such Growers can ask for a private ruling on how the taxation legislation applies to them.

## **Tax outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS taxpayers'**

### **Assessable Income**

#### **Section 6-5**

45. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

46. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of viticulture at the time that income is derived.

### **Deductions for Management fees and Licence fees**

#### **Section 8-1**

47. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses:

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2002	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
<b>Management Fee</b>	8-1	\$2550.90 – See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)	\$1763.30 – See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)	\$1302.40 – See Note (i) & (ii) (below)
<b>Licence Fee (Rent)</b>	8-1	\$165.00 – See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)	\$165.00 – See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)	\$165.00 – See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)

**Notes:**

- (i) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits): Division 27. See example at paragraph 118;
- (ii) The Management fees and the Licence fees shown in the Management Agreement and the Licence Agreement are deductible in full in the year that they are incurred. However, if a Grower **chooses** to prepay fees for the doing of a thing (e.g., the provision of management services or the licensing of land) that will not be wholly done in the income year the fees are incurred, the prepayment rules of the ITAA 1936 may apply to apportion those fees. In such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 91 unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. 'Excluded expenditure' is an 'exception' to the prepayment rules and is deductible in full in the year in which it is incurred. For the purpose of this Ruling 'excluded expenditure' refers to an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000.

**Deductions for capital expenditure****Division 40**

48. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' will also be entitled to tax deductions relating to trellising, water facilities (e.g., irrigation), a 'landcare operation' and grapevines. All deductions shown in the following Table are determined under Division 40.

**PR 2002/25**

Fee type	ITAA 1997 section	Year ended 30 June 2002	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Trellising	40-25	Must be calculated - See Notes (iii) and (iv) below	Must be calculated - See Notes (iii) and (iv) below	Must be calculated - See Notes (iii) and (iv) below
Water facility (e.g., irrigation, dam, bore, etc)	40-515	\$243.00 - see Notes (iii) & (v) below	\$569.00 - see Notes (iii) & (v) below	\$569.00 - see Notes (iii) & (v) below
Landcare operations	40-630	\$19.00 - see Notes (iii) & (vi) below		
Establishment of horticultural plants (grapevines)	40-515	Nil - see Notes (iii) & (vii) below	Nil - see Notes (iii) & (vii) below	Nil - see Notes (iii) & (vii) below

**Notes:**

- (iii) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of capital expenditure would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits): Division 27. See example at paragraph 118;
- (iv) Trellising is a 'depreciating asset'. Each Grower's interest in the trellising is a 'depreciating asset'. The 'cost' of the asset is the amount paid by each Grower. The decline in value of the asset is calculated using the formula in either subsection 40-70(1) ('diminishing value method') or subsection 40-75(1) ('prime cost method'). Both formulas rely on the 'effective life' of the trellising;

Growers can either self-assess the 'effective life' (section 40-105) or use the Commissioner's determination of 'effective life' (section 40-100). The Commissioner has determined that trellising has an 'effective life' of 20 years. Trellising will be installed and first used during the year ended 30 June 2002. The Project Manager will advise Growers when that occurs to enable Growers to calculate the deduction for the decline in value;

- (v) Any irrigation system, dam or bore is a 'water facility' as defined in subsection 40-520(1), being used primarily and principally for the purpose of conserving or conveying water. A deduction is available under Subdivision 40-F, paragraph 40-515(1)(a). This deduction is equal to one third of the capital expenditure incurred by each Grower on the installation of the 'water facility' in the year in which it is incurred and one third in each of the next 2 years of income (section 40-540);
- (vi) Any capital expenditure incurred for a 'landcare operation' (as defined in section 40-635) is fully deductible in the year it is incurred under Subdivision 40-G, section 40-630;
- (vii) As grapevines are affixed to land which the Grower does not own, they are not owned by the Grower, the conditions in subsection 40-525(3) cannot be met, and the grapevines are not eligible for the 4-year write-off under section 40-550. However, grapevines are a 'horticultural plant' as defined in subsection 40-525(2). As Growers hold the land under a licence, one of the conditions in subsection 40-525(2) is met and a deduction for 'horticultural plants' is available under paragraph 40-515(1)(b) for their decline in value. The deduction for the grapevines is determined using the formula in section 40-545 and is based on the capital expenditure incurred by the Grower that is attributable to their establishment. If the grapevines have an 'effective life' of greater than 13 but fewer than 30 years for the purposes of section 40-545, this results in a straight-line write-off at a rate of 13%. The deduction is allowable when the grapevines enter their first commercial season (section 40-530, item 2). The Project Manager will inform Growers of when the grapevines enter their first commercial season.

## **Tax outcomes for Growers who are 'STS taxpayers'**

### **Assessable Income**

#### **Section 6-5**

49. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

50. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of viticulture at the time the income is received (paragraph 328-105(1)(a)).

### **Deductions for Management fees and Licence fees**

#### **Section 8-1 and section 328-105**

51. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses:

<b>Fee Type</b>	<b>ITAA 1997 Sections</b>	<b>Year ended 30 June 2002</b>	<b>Year ended 30 June 2003</b>	<b>Year ended 30 June 2004</b>
<b>Management Fee</b>	8-1 & 328-105	\$2550.90 – See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)	\$1763.30 – See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)	\$1302.40– See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)
<b>Licence Fee (Rent)</b>	8-1 & 328-105	\$165.00 – See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)	\$165.00 – See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)	\$165.00 – See Notes (viii), (ix) & (x) (below)

#### **Notes:**

- (viii) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits): Division 27. See example at paragraph 118;
- (ix) If, for any reason, an amount shown in the Table above is not fully paid in the year in which it is incurred by a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' then the amount is only deductible to the extent to which it has been paid, or has been paid for the Grower. Any amount or part of an amount shown in the Table above which is not paid in the year in which it is incurred will be deductible in the year in which it is actually paid;
- (x) Where a Member who is an 'STS taxpayer', pays the Management fees and the Rent in the relevant income years shown in the Licence and Management Agreement, those fees are deductible in full in the year that they are paid. However, if a Grower **chooses** to prepay fees for the doing of a thing (e.g., the provision of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done in the income year the fees are incurred, the prepayment rules of the ITAA may apply to apportion those fees (see paragraphs 85 to 96) . In

such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 91, unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. 'Excluded expenditure' is an 'exception' to the prepayment rules, and is deductible in full in the year in which it is incurred. For the purpose of this Ruling 'excluded expenditure' refers to an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000.

### **Deductions for capital expenditure**

#### **Subdivision 328-D and Subdivisions 40-F and 40-G**

52. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' will also be entitled to tax deductions relating to trellising, water facilities (e.g., irrigation), a 'landcare operation' and grapevines. Deductions relating to the 'cost' of trellising must be determined under Division 328. An 'STS taxpayer' may claim deductions in relation to water facilities under Subdivision 40-F and in relation to a 'landcare operation' under Subdivision 40-G. If the 'water facility' or 'landcare operation' expenditure is on a 'depreciating asset' used to carry on the business, they may choose to claim deductions under Division 328. Deductions for the grapevines must be determined under Subdivision 40-F.

53. The deductions shown in the following Table assume, for representative purposes only, that a Grower has either chosen to or can only claim deductions for expenditure on water facilities or a 'landcare operation' under Subdivisions 40-F or 40-G and not under Division 328. If the expenditure has been incurred on 'depreciating assets' and is claimed under Division 328, the deduction is determined as discussed in Notes (xiii) and (xiv) below.

54. Under Division 328, if the 'cost' of a 'depreciating asset' at the end of the income year is less than \$1000 (a 'low-cost asset'), it can be claimed as an immediate deduction when first used or 'installed ready for use'. This is so provided the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' for the income year in which it starts to 'hold' the asset and the income year in which it first uses the asset or has it 'installed ready for use' to produce assessable income.

**PR 2002/25**

Fee type	ITAA 1997 section	Year ended 30 June 2002	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Trellising	328-185 & 328-190	\$152.13 - See Notes (xi) & (xii) below	\$258.62 - See Notes (xi) & (xii) below	\$181.04 - See Notes (xi) & (xii) below
Water facility (e.g., irrigation, dam, bore, etc)	40-515	\$243.00 - see Notes (xi) & (xiii) below	\$569.00 - see Notes (xi) & (xiii) below	\$569.00 - see Notes (xi) & (xiii) below
Landcare operations	40-630	\$19.00 - see Notes (xi) & (xiv) below		
Establishment of horticultural plants (grapevines)	40-515	Nil - see Notes (xi) & (xv) below	Nil - see Notes (xi) & (xv) below	Nil - see Notes (xi) & (xv) below

**Notes:**

- (xi) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of capital expenditure would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits): Division 27. See example at paragraph 118;
- (xii) Trellising is a 'depreciating asset'. Each Grower's interest in the trellising is a 'depreciating asset' which can be allocated to a 'general STS pool'. The 'cost' of the asset is the amount paid by each Grower. The tax deduction allowable is determined in the year ended 30 June 2002 by multiplying the 'cost' of the interest by half the 'general STS pool rate, i.e., by 15%. Each Grower's interest in the trellising is allocated to their 'general STS pool' at the end of the year ended 30 June 2002 and that part of the 'cost' not deducted in the first year is added to the pool balance. In subsequent years, the full pool rate of 30% will apply;
- (xiii) Any irrigation system, dam or bore is a 'water facility' as defined in subsection 40-520(1), being used primarily and principally for the purpose of conserving or conveying water. If the expenditure is on a 'depreciating asset' (the underlying asset), the Grower may choose to claim a deduction under either Division 328 or Subdivision 40-F. For the purposes of Division 328, each Grower's interest in the underlying

asset is itself deemed to be a 'depreciating asset'. If the 'cost' apportionable to that deemed 'depreciating asset' is less than \$1000, the deemed asset is treated as a 'low-cost asset' and that amount is deductible in full when the underlying asset is first used or 'held' ready for use. This is so provided the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' for the income year in which it starts to 'hold' the asset and the income year in which it first uses the asset or has it 'installed ready for use' to produce assessable income. If the deemed asset is not treated as a 'low-cost asset', the tax deduction allowable in the year ended 30 June 2002 is determined by multiplying its 'cost' by half the relevant STS pool rate. At the end of the year, it is allocated to the relevant STS pool and in subsequent years the full pool rate will apply. If the expenditure is not on a 'depreciating asset', or if they choose to use Subdivision 40-F, Growers must claim deductions under Subdivision 40-F, paragraph 40-515(1)(a). This deduction is equal to one third of the capital expenditure incurred by each Grower on the installation of the 'water facility' in the year in which it is incurred and one third in each of the next 2 years of income (section 40-540);

- (xiv) Any capital expenditure incurred for a 'landcare operation' (as defined in section 40-635) is fully deductible in the year it is incurred under Subdivision 40-G, section 40-630. If the expenditure is on a 'depreciating asset' (the underlying asset), the Grower may choose to claim a deduction under either Division 328 or Subdivision 40-G (although expenditure on some items of plant can only be deducted under Division 328). For the purposes of Division 328, each Grower's interest in the underlying asset is itself deemed to be a 'depreciating asset'. If the 'cost' apportionable to that deemed 'depreciating asset' is less than \$1000, the deemed asset is treated as a 'low-cost asset' and that amount is deductible in full when the underlying asset is first used or 'held' ready for use. This is so provided the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' for the income year in which it starts to 'hold' the asset and the income year in which it first uses the asset or has it 'installed ready for use' to produce assessable income. If the deemed asset is not treated as a 'low-cost asset', the tax deduction allowable is determined by multiplying its 'cost' by half the relevant STS pool rate. At the end of the year,

it is allocated to the relevant STS pool and in subsequent years, the full pool rate will apply. If the expenditure is not on a 'depreciating asset', the expenditure is fully deductible under Subdivision 40-G;

- (xv) As grapevines are affixed to land which the Grower does not own, they are not owned by the Grower, the conditions in subsection 40-525(3) cannot be met, and the grapevines are not eligible for the 4 year write-off under section 40-550. However, grapevines are a 'horticultural plant' as defined in subsection 40-525(2). As Growers hold the land under licence, one of the conditions in subsection 40-525(2) is met and a deduction for 'horticultural plants' is available under paragraph 40-515(1)(b) for their decline in value. The deduction for the grapevines is determined using the formula in section 40-545 and is based on the capital expenditure incurred by the Grower that is attributable to their establishment. If the grapevines have an 'effective life' of greater than 13 but fewer than 30 years for the purposes of section 40-545, this results in a straight-line write-off at a rate of 13%. The deduction is allowable when the grapevines enter their first commercial season (section 40-530(2)). The Project Manager will inform Growers of when the grapevines enter their first commercial season.

## **Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers**

55. The deductibility or otherwise of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or other financier is outside the scope of this Ruling. However all Growers who borrow funds in order to participate in the Project, should read the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 85 to 96 (below) as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid. Subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception, the prepayment rules apply whether the prepayment is required under the relevant loan agreement or is at the Grower's choice.

## **Division 35 – Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities**

### **Section 35-55 – Commissioner's discretion**

56. For a Grower who is an individual and who enters the Project during the year ended 30 June 2002 the rule in section 35-10 may apply to the business activity comprised by their involvement in this Project. Under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) the Commissioner will decide

for the income years ending 30 June 2002 to 30 June 2005 that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling.

57. This exercise of the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) will not be required where, for any year in question:

- the ‘exception’ in subsection 35-10(4) applies (see paragraph 106 in the Explanations part of this ruling, below); or
- a Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45; or
- the Grower’s business activity produces assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsection 35-10(2)); or
- the Commissioner is precluded from exercising the discretion under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) because of subsection 35-55(2).

58. Where, the exception in subsection 35-10(4) applies, the Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the tests, or the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) is exercised, section 35-10 will not apply. This means that a Grower will not be required to defer any excess of deductions attributable to their business activity in excess of any assessable income from that activity, i.e., any ‘loss’ from that activity, to a later year. Instead, this ‘loss’ can be offset against other assessable income for the year in which it arises.

59. Growers are reminded of the important statement made on Page 1 of this Product Ruling. Therefore, Growers should not see the Commissioner’s decision to exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) as an indication that the Tax Office sanctions or guarantees the Project or the product to be commercially viable. An assessment of the Project or the product from this perspective has not been made.

#### **Sections 82KZME – 82KZMF, 82KL and Part IVA**

60. For a Grower who participates in the Project and incurs expenditure as required by the Management Agreement and the Licence Agreement the following provisions of the ITAA 1936 have application as indicated:

- expenditure by a Grower does not fall within the scope of sections 82KZME - 82KZMF (but see paragraphs 85 to 96);

- section 82KL does not apply to deny the deductions otherwise allowable; and
- the relevant provisions in Part IVA will not be applied to cancel a tax benefit obtained under a tax law dealt with in this Ruling.

## Explanations

---

### Is the Grower carrying on a business?

61. For the amounts set out in the Tables above to constitute allowable deductions the Grower's viticulture activities as a participant in the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2 must amount to the carrying on of a business of primary production. These viticulture activities will fall within the definitions of 'horticulture' and 'commercial horticulture' in section 40-535 of the ITAA 1997.

62. For schemes such as that of the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2, Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out in paragraph 89 the circumstances in which the Grower's activities can constitute the carrying on of a business. As Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out, these circumstances have been established in court decisions such as *FCT v. Lau* 84 ATC 4929.

63. Generally, a Grower will be carrying on a business of viticulture, and hence primary production, if:

- the Grower has an identifiable interest (by licence) in the land on which the Grower's grapevines are established;
- the Grower has a right to harvest and sell the grapes each year from those grapevines;
- the viticulture activities are carried out on the Grower's behalf;
- the viticulture activities of the Grower are typical of those associated with a viticulture business; and
- the weight and influence of general indicators point to the carrying on of a business.

64. In this Project, each Grower enters into a Management Agreement and a Licence Agreement.

65. Under the Licence Agreement each individual Grower will have rights over a specific and identifiable area of land. The Licence Agreement provides the Grower with an ongoing interest in the specific grapevines on the licensed area for the term of the Project. Under the licence the Grower must use the land in question for the

purpose of carrying out viticultural activities and for no other purpose. The licence allows the Project Manager to come onto the land to carry out its obligations under the Management Agreement.

66. Under the Management Agreement the Project Manager is engaged by the Grower to establish and maintain a Vineyard Lot on the Grower's identifiable area of land during the term of the Project. The Project Manager has provided evidence that it holds the appropriate professional skills and credentials to provide the management services to establish and maintain the Vineyard Lot on the Grower's behalf.

67. In establishing the Vineyard Lot, the Grower engages the Project Manager to purchase and install trellising and water facilities (e.g., irrigation), to carry out 'landcare operation' and to acquire and plant vine seedlings/rootlings on the Grower's Vineyard Lot. During the term of the Project, these assets will be used wholly to carry out the Grower's viticulture activities. The Project Manager is also engaged to harvest and sell, on the Grower's behalf, the grapes grown on the Grower's Vineyard Lot.

68. The general indicators of a business, as used by the Courts, are described in Taxation Ruling TR 97/11. Positive findings can be made from the Project's description for all the indicators.

69. The activities that will be regularly carried out during the term of the Project demonstrate a significant commercial purpose. Based on reasonable projections, a Grower in the Project will derive assessable income from the sale of its grapes that will return a before-tax profit, i.e. a profit in cash terms that does not depend in its calculation on the fees in question being allowed as a deduction.

70. The pooling of grapes grown on the Grower's Vineyard Lot with the grapes of other Growers is consistent with general viticulture practices. Each Grower's proportionate share of the sale proceeds of the pooled grapes will reflect the proportion of the grapes contributed from their Vineyard Lot.

71. The Project Manager's services and the installation of assets on the Grower's behalf are also consistent with general viticulture practices. The assets are of the type ordinarily used in carrying on a business of viticulture. While the size of a Vineyard Lot is relatively small, it is of a size and scale to allow it to be commercially viable. (see Taxation Ruling IT 360).

72. The Grower's degree of control over the Project Manager as evidenced by the Management Agreement, and supplemented by the Corporations Act, is sufficient. During the term of the Project, the Manager will provide the Grower with regular progress reports on the Grower's Vineyard Lot and the activities carried out on the Grower's

behalf. Growers are able to terminate arrangements with the Project Manager in certain instances, such as cases of default or neglect.

73. The viticulture activities, and hence the fees associated with their procurement, are consistent with an intention to commence regular activities that have an ‘air of permanence’ about them. For the purposes of this Ruling, the Growers’ viticulture activities in the Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No. 2 will constitute the carrying on of a business.

## **The Simplified Tax System**

### **Division 328**

74. Subdivision 328-F sets out the eligibility requirements that a Grower must satisfy in order to enter the STS and Subdivision 328-G sets out the rules for entering and leaving the STS.

75. The question of whether a Grower is eligible to be an ‘STS taxpayer’ is outside the scope of this Product Ruling. Therefore, any Grower who relies on those parts of this Ruling that refer to the STS will be assumed to have correctly determined whether or not they are eligible to be an ‘STS taxpayer’.

## **Deductibility of management fees and licence fees**

### **Section 8-1**

76. Consideration of whether the initial management fees and licence fees are deductible under section 8-1 begins with the first limb of the section. This view proceeds on the following basis:

- the outgoing in question must have a sufficient connection with the operations or activities that directly gain or produce the taxpayer’s assessable income;
- the outgoings are not deductible under the second limb if they are incurred when the business has not commenced; and
- where all that happens in a year of income is that a taxpayer is contractually committed to a venture that may not turn out to be a business, there can be doubt about whether the relevant business has commenced, and hence, whether the second limb applies. However, that does not preclude the application of the first limb in determining whether the outgoing in question has a sufficient connection with activities to produce assessable income.

77. The management fees and licence fees associated with the viticulture activities will relate to the gaining of income from the Grower's business of viticulture (see above), and hence have a sufficient connection to the operations by which income (from the regular sale of grapes) is to be gained from this business. They will thus be deductible under the first limb of section 8-1. Further, no 'non-income producing' purpose in incurring the fee is identifiable from the arrangement. The fee appears to be reasonable. There is no capital component of the management fee. The tests of deductibility under the first limb of section 8-1 are met. The exclusions do not apply.

*Possible application of prepayment provisions*

78. Under the Management Agreement and the Licence Agreement neither the management fees nor the licence fees are for things to be done beyond 30 June in the year in which the relevant amounts are incurred. In these circumstances, the prepayment provisions in sections 82KZME and 82KZMF have no application to these fees.

79. However, where a Grower chooses to prepay these fees for a period beyond the income year in which the expenditure is incurred, the prepayment provisions (see paragraphs 85 to 96) will apply to determine the amount and timing of the deductions regardless of whether the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' or not. These provisions apply to 'STS taxpayers' because there is no specific exclusion contained in section 82KZME that excludes 'STS taxpayers' from the operation of section 82KZMF. This is subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception. For the purpose of this Ruling 'excluded expenditure' refers to an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000.

*Timing of deductions*

80. In the absence of any application of the prepayment provisions, the timing of deductions for the management fees or the licence fees will depend upon whether a Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' or is not an 'STS taxpayer'.

81. If the Grower is not an 'STS taxpayer', the management fees and the licence fees are deductible in the year in which they are incurred.

82. If the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' the management fees and the licence fees are deductible in the income year in which they are paid, or are paid for the Grower (paragraph 328-105(1)(b)). If any amount that is properly incurred in an income year remains unpaid at

the end of that income year, the unpaid amount is deductible in the income year in which it is actually paid or is paid for the Grower.

## **Interest deductibility**

### **Section 8-1**

83. The deductibility of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or financier is outside the scope of this Ruling. Product Rulings only deal with arrangements where all details and documentation have been provided to, and examined by the Tax Office.

84. While the terms of any finance agreement entered into between relevant Growers and such financiers are subject to commercial negotiation, those agreements may require interest to be prepaid. Alternatively, a Grower may choose to prepay such interest. Unless such prepaid interest is 'excluded expenditure' any tax deduction that is allowable will be subject to the prepayment provisions of the ITAA 1936 (see paragraphs 85 to 96).

## **Prepayment provisions**

### **Sections 82KZL to 82KZMF**

85. The prepayment provisions contained in Subdivision H of Division 3 of Part III of the ITAA 1936 affect the timing of deductions for certain prepaid expenditure. These provisions apply to certain expenditure incurred under an agreement in return for the doing of a thing under the agreement (eg. the performance of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done within the same year of income as the year in which the expenditure is incurred. If expenditure is incurred to cover the provision of services to be provided within the same year, then it is not expenditure to which the prepayment rules apply.

86. For this Project only section 82KZL (an interpretative provision) and sections 82KZME and 82KZMF are relevant. Where the requirements of sections 82KZME and 82KZMF are met, taxpayers determine deductions for prepaid expenditure under section 82KZMF using the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1). These provisions also apply to 'STS taxpayers' because there is no specific exclusion contained in section 82KZME that excludes 'STS taxpayers' from the operation of section 82KZMF.

### *Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF*

87. Where the requirements of subsections 82KZME(2) and (3) are met, the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) (see below) will apply

to apportion expenditure that is otherwise deductible under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(2) will be met if expenditure is incurred by a taxpayer in return for the doing of a thing that is not to be wholly done within the year the expenditure is made. The year in which such expenditure is incurred is called the 'expenditure year' (subsection 82KZME(1)).

88. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(3) will be met where the agreement (or arrangement) has the following characteristics:

- the taxpayer's allowable deductions under the agreement for the 'expenditure year' exceed any assessable income attributable to the agreement for that year; and
- the taxpayer does not have effective day to day control over the operation of the agreement. That is, the significant aspects of the arrangement are managed by someone other than the taxpayer; and
- either :
  - a) there is more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer; or
  - b) the person who promotes, arranges or manages the agreement (or an associate of that person) promotes similar agreements for other taxpayers.

89. For the purpose of these provisions, the agreement includes all activities that relate to the agreement (subsection 82KZME(4)). This has particular relevance for a Grower in this Project who, in order to participate in the Project may borrow funds from a financier. Although undertaken with an unrelated party, that financing would be an element of the arrangement. The funds borrowed and the interest deduction are directly related to the activities under the arrangement. If a Grower prepays interest under such financing arrangements, the deductions allowable will be subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF.

90. There are a number of exceptions to these rules, but for Growers participating in this Project, only the 'excluded expenditure' exception in subsection 82KZME(7) is relevant. 'Excluded expenditure' is defined in subsection 82KZL(1). However, for the purposes of Growers in this Project, 'excluded expenditure' is prepaid expenditure incurred under the arrangement that is less than \$1,000. Such expenditure is immediately deductible.

91. Where the requirements of section 82KZME are met, section 82KZMF applies to apportion relevant prepaid expenditure.

Section 82KZMF uses the formula below, to apportion prepaid expenditure and allow a deduction over the period that the benefits are provided.

$$\text{Expenditure} \times \frac{\text{Number of days of eligible service period in the year of income}}{\text{Total number of days of eligible service period}}$$

92. In the formula ‘eligible service period’ (defined in subsection 82KZL(1)) means, the period during which the thing under the agreement is to be done. The eligible service period begins on the day on which the thing under the agreement commences to be done or on the day on which the expenditure is incurred, whichever is the later, and ends on the last day on which the thing under the agreement ceases to be done, up to a maximum of 10 years.

*Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project*

93. In this Project, an initial management fee of \$4,279.00 and an initial Licence Fee of \$165.00 per Vineyard Lot will be incurred on execution of the Management Agreement and the Licence Agreement. The Management Fee and the Licence Fee are charged for providing management services or licensing land to a Grower by 30 June of the year of execution of the Agreements. Under the Agreements, further annual expenditure is required each year during the term of the Project for the provision of management services and land until 30 June in those years.

94. In particular, the management fee is expressly stated to be for a number of specified services. No explicit conclusion can be drawn from the description of the arrangement that the initial management fee has been inflated to result in reduced fees being payable for management fees in subsequent years.

95. There is also no evidence that might suggest the management services covered by the fee could not be provided within the relevant expenditure year. Thus, for the purposes of this Ruling, it can be accepted that no part of the initial management fee, and the fees for subsequent years, is for the Project Manager doing ‘things’ that are not to be wholly done within the expenditure year. Under the Licence Agreement, licence fees are payable annually in advance for the licence of the land during the expenditure year.

96. On this basis, provided a Grower incurs expenditure as required under the Project agreements, as set out in paragraph 37, then the basic precondition in subsection 82KZME(2) is not satisfied and, in these circumstances, section 82KZMF will have no application.

*Growers who **choose** to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project's agreements*

97. Although not required under either the Management Agreement, the Licence Agreement, a Grower participating in the Project may **choose** to prepay fees/interest for a period beyond the 'expenditure year'. Similarly, Growers who use financiers may either choose, or be required to prepay interest. Where this occurs, contrary to the conclusion reached in paragraph 96 above, section 82KZMF will apply to apportion the expenditure and allow a deduction over the period in which the prepaid benefits are provided.

98. For these Growers, the amount and timing of deductions for any relevant prepaid Management Fees, prepaid Licence Fees, or prepaid interest will depend upon when the respective amounts are incurred and what the 'eligible service period' is in relation to these amounts.

99. However, as noted above, prepaid fees of less than \$1,000 incurred in an expenditure year will be 'excluded expenditure' and will be not subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF.

### **Expenditure of a capital nature**

#### **Division 40 and Division 328**

100. Any part of the expenditure of a Grower that is attributable to acquiring an asset or advantage of an enduring kind is generally capital or capital in nature and will not be an allowable deduction under section 8-1. In this Project, expenditure attributable to trellising, water facilities, a 'landcare operation' and the establishment of the grapevines is of a capital nature. This expenditure falls for consideration under Division 40 or Division 328 of the ITAA 1997.

101. The application and extent to which a Grower claims deductions under Division 40 and Division 328 depends on whether or not the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer'.

102. The tax treatment of capital expenditure has been dealt with in a representative way in paragraphs 48, 52, 53 and 54 (above) in the Tables and the accompanying Notes.

### **Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities**

#### **Division 35**

103. Division 35 applies to losses from certain business activities for the income year ended 30 June 2001 and subsequent years. Under the rule in subsection 35-10(2), a deduction for a loss made by an individual (including an individual in a general law partnership) from

certain business activities will not be taken into account in an income year unless:

- the exception in subsection 35-10(4) applies;
- one of four tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45 is met; or
- if one of the tests is not satisfied, the Commissioner exercises the discretion in section 35-55.

104. Generally, a loss in this context is, for the income year in question, the excess of an individual taxpayer's allowable deductions attributable to the business activity over that taxpayer's assessable income from the business activity.

105. Losses that cannot be taken into account in a particular year of income, because of subsection 35-10(2), can be applied to the extent of future profits from the business activity, or are deferred until one of the tests is passed, the discretion is exercised, or the exception applies.

106. For the purposes of applying Division 35, subsection 35-10(3) allows taxpayers to group business activities 'of a similar kind'. Under subsection 35-10(4), there is an 'exception' to the general rule in subsection 35-10(2) where the loss is from a 'primary production business' activity and the individual taxpayer has other assessable income for the income year from sources not related to that activity, of less than \$40,000 (excluding any net capital gain). As both subsections relate to the individual circumstances of Growers who participate in the Project they are beyond the scope of this Product Ruling and are not considered further.

107. In broad terms, the tests require:

- (a) at least \$20,000 of assessable income in that year from the business activity (section 35-30);
- (b) the business activity results in a taxation profit in 3 of the past 5 income years (including the current year)(section 35-35);
- (c) at least \$500,000 of real property, or an interest in real property, (excluding any private dwelling) is used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-40); or
- (d) at least \$100,000 of certain other assets (excluding cars, motor cycles and similar vehicles) are used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-45).

108. A Grower who participates in the Project will be carrying on a business activity that is subject to these provisions. Information provided with the application for this Product Ruling indicates that a

Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Vineyard Lot in the Project is unlikely to have their activity pass one of the tests until the income year ended 30 June 2008. Growers who acquire more than one interest in the Project may however, find that their activity meets one of the tests in an earlier income year.

109. Therefore, prior to this time, unless the Commissioner exercises an arm of the discretion under paragraphs 35-55(1)(a) or (b), the rule in subsection 35-10(2) will apply to defer to a future income year any loss that arises from the Grower's participation in the Project.

110. The first arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(a) relates to 'special circumstances' applicable to the business activity, and has no relevance for the purposes of this Product Ruling. However, the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) may be exercised by the Commissioner where:

- (i) the business activity has started to be carried on;
- (ii) because of its nature, it has not yet met one of the tests set out in Division 35; and
- (iii) there is an expectation that the business activity of an individual taxpayer will either pass one of the tests or produce a taxation profit within a period that is commercially viable for the industry concerned.

111. Information provided with this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one Vineyard Lot in the Project is expected to be carrying on a business activity that will either pass one of the tests, or produce a taxation profit, for the year ended 30 June 2005. The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower that it would be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion until the year ended 30 June 2004. Subsection 35-55(2) prevents the Commissioner exercising the discretion beyond this year.

112. This Product Ruling is issued on a prospective basis (i.e., before an individual Grower's business activity starts to be carried on). The Project, however, may fail to be carried on during the income years specified above (see paragraph 56), in the manner described in the Arrangement (see paragraphs 14 to 40). If so, this Ruling, and specifically the decision in relation to paragraph 35-55(1)(b), that it would be unreasonable that the loss deferral rule in subsection 35-10(2) not apply, may be affected, because the Ruling no longer applies (see paragraph 9). Growers may need to apply for private rulings on how paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will apply in such changed circumstances.

113. In deciding that the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will be exercised on this conditional basis, the Commissioner has relied upon:

- the report of the independent viticulturist and additional expert or scientific evidence provided with the application by the Responsible Entity; and
- extracts from the SA Phylloxera Board's Grape Utilisation Survey 2000 setting out prices that realistically reflect the existing market and/or the projected market in the geographical region where the grapes are grown.

## **Section 82KL - recouped expenditure**

114. The operation of section 82KL depends, among other things, on the identification of a certain quantum of 'additional benefits(s)'. Insufficient 'additional benefits' will be provided to trigger the application of section 82KL. It will not apply to deny the deduction otherwise allowable under section 8-1.

## **Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions**

115. For Part IVA to apply there must be a 'scheme' (section 177A), a 'tax benefit' (section 177C) and a dominant purpose of entering into the scheme to obtain a tax benefit (section 177D).

116. The Coonawarra Premium Vineyards Project No 2 will be a 'scheme'. A Grower will obtain a 'tax benefit' from entering into the scheme, in the form of tax deductions for the amounts detailed at paragraphs 47, 48, 51 and 54 that would not have been obtained but for the scheme. However, it is not possible to conclude the scheme will be entered into or carried out with the dominant purpose of obtaining this tax benefit.

117. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to stay in the scheme for its full term and derive assessable income from the harvesting and sale of their grapes. There are no facts that would suggest that Growers have the opportunity of obtaining a tax advantage other than the tax advantages identified in this Ruling. There is no non-recourse financing or round robin characteristics, and no indication that the parties are not dealing at arm's length or, if any parties are not dealing at arm's length, that any adverse tax consequences result. Further, having regard to the factors to be considered under paragraph 177D(b) it cannot be concluded, on the information available, that participants will enter into the scheme for the dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.

## Example

---

### Entitlement to GST input tax credits

118. Susan, who is a sole trader and registered for GST, contracts with a manager to manage her viticulture business. Her manager is registered for GST and charges her a management fee payable every six months in advance. On 1 December 2001 Susan receives a valid tax invoice from her manager requesting payment of a management fee in advance, and also requesting payment for an improvement in the connection of electricity for her vineyard that she contracted him to carry out. The tax invoice includes the following details:

Management fee for period 1/1/2002 to 30/6/2002	\$4 400*
Carrying out of upgrade of power for your vineyard as quoted	<u>\$2 200*</u>
Total due and payable by 1 January 2002 (includes GST of \$600)	<u>\$6 600</u>

\*Taxable supply

Susan pays the invoice by the due date and calculates her input tax credit on the management fee (to be claimed through her Business Activity Statement) as:

$$1/11 \times \$4400 = \$400.$$

Hence her outgoing for the management fee is effectively \$4400 *less* \$400, or \$4000.

Similarly, Susan calculates her input tax credit on the connection of electricity as:

$$1/11 \times \$2200 = \$200.$$

Hence her outgoing for the power upgrade is effectively \$2200 *less* \$200, or \$2000.

In preparing her income tax return for the year ended 30 June 2002, Susan is aware that the management fee is deductible in the year incurred. She calculates her management fee deduction as \$4000 (not \$4400).

Susan is aware that the electricity upgrade is deductible 10% per year over a 10 year period. She calculates her deduction for the power upgrade as \$200 (one tenth of \$2000 only, not one tenth of \$2200).

## Detailed contents list

---

119. Below is a detailed contents list for this Product Ruling:

	<b>Paragraph</b>
<b>What this Product Ruling is about</b>	<b>1</b>
Tax law(s)	2
Goods and Services Tax	3
Changes in the Law	4
Note to promoters and advisers	6
Class of persons	7
Qualifications	9
<b>Date of Effect</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Withdrawal</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Arrangement</b>	<b>14</b>
Overview of the Project	17
Offer to Potential Investors	18
Share Subscription	23
Project Constitution	24
Grape sales	32
Vineyard and Management Agreement	36
Fees payable and work to be performed	37
Finance	39
<b>Ruling</b>	<b>41</b>
Application of this Ruling	41
The Simplified Tax System ('STS')	43
Division 328	43
Qualification	44
<b>Tax Outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS taxpayers'</b>	<b>45</b>
Assessable Income	45
Section 6-5	45
Deductions for Management fees and Licence fees	47
Section 8-1	47
Deductions for capital expenditure	48
Division 40	48
Tax outcomes for Growers who are 'STS taxpayers'	49
Assessable Income	49

Section 6-5	49
Deductions for Management fees and Licence fees	51
Section 8-1 and section 328-105	51
Deductions for capital expenditure	52
Subdivision 328-D and Subdivision 40-F and 40-G	52
<b>Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers</b>	<b>55</b>
Division 35 - Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	56
Section 35-55 – Commissioner’s discretion	56
Sections 82KZME - 82KZMF, 82KL and Part IVA	60
<b>Explanations</b>	<b>61</b>
Is the Grower carrying on a business?	61
The Simplified Tax System	74
Division 328	74
Deductibility of management fees and licence fees	76
Section 8-1	76
<i>Possible application of prepayment provisions</i>	78
<i>Timing of deductions</i>	80
Interest deductibility	83
Section 8-1	83
Prepayment provisions	85
Sections 82KZL to 82KZMF	85
<i>Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF</i>	87
<i>Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project</i>	93
<i>Growers who <u>choose</u> to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project’s agreements</i>	97
Expenditure of a capital nature	100
Division 40 and Division 328	100
Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	103
Division 35	103
Section 82KL - recouped expenditure	114
Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions	115
<b>Example</b>	<b>118</b>
Entitlement to GST input tax credits	118

**Detailed contents list****119****Commissioner of Taxation**

6 March 2002

<i>Previous draft:</i>	- ITAA 1997 35-45
Not previously issued in draft form	- ITAA 1997 35-55
	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)
<i>Related Rulings/Determinations:</i>	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(a)
TR 92/1; TR 92/20; TD 93/34;	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b)
TR 97/11; TR 97/16; TR 98/22;	- ITAA 1997 35-55(2)
TR 2000/8; PR 1999/95; IT 360	- ITAA 1997 Div 40
<i>Subject references:</i>	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 40-F
- carrying on a business	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 40-G
- commencement of business	- ITAA 1997 40-25
- crops as trading stock	- ITAA 1997 40-70(1)
- fee expenses	- ITAA 1997 40-75(1)
- horticulture	- ITAA 1997 40-100
- irrigation expenses	- ITAA 1997 40-105
- management fees expenses	- ITAA 1997 40-515
- plantation forestry	- ITAA 1997 40-515(1)(a)
- primary production	- ITAA 1997 40-515(1)(b)
- primary production expenses	- ITAA 1997 40-520(1)
- primary production income	- ITAA 1997 40-525(2)
- producing assessable income	- ITAA 1997 40-525(3)
- product rulings	- ITAA 1997 40-530
- public rulings	- ITAA 1997 40-530(2)
- schemes and shams	- ITAA 1997 40-535
- tax administration	- ITAA 1997 40-540
- tax avoidance	- ITAA 1997 40-545
- tax benefits under tax avoidance schemes	- ITAA 1997 40-550
- tax shelters	- ITAA 1997 40-630
- tax shelters project	- ITAA 1997 40-635
- trading stock	- ITAA 1997 Div 328
<i>Legislative references:</i>	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-D
- ITAA 1997 6-5	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-F
- ITAA 1997 8-1	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-G
- ITAA 1997 17-5	- ITAA 1997 328-105
- ITAA 1997 Div 27	- ITAA 1997 328-105(1)(a)
- ITAA 1997 Div 35	- ITAA 1997 328-105(1)(b)
- ITAA 1997 35-10	- ITAA 1997 328-190
- ITAA 1997 35-10(2)	- ITAA 1936 Subdiv H
- ITAA 1997 35-10(3)	- ITAA 1936 Div 3 of Part III
- ITAA 1997 35-10(4)	- ITAA 1936 82KL
- ITAA 1997 35-30	- ITAA 1936 82KZL
- ITAA 1997 35-35	- ITAA 1936 82KZL(1)
- ITAA 1997 35-40	

- ITAA 1936 82KZME
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(1)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(2)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(3)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(4)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(7)
- ITAA 1936 82KZMF
- ITAA 1936 82KZMF(1)
- ITAA 1936 Pt IVA

- ITAA 1936 177A
- ITAA 1936 177C
- ITAA 1936 177D
- ITAA 1936 177D(b)

*Case references:*

- FCT v. Lau 84 ATC 4929 (1984) 16 ATR 55

---

ATO references:

NO: T2000/020785

ISSN: 1441 1172