



PR 2002/56 - Income tax: Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 (Revised Arrangement)

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *PR 2002/56 - Income tax: Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 (Revised Arrangement)*

 This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *1 May 2002*



Product Ruling

Income tax: Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 (Revised Arrangement)

Contents	Para
What this Product Ruling is about	1
Date of effect	11
Withdrawal	13
Previous Rulings	14
Arrangement	15
Ruling	34
Explanations	52
Detailed contents list	117

Potential participants may wish to refer to the ATO's Internet site at <http://www.ato.gov.au> or contact the ATO directly to confirm the currency of this Product Ruling or any other Product Ruling that the ATO has issued.

Preamble

*The number, subject heading, and the **What this Product Ruling is about** (Including Tax law(s), Class of persons and Qualifications sections), **Date of effect, Withdrawal, Previous Rulings, Arrangement and Ruling** parts of this document are a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the **Taxation Administration Act 1953**. Product Ruling PR 1999/95 explains Product Rulings and Taxation Rulings TR 92/1 and TR 97/16 together explain when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.*

No guarantee of commercial success

The Australian Taxation Office (ATO) **does not** sanction or guarantee this product. Further, we give no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. This will involve a consideration of important issues such as whether projected returns are realistic, the 'track record' of the management, the level of fees in comparison to similar products, how this product fits an existing portfolio, etc. We recommend a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for potential participants by confirming that the tax benefits set out below in the **Ruling** part of this document are available **provided that** the arrangement is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given and have described below in the **Arrangement** part of this document.

If the arrangement is not carried out as described below, participants lose the protection of this Product Ruling. Potential participants may wish to seek assurances from the promoter that the arrangement will be carried out as described in this Product Ruling.

Potential participants should be aware that the ATO will be undertaking review activities to confirm the arrangement has been implemented as described below and to ensure that the participants in the arrangement include in their income tax returns income derived in those future years.

Terms of Use of this Product Ruling

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the person(s) who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

What this Product Ruling is about

1. This Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the 'tax laws' identified below apply to the defined class of persons who take part in the arrangement to which this Ruling refers. In this Ruling this arrangement is sometimes referred to as the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002, or simply as 'the Project'.

Tax law(s)

2. The tax laws dealt with in this Ruling are:
- Section 6-5 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* ('ITAA 1997');
 - Section 8-1 (ITAA 1997);
 - Section 17-5 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 27 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 35 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 328 (ITAA 1997);
 - Section 82KL of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* ('ITAA 1936');
 - Section 82KZL (ITAA 1936);
 - Section 82KZME (ITAA 1936);
 - Section 82KZMF (ITAA 1936);
 - Section 82KZMG(ITAA 1936); and
 - Part IVA (ITAA 1936).

Goods and Services Tax

3. In this Ruling all fees and expenditure referred to include Goods and Services Tax ('GST') where applicable. In order for an entity (referred to in this Ruling as a Grower) to be entitled to claim input tax credits for the GST included in its expenditure, it must be registered or required to be registered for GST and hold a valid tax invoice.

Changes in the Law

4. The Government is currently evaluating further changes to the tax system in response to the *Ralph Review of Business Taxation* and continuing business tax reform is expected to be implemented over a

number of years. Although this Ruling deals with the taxation legislation enacted at the time it was issued, later amendments may impact on this Ruling. Any such changes will take precedence over the application of this Ruling and, to that extent, this Ruling will be superseded.

5. Taxpayers who are considering participating in the Project are advised to confirm with their taxation adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Product Ruling since it was issued.

Note to promoters and advisers

6. Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for participants in projects such as this. In keeping with that intention, the Tax Office suggests that promoters and advisers ensure that participants are fully informed of any legislative changes after the Ruling is issued.

Class of persons

7. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies is the persons who are more specifically identified in the Ruling part of this Product Ruling and who enter into the arrangement specified below on or after the date this Ruling is made. They will have a purpose of staying in the arrangement until it is completed (i.e., being a party to the relevant Agreements until their term expires) and deriving assessable income from this involvement. In this Ruling these persons are referred to as 'Growers'.

8. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies does not include persons who intend to terminate their involvement in the arrangement prior to its completion or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it.

Qualifications

9. The Commissioner rules on the precise arrangement identified in the Ruling. If the arrangement described in the Ruling is materially different from the arrangement that is actually carried out, the Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner. The Ruling will be withdrawn or modified.

10. A Product Ruling may only be reproduced in its entirety. Extracts may not be reproduced. As each Product Ruling is copyright, apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning

reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Manager,
Legislative Services, AusInfo, GPO Box 1920, Canberra ACT 2601.

Date of effect

11. This Ruling applies prospectively from 1 May 2002, the date this Ruling is made. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

12. If a taxpayer has a more favourable private ruling (which is legally binding), the taxpayer can rely on that private ruling if the income year to which it relates has ended or has commenced but not yet ended. However if the arrangement covered by the private ruling has not commenced, and the income year to which it relates has not yet commenced, this Ruling applies to the taxpayer to the extent of the inconsistency only (see Taxation Determination TD 93/34).

Withdrawal

13. This Product Ruling is withdrawn and ceases to have effect after 30 June 2004. The Ruling continues to apply, in respect of the tax law(s) ruled upon, to all persons within the specified class who enter into the arrangement specified below. Thus, the Ruling continues to apply to those persons, even following its withdrawal, who entered into the specified arrangement prior to withdrawal of the Ruling. This is subject to there being no change in the arrangement or in the person's involvement in the arrangement.

Previous Rulings

14. This Ruling replaces Product Ruling PR 2002/22, which is withdrawn on and from the date this Ruling is made. Product Ruling PR 2002/22 will continue to apply to investors who entered into the Project on or before 1 May 2002.

Arrangement

15. The arrangement that is the subject of this Ruling is specified below. This arrangement incorporates the following documents:

- Application for Product Ruling dated 26 November 2001;
- Draft of the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 Prospectus dated 26 November 2001;
- Draft **Management Agreement** between Gunns Plantations Limited ('Gunns Plantations' or 'the Responsible Entity') (as the Manager) and the Grower dated 28 March 2002;
- Draft Forestry Right Deed between a Landowner and Gunns Plantations dated 22 November 2001;
- Draft **Lease of Forestry Right** between Gunns Plantations (as the Landlord) and the Grower dated 22 November 2001;
- Draft Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 Constitution;
- Draft **Finance Package** for the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002, undated, and such other finance arrangements as may be entered into between Gunns Finance Pty Ltd ('GFPL') and investors on ordinary, commercial and full recourse terms, but do not have any of the features set out in paragraph 33;
- Draft **Woodsale Agreement** between Gunns Plantations (as agent for each Grower) and Gunns Ltd 17 January 2002;
- Draft Custody Agreement between Gunns Limited (as Custodian) and Gunns Plantations; and
- Correspondence received from the Applicant and or the Applicant's representative on 29 January 2002, 4 February 2002, 6 February 2002, 8 February 2002, 11 February 2002, 18 February 2002 and 28 March 2002.

Note: certain information received from the applicant has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under the Freedom of Information legislation.

16. The documents highlighted are those that the Growers enter into. There are no other agreements, whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable, which a Grower, or an associate of the Grower will be a party to that are part of the arrangement to which this Ruling applies.

PR 2002/56

17. All Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) requirements are, or will be, complied with for the term of the agreements. The effect of the agreements may be summarised as follows.

Overview

18. The arrangement is the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002.

Location	A number of specified parcels of land in Tasmania
Type of business each participant is carrying on	Commercial growing of <i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> (Tasmanian Blue-Gum) and <i>Eucalyptus nitens</i> (Shining Gum) trees under one of two options: Option 1: Eucalyptus for Pulpwood. Option 2: Eucalyptus for Veneer and Pulpwood.
Number of hectares under cultivation	There is a target of 6,000 hectares under the prospectus, however, oversubscriptions may be accepted.
Name used to describe the product	Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002.
Size of the leased area	One hectare
Number of trees per hectare	Minimum average of 1,100
Term of the investment	Option 1: 13 years Option 2: 20 years
Initial cost per hectare	Application Fee of \$4,345 (including GST).
Ongoing costs	There will be no fees for ongoing costs such as maintenance or lease rental. These costs will be covered by a percentage of sale proceeds from the thinning in year 9 and from the clear fell in year 13 or 20, depending on which option is chosen. A pruning fee will be payable for Option 2 in years 4 (\$714), 6 (\$765) and 7 (\$816). A fee for sales commission, planting, rental

	and maintenance will be charged at year 9 (i.e., at the time of thinning) and at the end of the Project, ie at the end of year 13 or 20, depending on which option is chosen. The fee will equal 12% of the sale proceeds from the Woodlot.
--	---

19. Growers participating in the Project acquire a lease of a Forestry Right by entering into a Lease Agreement with Gunns Plantations as the Landlord. The Forestry Right will comprise contractual rights in relation to an identifiable area of land called a Woodlot. Effectively, the Forestry Right will enable Growers to access the land to establish, maintain and ultimately harvest the Woodlot. The Growers will also contract with Gunns Plantations as the Responsible Entity, under a Management Agreement, to have eucalyptus planted on the Woodlot for the purpose of eventual felling and sale in approximately 13 or 20 years, depending on which option is chosen.

20. Under the Management Agreement, the Responsible Entity undertakes to use its best endeavours to ensure that all Establishment Services are provided in relation to each Woodlot before the earlier of 12 months following the date on which the Establishment Fee is paid, or the Manager is first permitted under the Forestry Right Deed to access the land for the purpose of commencing the Establishment Services, whichever is the later. However, if that period extends beyond 30 June of the financial year immediately following the year in which the Establishment Fee is paid, the Responsible Entity undertakes to use its best endeavours to ensure that the services will be provided by that 30 June (cl. 4.1(b)). Approximately 1,100 trees per hectare for both Options 1 and 2 will be planted in the Spring of 2002 and the Autumn of 2003 for applications accepted on or before 30 June 2002. Approximately 1,100 trees per hectare for both Options 1 and 2 will be planted in the Autumn or Spring of 2003 for applications accepted on or after 1 July 2002.

21. Under the prospectus, Gunns Plantations will offer Woodlots of one hectare for an initial cost of \$4,345 (including GST). Gunns Plantations has the right to accept oversubscriptions. There is no minimum amount that must be raised under the Prospectus. The land for the Project has been leased by Gunns Plantations either from Gunns Limited or a third party landowner. Gunns Plantations is a wholly owned subsidiary of Gunns Limited.

Lease of Forestry Right and Management Agreement

22. Under the Lease of Forestry Right and Management Agreement, Growers enter into a 13 or 25 year lease for one or more Forestry Rights and contract with Gunns Plantations to establish and maintain the plantation until maturity. Clause 3 of the Lease of Forestry Right agreement grants a lease of a Forestry Right to the Grower. Growers are not entitled to assign their rights under the Lease and Management Agreements, except in certain circumstances (cl 26.3 of the Management Agreement). Statements of interest are issued to Growers. Gunns Plantations keeps a register of Growers. Growers execute an Application and Power of Attorney Form enabling Gunns Plantations to act on their behalf as required.

23. Growers may elect to harvest their own timber produce (cl 19 of the Management Agreement), or have Gunns Plantations, acting as their agent, sell the timber produce on the Grower's behalf (cl 11.1 of the Management Agreement), for the prevailing market price to Gunns Limited (cl 5 of the Wood Sale Agreement). Gunns Limited will not be obliged to purchase the timber produce in the event of a material adverse change in Gunns Limited's level of committed sales. In the event of such material adverse change, Gunns plantations will be authorised to sell the timber produce to another party on similar terms (cl 10(b)). In accordance with the Management Agreement and the Constitution, each Non-Electing Grower is entitled to a distribution of that Grower's proportional interest in the Wood Sales Proceeds for the option(s) they have chosen less costs and any unpaid expenses applicable to that particular Grower.

Establishment and maintenance of the plantation

24. Gunns Plantations has the capacity and resources to carry out the establishment program in accordance with clause 4.1(b) of the Management Agreement. Gunns Plantations will maintain the trees in accordance with good silvicultural practice. The services to be provided by Gunns Plantations over the term of the Project are defined in clauses 4, 5 and 6 of the Management Agreement. Gunns Plantations will also be responsible for the maintenance of access roads and fire breaks, and is required to keep the Woodlots free from vermin.

25. Gunns Plantations will also be responsible for arranging the sale of the timber produce (clause 11.1 of the Management Agreement). The time for harvest will vary depending on the option selected and will be determined according to set criteria including growth rates, market demand, and volume of wood on the Woodlot (clauses 7 and 9 of the Management Agreement). Gunns Plantations will provide ongoing reports to the Growers on the progress of the plantation.

26. Gunns Plantations will ensure that the gross Wood Sale Proceeds of each option are paid into the relevant portion of the Fund. The Non-Electing Growers' proportional shares of the costs of felling and costs of sale, will be paid to Gunns Plantations from the gross Wood Sale Proceeds. Gunns Plantations will receive from the Wood Proceeds Portion an amount equal to 12% of the gross Wood Sale Proceeds, as sales commission, planting, rental and maintenance. This percentage has been calculated to ensure that Gunns Plantations makes a profit in providing these services. The balance of the Wood Sale Proceeds for each option will be held in the Fund on trust for the Growers.

27. The independent forester considers that the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 has the potential to meet its financial objectives if the intensive forestry regimes proposed for the two options are followed and appropriate marketing arrangements are put in place.

Fees

28. The Application Fee payable under the Management Agreement is \$4,345 (including GST). There will be no ongoing fees for rent or maintenance charges. A single fee for sales commission, planting, lease and maintenance will be payable at year 9 (i.e., at the time of thinning) as well as at the end of the 13 or 20 year option period. The fee will equal 12% of the sale proceeds from the Woodlot.

29. The Application Fee will be banked into the relevant Applications Portion for the respective planting option chosen. These monies will be released to Gunns Plantations when certain specified criteria have been met (cls 8 and 9 of the Constitution).

Finance

30. Growers can choose to fund their investment themselves, borrow from an unassociated lending body or borrow through a finance arrangement offered by Gunns Finance Pty Ltd ('GFPL') (a lender associated with the Responsible Entity).

31. The finance to be offered by GFPL will be on commercial terms. Interest will be calculated daily and payable monthly. Growers may borrow up to 80% of the Application Fee, that is \$3,476 per Woodlot, over a term of either 3, 4 or 5 years. Growers pay an establishment fee of \$150 and will incur an interest rate of 10% per annum on the borrowed funds. The Growers will grant a legal mortgage over all their rights and interests under the Management Agreement and the Lease Agreement in favour of GFPL. Repayments will be made by direct debit and monthly in arrears. An additional 2% per annum will be charged daily on any amounts due and unpaid.

Growers who borrow over a 5 year term may elect to borrow 90 % of the Application Fee and pay a further 20% 'balloon payment' on or before 30 September 2002. Interest at 10% per annum will accrue from 1 July 2002 until the 'balloon payment' is made and will be added to the outstanding loan amount. Principal and interest repayments commence on the last day of October 2002.

32. Growers will also be entitled to elect under the Finance Package to enter into a non-interest-bearing loan with GFPL. Growers who enter into this finance arrangement will be required to pay a 10% deposit and make 12 equal monthly instalments for the balance.

33. This Ruling does not apply if the finance arrangement entered into by the Grower includes or has any of the following features:

- there are split loan features of a type referred to in Taxation Ruling TR 98/22;
- there are indemnity arrangements or other collateral agreements in relation to the loan designed to limit the borrower's risk;
- 'additional benefits' are or will be granted to the borrowers for the purpose of section 82KL or the funding arrangements transform the Project into a 'scheme' to which Part IVA may apply;
- the loan or rate of interest is non-arm's length;
- repayments of the principal and payments of interest are linked to the derivation of income from the Project;
- the funds borrowed, or any part of them, will not be available for the conduct of the Project but will be transferred (by any mechanism, directly or indirectly) back to the lender or any associate of the lender;
- lenders do not have the capacity under the loan agreement, or a genuine intention, to take legal action against defaulting borrowers; or
- entities associated with the Project other than Gunns Finance Pty Ltd are involved or become involved in the provision of finance to Growers for the Project.

Ruling

Application of this Ruling

34. This Ruling applies only to Growers who are accepted to participate in the Project on or before 31 March 2003 and who have executed a Management Agreement and a Lease Agreement on or

before that date. The Grower's participation in the Project must constitute the carrying on of a business of primary production.

35. A Grower is not eligible to claim any tax deductions until the Grower's application to enter the Project is accepted and the Project has commenced. **Growers who elect to harvest their own timber produce will not be included in the class of persons to whom this Ruling applies.**

The Simplified Tax System ('STS')

Division 328

36. For a Grower participating in the Project, the recognition of income and the timing of tax deductions is different depending on whether the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer'. To be an 'STS taxpayer' a Grower:

- must be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer'; and
- must have elected to be an 'STS taxpayer'.

Qualification

37. This Product Ruling assumes that a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' is so for the income year in which their participation in the Project commences. A Grower may become an 'STS taxpayer' at a later point in time. Also, a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may choose to stop being an 'STS taxpayer', or may cease to be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer', during the term of the Project. These are contingencies relating to the circumstances of individual Growers that cannot be accommodated in this Ruling. Such Growers can ask for a private ruling on how the taxation legislation applies to them.

Tax outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS taxpayers'

Assessable Income

Section 6-5

38. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

39. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of afforestation at the time that income is derived.

Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or before 30 June 2002**Section 8-1**

40. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses:

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2002	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Establishment Fee	8-1	\$4,345 See Notes (i) & (ii) (below)		
Interest on loans with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd	8-1	As incurred See Note (iii) (below)	As incurred See Note (iii) (below)	As incurred See Note (iii) (below)

Notes:

- (i) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits): Division 27. See Example at paragraph 116;
- (ii) Expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' is deductible in the income year in which it is incurred. 'Seasonally dependent agronomic activities' are explained below at paragraphs 78 to 82;
- (iii) The deductibility or otherwise of interest arising from loan agreements entered into with financiers other than Gunns Finance Pty Ltd, the internal financier, is outside the scope of this Ruling. However all Growers, including those who finance their participation in the Project other than with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd, should read the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 70 to 77 (below) as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid. Subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception, the prepayment rules apply whether the prepayment is required under the relevant loan agreement or is at the Grower's choice.

Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003

Section 8-1

41. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses:

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Establishment Fee	8-1	\$4,345 See Notes (i) & (ii) (above)	
Interest on loans with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd	8-1	As incurred See Note (iii) (above)	As incurred See Note (iii) (above)

Tax outcomes for Growers who are 'STS taxpayers'

Assessable Income

Section 6-5

42. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

43. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of afforestation at the time the income is received (paragraph 328-105(1)(a)).

Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or before 30 June 2002**Section 8-1 and section 328-105**

44. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses. If an amount of expenditure is not fully paid in the year shown in the table below, it is only deductible to the extent that it is paid:

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Sections	Year ended 30 June 2002	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Establishment Fee	8-1	\$4,345 See Notes (iv) & (v) (below)		
Interest on loans with Gunns Plantations Finance Pty Ltd	8-1	As incurred See Note (vi) (below)	As incurred See Note (vi) (below)	As incurred See Note (vi) (below)

Notes:

- (iv) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g., input tax credits). See Example at paragraph 116;
- (v) Expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' is deductible in the income year in which it is incurred. 'Seasonally dependent agronomic activities' are explained below at paragraphs 78 to 82;
- (vi) The deductibility or otherwise of interest arising from loan agreements entered into with financiers other than Gunns Finance Pty Ltd, the internal financier, is outside the scope of this Ruling. However all Growers, including those who finance their participation in the Project other than with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd, should read the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 70 to 77 (below) as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid. Subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception, the prepayment rules apply whether the prepayment is required under the relevant loan agreement or is at the Grower's choice.

Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003

Section 8-1 and section 328-105

45. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the following revenue expenses. If an amount of expenditure is not fully paid in the year shown in the table below, it is only deductible to the extent that it is paid:

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Sections	Year ended 30 June 2003	Year ended 30 June 2004
Establishment Fee	8-1	\$4,345 - See Notes (iv) & (v) (above)	
Interest on loans with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd	8-1	As incurred See Note (vi) (above)	As incurred See Note (vi) (above)

Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers

Division 35 – Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – Commissioner's discretion

46. For a Grower who is an individual and who enters the Project during the year ended 30 June 2002 the rule in section 35-10 may apply to the business activity comprised by their involvement in this Project. Under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) the Commissioner will decide for the income years ending 30 June 2002 to 30 June 2014 (Option 1) and for the years ending 30 June 2002 to 30 June 2021 (Option 2) that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling.

47. For a Grower who is an individual and who enters the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before the 31 March 2003 (i.e., during the year ended 30 June 2003) the rule in section 35-10 may apply to the business activity comprised by their involvement in this Project. Under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) the Commissioner will decide for the income years ending 30 June 2003 to 30 June 2015 (Option 1) and for the years ending 30 June 2003 to 30 June 2022 (Option 2) that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling.

48. This exercise of the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) will not be required where, for any year in question:

- the ‘exception’ in subsection 35-10(4) applies (see paragraph 96 in the Explanations part of this ruling, below);
- a Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45; or
- a Grower’s business activity produces assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsection 35-10(2)).

49. Where, the ‘exception’ in subsection 35-10(4) applies, the Grower’s business activity satisfies one of the tests, or the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) is exercised, section 35-10 will not apply. This means that a Grower will not be required to defer any excess of deductions attributable to their business activity in excess of any assessable income from that activity, i.e., any ‘loss’ from that activity, to a later year. Instead, this ‘loss’ can be offset against other assessable income for the year in which it arises.

50. Growers are reminded of the important statement made on Page 1 of this Product Ruling. Therefore, Growers should not see the Commissioner’s decision to exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) as an indication that the Tax Office sanctions or guarantees the Project or the product to be commercially viable. An assessment of the Project or the product from this perspective has not been made.

Section 82KL, and Part IVA

51. For a Grower who participates in the Project and incurs expenditure as required by the Management Agreement and the Lease Agreement the following provisions of the ITAA 1936 have application as indicated:

- section 82KL does not apply to deny the deductions otherwise allowable; and
- the relevant provisions in Part IVA will not be applied to cancel a tax benefit obtained under a tax law dealt with in this Ruling.

Explanations

Is the Grower carrying on a business?

52. For the amounts set out in the Tables above to constitute allowable deductions the Grower’s afforestation activities as a

participant in the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 must amount to the carrying on of a business of primary production.

53. Where there is a business, or a future business, the gross proceeds from the sale of the timber produce will constitute gross assessable income in their own right. The generation of 'business income' from such a business, or future business, provides the backdrop against which to judge whether the outgoings in question have the requisite connection with the operations that more directly gain or produce this income.

54. For schemes such as that of the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002, Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out in paragraph 89 the circumstances in which the Grower's activities can constitute the carrying on of a business. As Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out, these circumstances have been established in court decisions such as *FCT v. Lau* 84 ATC 4929.

55. Generally, a Grower will be carrying on a business of afforestation, and hence primary production, if:

- the Grower has an identifiable interest (by lease) in the land on which the Grower's trees are established;
- the Grower has a right to harvest and sell the timber produce from those trees;
- the afforestation activities are carried out on the Grower's behalf;
- the afforestation activities of the Grower are typical of those associated with a afforestation business; and
- the weight and influence of general indicators point to the carrying on of a business.

56. In this Project, each Grower enters into a Management Agreement and a Lease Agreement.

57. Under the Lease Agreement each individual Grower will have rights over a specific and identifiable area of one hectare of land. The Lease Agreement provides the Grower with an ongoing interest in the specific trees on the leased area for the term of the Project. Under the lease the Grower must use the land in question for the purpose of carrying out afforestation activities, and for no other purpose. The lease allows the Project Manager come onto to the land to carry out its obligations under the Management Agreement.

58. Under the Management Agreement the Project Manager is engaged by the Grower to establish and maintain a Woodlot on the Grower's identifiable area of land during the term of the Project. The Project Manager has provided evidence that it holds the appropriate

professional skills and credentials to provide the management services to establish and maintain the Woodlot on the Grower's behalf.

59. The Project Manager is also engaged to harvest and sell, on the Grower's behalf, the timber produce grown on the Grower's Woodlot.

60. The general indicators of a business, as used by the Courts, are described in Taxation Ruling TR 97/11. Positive findings can be made from the Project's description for all the indicators.

61. The activities that will be regularly carried out during the term of the Project demonstrate a significant commercial purpose. Based on reasonable projections, a Grower in the Project will derive assessable income from the sale of the timber produce that will return a before-tax profit, i.e., a profit in cash terms that does not depend in its calculation on the fees in question being allowed as a deduction.

62. The pooling of timber produce from trees grown on the Grower's Woodlot with the timber produce of other Growers is consistent with general afforestation practices. Each Grower's proportionate share of the sale proceeds of the pooled timber products will reflect the proportion of the trees contributed from their Woodlot.

63. The Project Manager's services are also consistent with general silvicultural practices. They are of the type ordinarily found in afforestation ventures that would commonly be said to be businesses. While the size of a Woodlot is relatively small, it is of a size and scale to allow it to be commercially viable. (see Taxation Ruling IT 360).

64. The Grower's degree of control over the Project Manager as evidenced by the Management Agreement, and supplemented by the Corporations Act, is sufficient. During the term of the Project, the Manager will provide the Grower with regular progress reports on the Grower's Woodlot and the activities carried out on the Grower's behalf. Growers are able to terminate arrangements with the Project Manager in certain instances, such as cases of default or neglect.

65. The afforestation activities, and hence the fees associated with their procurement, are consistent with an intention to commence regular activities that have an 'air of permanence' about them. For the purposes of this Ruling, the Growers' afforestation activities in the Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 will constitute the carrying on of a business.

The Simplified Tax System

Division 328

66. Subdivision 328-F sets out the eligibility requirements that a Grower must satisfy in order to enter the STS and Subdivision 328-G sets out the rules for entering and leaving the STS.

67. The question of whether a Grower is eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer' is outside the scope of this Product Ruling. Therefore, any Grower who relies on those parts of this Ruling that refer to the STS will be assumed to have correctly determined whether or not they are eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer'.

Deductibility of management fees and lease fees

Section 8-1

68. Consideration of whether the initial management fees and lease fees are deductible under section 8-1 begins with the first limb of the section. This view proceeds on the following basis:

- the outgoing in question must have a sufficient connection with the operations or activities that directly gain or produce the taxpayer's assessable income;
- the outgoings are not deductible under the second limb if they are incurred when the business has not commenced; and
- where all that happens in a year of income is that a taxpayer is contractually committed to a venture that may not turn out to be a business, there can be doubt about whether the relevant business has commenced, and hence, whether the second limb applies. However, that does not preclude the application of the first limb in determining whether the outgoing in question has a sufficient connection with activities to produce assessable income.

69. The Management Fees and Lease Fees associated with the afforestation activities will relate to the gaining of income from the Grower's business of afforestation (see above), and hence have a sufficient connection to the operations by which income (from the harvesting and sale of timber produce) is to be gained from this business. They will thus be deductible under the first limb of section 8-1. Further, no 'non-income producing' purpose in incurring the fee is identifiable from the arrangement. The fee appears to be reasonable. There is no capital component of the Management Fee. The tests of deductibility under the first limb of section 8-1 are met. The exclusions do not apply.

Prepayment provisions

Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG

70. The prepayment provisions contained in Subdivision H of Division 3 of Part III of the ITAA 1936 affect the timing of deductions

for certain prepaid expenditure. These provisions apply to certain expenditure incurred under an agreement in return for the doing of a thing under the agreement (e.g., the performance of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done within the same year of income as the year in which the expenditure is incurred. If expenditure is incurred to cover the provision of services to be provided within the same year, then it is not expenditure to which the prepayment rules apply.

71. For this Project, only section 82KZL (an interpretive provision) and sections 82KZME, 82KZMF and 82KZMG are relevant. Subject to section 82KZMG, if the requirements of sections 82KZME and 82KZMF are met, taxpayers determine deductions for prepaid expenditure under section 82KZMF using the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1). These provisions also apply to 'STS taxpayers' because there is no specific exclusion contained in section 82KZME that excludes them from the operation of section 82KZMF.

Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF

72. Other than expenditure deductible under section 82KZMG, if the requirements of subsections 82KZME(2) and (3) are met, the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) (see below) will apply to apportion expenditure that is otherwise deductible under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(2) will be met if expenditure is incurred by a taxpayer in return for the doing of a thing that is not to be wholly done within the year the expenditure is made. The year in which such expenditure is incurred is called the 'expenditure year' (subsection 82KZME(1)).

73. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(3) will be met where the agreement (or arrangement) has the following characteristics:

- the taxpayer's allowable deductions under the agreement for the 'expenditure year' exceed any assessable income attributable to the agreement for that year;
- the taxpayer does not have effective day to day control over the operation of the agreement. That is, the significant aspects of the arrangement are managed by someone other than the taxpayer; and
- either :
 - a) there is more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer;
 - or

- b) the person who promotes, arranges or manages the agreement (or an associate of that person) promotes similar agreements for other taxpayers.

74. For the purpose of these provisions, the agreement includes all activities that relate to the agreement (subsection 82KZME(4)). This has particular relevance for a Grower in this Project who, in order to participate in the Project may borrow funds from a financier other than Gunns Finance Pty Ltd. Although undertaken with an unrelated party, that financing would be an element of the arrangement. The funds borrowed and the interest deduction are directly related to the activities under the arrangement. If a Grower prepays interest under such financing arrangements, the deductions allowable will be subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF.

75. There are a number of exceptions to these rules, but for Growers participating in this Project, only the 'excluded expenditure' exception in subsection 82KZME(7) is relevant. 'Excluded expenditure' is defined in subsection 82KZL(1). However, for the purposes of Growers in this Project, 'excluded expenditure' is prepaid expenditure incurred under the arrangement that is less than \$1,000. Such expenditure is immediately deductible.

76. Where the requirements of section 82KZME are met, section 82KZMF applies to apportion relevant prepaid expenditure. Section 82KZMF uses the formula below, to apportion prepaid expenditure and allow a deduction over the period that the benefits are provided.

$$\text{Expenditure} \times \frac{\text{Number of days of eligible service period in the year of income}}{\text{Total number of days of eligible service period}}$$

77. In the formula 'eligible service period' (defined in subsection 82KZL(1)) means, the period during which the thing under the agreement is to be done. The eligible service period begins on the day on which the thing under the agreement commences to be done or on the day on which the expenditure is incurred, whichever is the later, and ends on the last day on which the thing under the agreement ceases to be done, up to a maximum of 10 years.

Sections 82KZMG

78. Under section 82KZMG(1), expenditure is excluded from the prepayment rules that would otherwise apply, to the extent that the prepaid amount satisfies the requirements of subsections 82KZMG(2) to (4).

79. Subsection 82KZMG(2) requires that the expenditure is
- incurred on or after 2 October 2001 and on or before 30 June 2006;

- the eligible service period must be 12 months or shorter and must end on or before the last day of the year of income after the expenditure year; and
- for the doing of a thing under the agreement that is not to be wholly done within the expenditure year.

80. To satisfy subsection 82KZMG(3) the agreement must satisfy the following requirements:

- it must be an agreement for planting and tending trees for felling;
- be an agreement where the taxpayer does not have day to day control over the operations arising out of the agreement. (A right to be consulted or to give directions does not equate to day to day control for the purposes of this requirement); and
- either:
 - (i) there must be more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer who incurs the expenditure; or
 - (ii) the manager manages, arranges or promotes the agreement, or an associate of the manager, manages, arranges or promotes similar agreements.

81. Under subsection 82KZMG(4) the expenditure incurred by the taxpayer must be paid for seasonally dependent agronomic activities undertaken by the manager during the ‘establishment period’ for the relevant planting of trees for felling.

82. Subsection 82KZMG(5) defines the ‘establishment period’ to commence at the time that the first seasonally dependent agronomic activity is performed in relation to a specific planting of trees and to conclude with the planting of trees. Where it is necessary to apply a fertiliser or herbicide to the trees at the same time as planting then those activities fall within the establishment period. Planting of trees refers to the main planting of the particular plantation and expressly excludes specific planting to replace existing seedlings that have not survived.

Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project

83. Under the Management Agreement, a Grower incurs an Establishment Fee in the Application Year consisting of expenditure of \$4,354 for ‘seasonally dependent agronomic activities’. The Management Agreement also requires that a Grower incurs Pruning Fees (Option 2) and 12% will be deducted from the Grower’s

entitlement to Wood Sale Proceeds for sales commission and the performance of maintenance services, planting and lease fee during the term of the Project.

84. The Management Agreement requires that the Manager provide the Establishment Services in accordance with good silvicultural practice. The Establishment Services include all ploughing and cultivation required for the purpose of planting the seedlings, including the procuring of those seedlings. These activities are to be completed before 12 months from the date the Establishment Fee is paid or the Manager is first permitted under the Forestry Right Deed to access the land for the purposes of commencing the Establishment Services, whichever is the later. However, if that period would extend beyond 30 June of the financial year immediately following the year in which the Establishment Fee is paid, the Establishment Services are to be completed by that 30 June.

85. As the requirements of section 82KZMG have been met, a deduction is allowable in the income year ended 30 June 2002 (for Growers accepted into the Project on or before 30 June 2002) or 2003, (for Growers accepted on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003), for the expenditure incurred under the Management Agreement for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities'. Other fees payable by the Grower are outside the period for which this Product Ruling rules for and are, therefore, outside of the scope of the Ruling.

Interest deductibility

Section 8-1

(i) Growers who use Gunns Finance Pty Ltd as the finance provider

86. Some Growers may finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with Gunns Finance Pty Ltd. Whether the resulting interest costs are deductible under section 8-1 depends on the same reasoning as that applied to the deductibility of lease and management fees.

87. The interest incurred by Growers during the term of the loan will be incurred to finance the Grower's business operations - the cultivation and growing trees and the lease of the land on which the trees will have been planted - that will continue to be directly connected with the gaining of 'business income' from the Project. Such interest will, therefore, have a sufficient connection with the gaining of assessable income to be deductible under section 8-1.

88. As with the management fees and the lease fees the timing of deductions for interest will again depend upon whether a Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' or is not an 'STS taxpayer'. (Note: Growers who

choose to prepay interest cannot claim deductions when incurred and will be required to determine interest deductions under the prepayment provisions explained in paragraphs 70 to 77 above.

89. If the Grower is not an 'STS taxpayer', interest is deductible in the year in which it is incurred.

90. If the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' interest is not deductible until it has been both incurred and paid, or is paid for the Grower. If interest that is properly incurred in an income year remains unpaid at the end of that income year, the unpaid amount is deductible in the income year in which it is actually paid, or is paid for the Grower.

(ii) Growers who DO NOT use Gunns Finance Pty Ltd as the finance provider

91. The deductibility of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or financier other than Gunns Finance Pty Ltd is outside the scope of this Ruling. Product Rulings only deal with arrangements where all details and documentation have been provided to, and examined by the Tax Office.

92. While the terms of any finance agreement entered into between relevant Growers and such financiers are subject to commercial negotiation, those agreements may require interest to be prepaid. Alternatively, a Grower may choose to prepay such interest. Unless such prepaid interest is 'excluded expenditure' any tax deduction that is allowable will be subject to the prepayment provisions of the ITAA 1936 (see paragraphs 70 to 77).

Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Division 35

93. Division 35 applies to losses from certain business activities for the income year ended 30 June 2001 and subsequent years. Under the rule in subsection 35-10(2) a deduction for a loss made by an individual (including an individual in a general law partnership) from certain business activities will not be taken into account in an income year unless:

- the exception in subsection 35-10(4) applies;
- one of four tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45 is met; or
- if one of the tests is not satisfied, the Commissioner exercises the discretion in section 35-55.

94. Generally, a loss in this context is, for the income year in question, the excess of an individual taxpayer's allowable deductions attributable to the business activity over that taxpayer's assessable income from the business activity.

95. Losses that cannot be taken into account in a particular year of income, because of subsection 35-10(2), can be applied to the extent of future profits from the business activity, or are deferred until one of the tests is passed, the discretion is exercised, or the exception applies.

96. For the purposes of applying Division 35, subsection 35-10(3) allows taxpayers to group business activities 'of a similar kind'. Under subsection 35-10(4), there is an 'exception' to the general rule in subsection 35-10(2) where the loss is from a primary production business activity and the individual taxpayer has other assessable income for the income year from sources not related to that activity, of less than \$40,000 (excluding any net capital gain). As both subsections relate to the individual circumstances of Growers who participate in the Project they are beyond the scope of this Product Ruling and are not considered further.

97. In broad terms, the tests require:

- (a) at least \$20,000 of assessable income in that year from the business activity (section 35-30);
- (b) the business activity results in a taxation profit in 3 of the past 5 income years (including the current year)(section 35-35);
- (c) at least \$500,000 of real property, or an interest in real property, (excluding any private dwelling) is used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-40); or
- (d) at least \$100,000 of certain other assets (excluding cars, motor cycles and similar vehicles) are used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-45).

98. A Grower who participates in the Project under Option 1 will be carrying on a business activity that is subject to these provisions. Information provided with the application for this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Woodlot in the Project under Option 1 on or before 30 June 2002 is unlikely to have their activity pass one of the tests until the income year ended 30 June 2015. A Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Woodlot in the Project under Option 1 on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003 is unlikely to have their activity pass one of the tests until the income year ended 30 June 2016.

99. A Grower who participates in the Project under Option 2 will be carrying on a business activity that is subject to these provisions. Information provided with the application for this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Woodlot in the Project under Option 2 on or before 30 June 2002 is unlikely to have their activity pass one of the tests until the income year ended 30 June 2022. A Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Woodlot in the Project under Option 2 on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003 is unlikely to have their activity pass one of the tests until the income year ended 30 June 2023. Growers who acquire more than one interest in the Project may however, find that their activity meets one of the tests in an earlier income year.

100. Therefore, prior to this time, unless the Commissioner exercises an arm of the discretion under paragraphs 35-55(1)(a) or (b), the rule in subsection 35-10(2) will apply to defer to a future income year any loss that arises from the Grower's participation in the Project.

101. The first arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(a) relates to 'special circumstances' applicable to the business activity, and has no relevance for the purposes of this Product Ruling. However, the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) may be exercised by the Commissioner where the business activity has started to be carried on and for that, or those income years;

- because of its nature, the business activity has not satisfied, or will not satisfy one of the tests set out in Division 35; and
- there is an expectation that the business activity of an individual taxpayer will either pass one of the tests or produce a taxation profit within a period that is commercially viable for the industry concerned.

102. Information provided with this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one Woodlot, Option 1, in the Project on or before 30 June 2002 is expected to be carrying on a business activity that will pass one of the tests or will produce a taxation profit in the income year ended 30 June 2015.

103. The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower (Option 1) that it would be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion for all income years up to, and including the income year ended 30 June 2014.

104. Information provided with this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one Woodlot, Option 1, in the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003 is expected to be carrying on a business activity that

will pass one of the tests or will produce a taxation profit for the income year ended 30 June 2016.

105. The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower (Option 1) that it would be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion for all income years up to, and including the income year ended 30 June 2015.

106. Information provided with this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one Woodlot, Option 2, in the Project on or before 30 June 2002 is expected to be carrying on a business activity that will pass one of the tests in the income year ended 30 June 2022, or will produce a taxation profit, for the income year ended 30 June 2011.

107. The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower (Option 2) that it would be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion for all income years up to, and including the income year ended 30 June 2021. The taxation profit that is projected for the income year ended 30 June 2010 does not affect the period of the Commissioner's discretion as it is considered to be a 'one-off' event that is specific to the afforestation industry.

108. Information provided with this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum investment of one Woodlot, Option 2, in the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003 is expected to be carrying on a business activity that will pass one of the tests in the income year ended 30 June 2023, or will produce a taxation profit, for the income year ended 30 June 2012.

109. The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower (Option 2) that it would be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion for all income years up to, and including the income year ended 30 June 2022. The taxation profit that is projected for the income year ended 30 June 2011 does not affect the period of the Commissioner's discretion as it is considered to be a 'one-off' event that is specific to the afforestation industry.

110. This Product Ruling is issued on a prospective basis (i.e., before an individual Grower's business activity starts to be carried on). The Project, however, may fail to be carried on during the income years specified above (see paragraphs 46 and 47), in the manner described in the Arrangement (see paragraphs 15 to 33). If so, this Ruling, and specifically the decision in relation to paragraph 35-55(1)(b), that it would be unreasonable that the loss deferral rule in subsection 35-10(2) not apply, may be affected, because the Ruling no longer applies (see paragraph 9). Growers may need to apply for private rulings on how paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will apply in such changed circumstances.

111. In deciding that the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will be exercised on this conditional basis, the Commissioner has relied upon:

- the report of the independent forester and additional expert or scientific evidence provided with the application by the Responsible Entity;
- the binding Woodsale contract with the Gunns Limited for the sale of the timber produce setting out prices that realistically reflect the existing market and/or the projected market in the geographical region where the timber produce is grown; and
- independent, objective, and generally available information relating to the afforestation industry which substantially supports cash flow projections and other claims, including prices and costs, in the Product Ruling application submitted by the Responsible Entity.

Section 82KL - recouped expenditure

112. The operation of section 82KL depends, among other things, on the identification of a certain quantum of 'additional benefits(s)'. Insufficient 'additional benefits' will be provided to trigger the application of section 82KL. It will not apply to deny the deduction otherwise allowable under section 8-1.

Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions

113. For Part IVA to apply there must be a 'scheme' (section 177A), a 'tax benefit' (section 177C) and a dominant purpose of entering into the scheme to obtain a tax benefit (section 177D).

114. The Gunns Plantations Woodlot Project 2002 will be a 'scheme'. A Grower will obtain a 'tax benefit' from entering into the scheme, in the form of tax deductions for the amounts detailed at paragraphs 40, 41, 44 and 45 that would not have been obtained but for the scheme. However, it is not possible to conclude the scheme will be entered into or carried out with the dominant purpose of obtaining this tax benefit.

115. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to stay in the scheme for its full term and derive assessable income from the harvesting and sale of the timber produce. There are no facts that would suggest that Growers have the opportunity of obtaining a tax advantage other than the tax advantages identified in this Ruling. There is no non-recourse financing or round robin characteristics, and no indication that the parties are not dealing at arm's length or, if any parties are not dealing at arm's length, that any adverse tax

consequences result. Further, having regard to the factors to be considered under paragraph 177D(b) it cannot be concluded, on the information available, that participants will enter into the scheme for the dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.

Examples

Example 1 - Entitlement to GST input tax credits

116. Susan, who is a sole trader and registered for GST, contracts with a manager to manage her viticulture business. Her manager is registered for GST and charges her a management fee payable every six months in advance. On 1 December 2001 Susan receives a valid tax invoice from her manager requesting payment of a management fee in advance, and also requesting payment for an improvement in the connection of electricity for her vineyard that she contracted him to carry out. The tax invoice includes the following details:

Management fee for period 1/1/2002 to 30/6/2002	\$4,400*
Carrying out of upgrade of power for your vineyard as quoted	<u>\$2,200*</u>
Total due and payable by 1 January 2002 (includes GST of \$600)	<u>\$6,600</u>

*Taxable supply

Susan pays the invoice by the due date and calculates her input tax credit on the management fee (to be claimed through her Business Activity Statement) as:

$$1/11 \times \$4,400 = \$400.$$

Hence her outgoing for the management fee is effectively \$4,400 *less* \$400, or \$4,000.

Similarly, Susan calculates her input tax credit on the connection of electricity as:

$$1/11 \times \$2,200 = \$200.$$

Hence her outgoing for the power upgrade is effectively \$2,200 *less* \$200, or \$2,000.

In preparing her income tax return for the year ended 30 June 2002, Susan is aware that the management fee is deductible in the year incurred. She calculates her management fee deduction as \$4,000 (not \$4,400).

Susan is aware that the electricity upgrade is deductible 10% per year over a 10-year period. She calculates her deduction for the power upgrade as \$200 (one tenth of \$2,000 only, not one tenth of \$2,200).

Detailed contents list

117. Below is a detailed contents list for this Product Ruling:

	Paragraph
What this Product Ruling is about	1
Tax law(s)	2
Goods and Services Tax	3
Changes in the Law	4
Note to promoters and advisers	6
Class of persons	7
Qualifications	9
Date of Effect	11
Withdrawal	13
Previous Rulings	14
Arrangement	15
Overview	18
Lease of Forestry Right and Management Agreement	22
Establishment and maintenance of the plantation	24
Fees	28
Finance	30
Ruling	34
Application of this Ruling	34
The Simplified Tax System ('STS')	36
Division 328	36
Qualification	37
Tax outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS' taxpayers	38
Assessable Income	38
Section 6-5	38
Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or before 30 June 2002	40
Section 8-1	40

Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003	41
Section 8-1	41
Tax outcomes for Growers who are ‘STS’ taxpayers	42
Assessable Income	42
Section 6-5	42
Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or before 30 June 2002	44
Section 8-1 and section 328-105	44
Deductions for the Establishment Fee and Interest for Growers accepted into the Project on or after 1 July 2002 and on or before 31 March 2003	45
Section 8-1 and section 328-105	45
Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers	46
Division 35 - Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	46
Section 35-55 – Commissioner’s discretion	46
Section 82KL and Part IVA	51
Explanations	52
Is the Grower carrying on a business?	52
The Simplified Tax System	66
Division 328	66
Deductibility of Management fees and Lease fees	68
Section 8-1	68
Prepayment provisions	70
Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG	70
<i>Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF</i>	72
<i>Section 82KZMG</i>	78
<i>Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project</i>	83
Interest deductibility	86
Section 8-1	86
<i>(i) Growers who use Gunns Finance Pty Ltd as the finance provider</i>	86

<i>(ii) Growers who DO NOT use Gunns Finance Pty Ltd as the finance provider</i>	91
Deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	93
Division 35	93
Section 82KL - recouped expenditure	112
Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions	113
Examples	116
Example 1 - Entitlement to GST input credits	116
Detailed contents list	117

Commissioner of Taxation

1 May 2002

<i>Previous draft:</i>	- ITAA 1936 82KZME(7)
Not previously issued in draft form	- ITAA 1936 82KZMF
	- ITAA 1936 82KZMF(1)
<i>Related Rulings/Determinations:</i>	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG
TR 92/1; TR 92/20; TD 93/34;	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG(1)
TR 97/11; TR 97/16; TR 98/22;	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG(2)
TR 2000/8; PR 1999/95; PR 2002/22;	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG(3)
IT 360	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG(4)
	- ITAA 1936 82KZMG(5)
<i>Subject references:</i>	- ITAA 1936 Pt IVA
- carrying on a business	- ITAA 1936 177A
- commencement of business	- ITAA 1936 177C
- fee expenses	- ITAA 1936 177D
- interest expenses	- ITAA 1936 177D(b)
- management fee expenses	- ITAA 1997 6-5
- producing assessable income	- ITAA 1997 8-1
- product rulings	- ITAA 1997 17-5
- public rulings	- ITAA 1997 Div 27
- schemes and shams	- ITAA 1997 Div 35
- taxation administration	- ITAA 1997 35-10
- tax avoidance	- ITAA 1997 35-10(2)
	- ITAA 1997 35-10(3)
	- ITAA 1997 35-10(4)
<i>Legislative references:</i>	- ITAA 1997 35-30
- TAA 1953 Part IVAAA	- ITAA 1997 35-35
- ITAA 1936 Div 3 of Part III	- ITAA 1997 35-40
- ITAA 1936 82KL	- ITAA 1997 35-45
- ITAA 1936 82KZL	- ITAA 1997 35-55
- ITAA 1936 82KZL(1)	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(a)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(1)	- ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b)
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(2)	- ITAA 1997 Div 328
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(3)	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-F
- ITAA 1936 82KZME(4)	- ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-G

- ITAA 1997 328-105
- ITAA 1997 328-105(1)(a)
- Copyright Act 1968

Case references:

- FCT v. Lau 84 ATC 4929

Product Ruling

PR 2002/56

Page 34 of 34

FOI status: **may be released**

ATO references:

NO: TBA

ISSN: 1441 1172