




***TD 2006/1 - Income tax: for the purposes of Division 974 of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997, if the issuer of an interest bearing instrument can change the rate of interest that will become payable to any rate (including zero) that it chooses at its sole discretion, does the issuer have an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' to provide 'financial benefits' as interest payments from the time that a change in the interest rate could take effect?***

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TD 2006/1 - Income tax: for the purposes of Division 974 of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997, if the issuer of an interest bearing instrument can change the rate of interest that will become payable to any rate (including zero) that it chooses at its sole discretion, does the issuer have an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' to provide 'financial benefits' as interest payments from the time that a change in the interest rate could take effect?*

 This Ruling has been reviewed by the ATO and does not require any updates as at 31 March 2017.

 This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on 8 February 2006



## Taxation Determination

Income tax: for the purposes of Division 974 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997*, if the issuer of an interest bearing instrument can change the rate of interest that will become payable to any rate (including zero) that it chooses at its sole discretion, does the issuer have an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' to provide 'financial benefits' as interest payments from the time that a change in the interest rate could take effect?



This Ruling has been reviewed by the ATO and does not require any updates as at 31 March 2017.

### This Ruling provides you with the following level of protection:

This publication (excluding appendices) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*. A public ruling is an expression of the Commissioner's opinion about the way in which a relevant taxation provision applies, or would apply, to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes. If you rely on this ruling, we must apply the law to you in the way set out in the ruling (or in a way that is more favourable for you if we are satisfied that the ruling is incorrect and disadvantages you, and we are not prevented from doing so by a time limit imposed by the law). You will be protected from having to pay any under-paid tax, penalty or interest in respect of the matters covered by this ruling if it turns out that it does not correctly state how the current law applies to you.

[Note: This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the Tax Office Legal Database (<http://law.ato.gov.au>) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]

### Ruling

1. No, unless the issuer's ostensibly unfettered discretion to change the interest rate should be disregarded in the light of the full consideration of the pricing, terms and conditions of the scheme under which the instrument was issued. This Taxation Determination does not apply if the holder of the instrument can compel the issuer to return the amount invested in the instrument on any occasion that the issuer changes the interest rate.

# TD 2006/1

## **Date of effect**

2. This Determination applies to years commencing both before and after its date of issue. However, it does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of the Determination (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

---

**Commissioner of Taxation**

25 January 2006

---

## Appendix 1 – Explanation

**❶** *This Appendix is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.*

### Explanation

3. One of the objects of Division 974 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) is to establish a test for determining for particular tax purposes whether a scheme gives rise to a debt interest (paragraph 974-10(1)(a) of the ITAA 1997). The test is contained in section 974-20 of the ITAA 1997: it sets out five criteria that must be satisfied before a scheme gives rise to a debt interest in an entity. The test is to be applied when the scheme that gives rise to the interest comes into existence or is changed (subsection 974-15(1) and section 974-110 of the ITAA 1997 respectively).
4. One of the criteria is that the entity must have an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' under that scheme to provide a financial benefit or benefits (paragraph 974-20(1)(c) of the ITAA 1997).
5. An issuer has an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' to take an action under a scheme where, having regard to the pricing, terms and conditions of the scheme, there is in substance or effect a non-contingent obligation to take that action (subsection 974-135(1) of the ITAA 1997).
6. The term 'financial benefit' means anything of economic value (section 974-160 of the ITAA 1997). The provision of a financial benefit will include the payment of an amount of money, and payments of interest will be financial benefits.
7. Another of the five key criteria of the debt test is that it is substantially more likely than not that the value of the financial benefits to be provided by a relevant entity (and this includes the issuer) will be at least equal to the value received (paragraph 974-20(1)(d) of the ITAA 1997). Particular rules apply to the valuation of financial benefits (see sections 974-35, 974-40, 974-45 and 974-50 of the ITAA 1997).
8. If a company issues an instrument under a scheme that is a financing arrangement for the company, and the amount of the return is variable at the discretion of the company, the instrument will be an equity interest in the company unless the debt test is also satisfied (subsections 974-70(1) and 974-75(2) of the ITAA 1997; item 3 in the table in subsection 974-75(1) of the ITAA 1997). If the instrument also satisfies the debt test, it will be treated as a debt interest and not an equity interest (subsection 974-5(4) of the ITAA 1997).
9. The terms and conditions of some 'resettable' instruments give the issuer an apparently unlimited discretion to change (that is, to 'reset') the rates of interest payable after a certain period. Further, on any occasion that the rates are reset, the holders of these particular instruments cannot compel the issuer to return the amounts that they have invested in the instruments.
10. The terms and conditions of these instruments give the issuer an unfettered discretion to reset the interest rate. The consideration of the pricing, terms and conditions of the instruments at the relevant time does not establish that the substance or effect of these arrangements is such that the issuer would not exercise its discretion to reset the rates to zero, or at rates that are less than market rates of return. The issuer is not formally constrained in resetting the rate by, for example, a condition that requires any new rate to be set at not less than a specified market reference rate of interest. Further, the issuer is not effectively constrained by, for example, the prospect that the holder of the interest

could withdraw its investment if the interest rate is ever reset at less than market rates. The issuer can set a new rate of interest to apply after the reset that is substantially less than the prevailing market rate. The issuer can even choose to reset the rate to zero. The payment of any interest after the reset date will thus be contingent upon the issuer choosing to reset the rate to a rate that is greater than zero.

11. This type of interest will satisfy the equity test if it is issued by a company, because the amount of the return will be variable at the discretion of the company and the interest will fall within item 3 in the table in subsection 974-75(1) of the ITAA 1997. It will therefore be an equity interest unless the debt test is also satisfied, in which case it will be a debt interest (paragraph 974-70(1)(b) and subsection 974-5(4) of the ITAA 1997).

12. In these particular circumstances, at the time that the instrument is issued it is not possible to identify any amounts of periodic interest that the issuer will be effectively obliged to pay after the reset date. The terms and conditions of the instrument give the issuer an effective choice about the payment of any interest after that date. Because the issuer has an effective choice about the provision of those particular financial benefits, for the purposes of the debt test there is not an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide financial benefits as periodic interest payments after the time that the choice might take effect.

13. However, the issuer might have an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide periodic interest payments before the interest rate on the instrument can be reset, and/or to pay back the amount invested in the instrument at some time. Whether any obligations to make such payments would be sufficient to satisfy the debt test, so that the instrument would be a debt interest (and not an equity interest), will depend on the value of those financial benefits, and the satisfaction of other criteria of the debt test.

### **Example**

14. *Company A issues notes that have a fixed term to maturity of 20 years, for a subscription price of \$100.00 each, which is repayable only at maturity. The initial interest rate is set by Company A at 8% per year for a fixed period of 5 years. Company A must pay a total of \$40 interest over this initial 5 year period. At the end of the first 5 years, Company A can set a new interest rate at its sole discretion. At the time of issue of the notes, proper consideration of the substance or effect of the pricing, terms and conditions does not lead to the conclusion that the company's unfettered discretion to change the interest rate should be disregarded.*

15. *The debt test is applied when the scheme comes into existence – in this case, when the notes are issued. The issuer has an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide the following financial benefits:*

*Years 1 to 5: \$8.00 per year as interest*

*Year 20: \$100.00 as the return of the subscription price paid for the notes at maturity*

16. *The issuer does not have an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide financial benefits as periodic interest payments in years 6 to 20.*

17. *Whether the obligation to pay \$140.00 as financial benefits is sufficient to satisfy the debt test, so that the notes would be debt interests, will depend on the application of other criteria of the debt test.*

---

## **Appendix 2 – Alternative views**

---

**❶** *This Appendix sets out alternative views and explains why they are not supported by the Commissioner. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.*

### **Alternative views**

18. It has been suggested that any prospect that an issuer of an instrument that is subject to the terms and conditions described in paragraphs 9 and 10 might choose to reset the interest rate to a zero rate, or even another rate that is below market rates, should be ignored as being immaterially remote, because the issuer would suffer substantial adverse commercial consequences if it were to set rates at those levels. Accordingly, so it is said, the issuer's right to choose a zero rate, or another rate that is less than the market rate, should be disregarded, and the issuer should be considered to have an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide interest at no less than market rates after the reset dates.

19. However, subsection 974-135(7) of the ITAA 1997 explicitly provides that an obligation is not effectively non-contingent merely because a party will suffer some detrimental practical or commercial consequences if they do not fulfil that obligation. That is, where an obligation to provide a financial benefit is contingent, the obligation will not be rendered 'effectively non-contingent' merely because of some practical and commercial detriment that will follow if that financial benefit is not provided. In the circumstances that are the subject of this Taxation Determination, the provision of any financial benefits as periodic interest after the reset takes effect is contingent upon the issuer resetting the rate at a rate in excess of zero. It may well be that an issuer will suffer detrimental practical or commercial consequences if it resets the rate at less than market rates. The application of subsection 974-135(7) means that the prospect of some practical or commercial detriment that will arise if the interest rate is reset to zero, or less than a market rate, will not of itself render the contingent obligation to provide financial benefits effectively non-contingent for the purposes of Division 974 of the ITAA 1997.

## References

---

*Previous draft:*

TD 2005/D20

*Related Rulings/Determinations:*

TR 92/20

*Subject references:*

- debt interest
- effectively non-contingent obligation
- equity interest
- financial benefit
- resettable instruments

*Legislative references:*

- TAA 1953
- ITAA 1997 Div 974
- ITAA 1997 974-5(4)

- ITAA 1997 974-10(1)(a)
  - ITAA 1997 974-15(1)
  - ITAA 1997 974-20
  - ITAA 1997 974-20(1)(c)
  - ITAA 1997 974-20(1)(d)
  - ITAA 1997 974-35
  - ITAA 1997 974-40
  - ITAA 1997 974-45
  - ITAA 1997 974-50
  - ITAA 1997 974-70(1)
  - ITAA 1997 974-70(1)(b)
  - ITAA 1997 974-75(1)
  - ITAA 1997 974-75(2)
  - ITAA 1997 974-110
  - ITAA 1997 974-135(1)
  - ITAA 1997 974-135(7)
  - ITAA 1997 974-160
- 

ATO references

NO: 2004/13457

ISSN: 1038-8982

ATOlaw topic: Income Tax ~~ Tax integrity measures ~~ debt and equity interests