PR 2003/67 - Income tax: NTT Mahogany Project

UThis cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *PR 2003/67* - *Income tax: NTT Mahogany Project*

Units document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *12 November 2003*



Product Ruling **PR 2003/67**

Page 1 of 36

Product Ruling

Income tax: NTT Mahogany Project

Preamble

The number, subject heading, and the What this Product Ruling is about (Including Tax law(s), Class of persons and Qualifications sections), Date of effect, Withdrawal, Arrangement and Ruling parts of this document are a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the Taxation Administration Act 1953. Product Ruling PR 1999/95 explains Product Rulings and Taxation Rulings TR 92/1 and TR 97/16 together explain when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.

No guarantee of commercial success

The Australian Taxation Office (ATO) **does not** sanction or guarantee this product. Further, we give no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. This will involve a consideration of important issues such as whether projected returns are realistic, the 'track record' of the management, the level of fees in comparison to similar products, how the product fits an existing portfolio, etc. We recommend a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for potential participants by confirming that the tax benefits set out below in the **Ruling** part of this document are available, **provided that** the arrangement is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given, and have described below in the **Arrangement** part of this document.

If the arrangement is not carried out as described below, participants lose the protection of this Product Ruling. Potential participants may wish to seek assurances from the promoter that the arrangement will be carried out as described in this Product Ruling.

Potential participants should be aware that the ATO will be undertaking review activities to confirm the arrangement has been implemented as described below and to ensure that the participants in the arrangement include in their income tax returns income derived in those future years.

Terms of Use of this Product Ruling

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the person(s) who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

Contents	Para
What this Product Rulin about	g is 1
Date of effect	11
Withdrawal	13
Arrangement	14
Ruling	42
Explanation	59
Examples	113
Detailed contents list	114

Potential participants may wish to refer to the ATO's Internet site at **http://www.ato.gov.au** or contact the ATO directly to confirm the currency of this Product Ruling or any other Product Ruling that the ATO has issued.

What this Product Ruling is about

1. This Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the 'tax law(s)' identified below apply to the defined class of persons, who take part in the arrangement to which this Ruling relates. In this Ruling this arrangement is sometimes referred to as the 'NTT Mahogany Project', or just simply as 'the Project'.

Tax law(s)

Product Ruling

Page 2 of 36

PR 2003/67

- 2. The tax laws dealt with in this Ruling are:
 - Section 6-5 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997);
 - Section 8-1 (ITAA 1997);
 - Section 17-5 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 27 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 35 (ITAA 1997);
 - Division 328 (ITAA 1997);
 - Section 82KL of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936);
 - Section 82KZL (ITAA 1936);
 - Sections 82KZME 82KZMF (ITAA 1936);
 - Section 82KZMG (ITAA 1936); and
 - Part IVA (ITAA 1936).

Goods and services tax

3. All fees and expenditure referred to in this Ruling include the Goods and Services Tax (GST) where applicable. In order for an entity (referred to in this Ruling as a 'Grower') to be entitled to claim input tax credits for the GST included in its expenditure, it must be registered or required to be registered for GST and hold a valid tax invoice.

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67** Page 3 of 36

Changes in the law

4. The Government is currently evaluating further changes to the tax system in response to the Ralph *Review of Business Taxation* and continuing business tax reform is expected to be implemented over a number of years. Although this Ruling deals with the taxation legislation enacted at the time it was issued, later amendments may impact on this Ruling. Any such changes will take precedence over the application of this Ruling and, to that extent, this Ruling will be superseded.

5. Taxpayers who are considering participating in the Project are advised to confirm with their taxation adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Product Ruling since it was issued.

Note to promoters and advisers

6. Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for participants in projects such as this. In keeping with that intention the Tax Office suggests that promoters and advisers ensure that participants are fully informed of any legislative changes after the Ruling is issued.

Class of persons

7. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies is those who are more specifically identified in the Ruling part of this Product Ruling and who enter into the arrangement described below on or after the date this Ruling is made. They will have a purpose of staying in the arrangement until it is completed (i.e. being a party to the relevant agreements until their term expires), and deriving assessable income from this involvement as set out in the description of the arrangement. In this Ruling, each of these persons are referred to as 'Growers'.

8. The class of persons to whom this Ruling applies does not include persons who intend to terminate their involvement in the arrangement prior to its completion, or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it. Growers who elect to harvest and market their own timber produce are also excluded from the class of persons to whom this Ruling applies.

Qualifications

9. The Commissioner rules on the precise arrangement identified in the Ruling. If the arrangement described in the Ruling is materially different from the arrangement that is actually carried out, the Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner. The Ruling will be withdrawn or modified.

10. A Product Ruling may only be reproduced in its entirety. Extracts may not be reproduced. As each Product Ruling is copyright, apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no Product Ruling may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

> Commonwealth Copyright Administration Intellectual Property Branch Department of Communications, Information Technology and the Arts GPO Box 2154 Canberra ACT 2601

or by e-mail: commonwealth.copyright@dcita.gov.au.

Date of effect

11. This Ruling applies prospectively from 12 November 2003, the date this Ruling is made. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 21 and 22 of Taxation Ruling TR 92/20).

12. If a taxpayer has a more favourable private ruling (which is legally binding), the taxpayer can rely on the private ruling if the income year to which the private ruling relates has ended, or has commenced but not yet ended. However, if the arrangement covered by the private ruling has not begun to be carried out, and the income year to which it relates has not yet commenced, this Ruling applies to the taxpayer to the extent of the inconsistency only (see Taxation Determination TD 93/34).

Withdrawal

13. This Product Ruling is withdrawn and ceases to have effect after 30 June 2007. The Ruling continues to apply, in respect of the tax law(s) ruled upon, to all persons within the specified class who enter into the specified arrangement during the term of the Ruling. Thus, the Ruling continues to apply to those persons, even following its withdrawal, who entered into the specified arrangement prior to withdrawal of the Ruling. This is subject to there being no change in the arrangement or in the persons' involvement in the arrangement.

Arrangement

14. The arrangement that is the subject of this Ruling is described below. This arrangement incorporates the following documents:

- Application for Product Ruling dated 19 June 2003, received 24 June 2003 and additional correspondence, including emails, received 10 September 2003, 9 October 2003 and 29 October 2003;
- Draft Product Disclosure Statement (PDS) for the NTT Mahogany Project to be issued by Primary Securities Ltd ('Responsible Entity'), dated 17 September 2003, received 29 October 2003;
- Draft **Constitution** for the NTT Mahogany Project, dated 13 October 2003;
- Draft **Project Operations Agreement** between Primary Securities Ltd and the Grower, undated, received 29 October 2003;
- Draft Licence Agreement between NTT Land Holdings (the Licensor), Primary Securities Ltd and the Grower, received 29 October 2003;
- Draft Standing Offer Licence between Primary Securities Ltd and NTT Land Holdings Pty Ltd (the land owner), received 20 June 2003;
- Responsible Entity Services Agreement between Northern Tropical Timbers Pty Ltd (Plantation Manager) and Primary Securities Ltd, dated, 11 December 2002;
- Draft Forestry Management Plan, dated 18 August 2003, received 10 September 2003;

Page 5 of 36

- Draft Management Agreement between Primary Securities Ltd and Northern Tropical Timbers Pty Ltd, received 10 September 2003; and
- Draft Compliance Plan for the NTT Mahogany Project, dated 28 January 2003, received 24 June 2003.

Note: certain information has been provided on a commercial-in-confidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under Freedom of Information legislation.

15. The documents highlighted are those that Growers may enter into. For the purposes of describing the arrangement to which this Ruling applies, there are no other agreements, whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable, which a Grower, or any associate of a Grower, will be a party to, which are a part of the arrangement to which this Ruling applies.

16. All Australian Securities and Investment Commission (ASIC) requirements are, or will be, complied with for the term of the agreements. The effect of these agreements is summarised as follows.

Overview

17. This arrangement is called the NTT Mahogany Project. The salient features are as follows:

Location	Cape York region in North Queensland and the Northern Savanna region in the Northern Territory.
Type of business each participant is carrying on	Commercial growing and cultivation of <i>Khaya senegalensis</i> (African Mahogany) for the purpose of producing timber.
Number of hectares offered for cultivation	800
Minimum allocation	2 Woodlots
Size of each Woodlot	0.2 hectares
Number of trees established	408 trees per hectare planted.
The term of the Project	Approximately 16 years
Initial cost per woodlot	\$3,366
Initial cost per hectare	\$16,830
Ongoing and other costs per woodlot	Ongoing Licence Fee of \$68.75, indexed after 1 July 2006

Product Ruling

Management Fee of \$715 from Plantation Establishment to the end of that financial year.
Ongoing Management Fee of \$495 indexed after 1 July 2006
Potential Performance Bonus
Costs of harvest and sale payable out of harvest proceeds
Marketing Fee of 5% of net harvest proceeds

18. The Project will be registered as a Managed Investment Scheme under the Corporations Act. The Responsible Entity for the Project is Primary Securities Ltd. The Project will be conducted on land located near Cooktown in far north Queensland on a property known as 'Elderslie'. Elderslie is described as the whole of the land of Lot 176 on Crown Plan BS24, County of Banks, Parish of McIvor and on further land that will be acquired in the Cape York region of North Queensland or in the Northern Savanna region in the Northern Territory.

19. This offer pertains to 4,000 Woodlots of 0.2 hectares each. There is a minimum subscription of 450 Woodlots for this Project. Growers must subscribe for a minimum of two Woodlots. However, the Responsible Entity has discretion to accept applications for less than two Woodlots (Clause 3 of the Constitution).

20. Growers participating in the arrangement will enter into a Licence Agreement. Under this Agreement, Growers licence an area of land called a 'Woodlot' for a term of approximately 16 years for the purpose of Tree Farming. Each Woodlot will be planted with Mahogany seedlings at the rate of 408 trees per hectare.

21. Under this offer, Growers may enter the Project in either the 2003/2004 income year or the 2004/2005 income year. Growers who are allotted Woodlot/s during the year ended 30 June 2004 are defined as '2004 Growers' for the purpose of this ruling. Growers who are allotted Woodlot/s during the year ended 30 June 2005 are defined as '2005 Growers'.

22. The Growers will also enter into a contract with the Responsible Entity for the management of their Woodlot. The Responsible Entity contracts the Plantation Manager to provide these services. The Plantation Manager will be responsible for establishing and cultivating the Trees. Growers may elect to harvest and sell their own timber by notice in writing to the Responsible Entity by 30 June 2005. Alternatively, the Responsible Entity will harvest and sell the timber on their behalf. A non commercial thinning of 204

Trees per hectare will be undertaken prior to year 6. Harvests are expected to take place when the Trees are aged 10, and 15 years.

23. The Responsible Entity will only accept applications made by Growers where Subscription Monies are paid in full. However, a 2004 Grower only, may elect to pay half of the Subscription Monies with the application and pay the balance by 31 December 2004.

24. Growers will execute a Power of Attorney enabling the Responsible Entity to act on their behalf as required when they make an application for a Woodlot. This will include the execution of the Licence and Project Operations Agreement.

Constitution

25. The Constitution establishes the Project and operates as a deed binding on all of the Growers and the Responsible Entity. The Constitution sets out the terms and conditions under which Primary Securities Ltd agrees to act as Responsible Entity and thereby manage the Project. The Licence and the Project Operations Agreement will be executed on behalf of a Grower following acceptance of the application by the Responsible Entity. Growers are bound by the Constitution by virtue of their participation in the Project.

Compliance plan

26. As required by the Corporations Act, a Compliance Plan has been prepared by Primary Securities Ltd. Its purpose is to ensure that the Responsible Entity manages the Project in accordance with its obligations and responsibilities contained in the Constitution and that the interests of Growers are protected.

Licence

27. Primary Securities Ltd procures the land from the land owner, NTT Landholdings Pty Ltd, a Standing Offer to enter into the Licence. Growers participating in the arrangement will then enter into a Licence Agreement with Primary Securities Ltd in its capacity as Responsible Entity and NTT Land Holdings Pty Ltd. Growers are granted a Licence to use their Woodlot for the purpose of conducting their afforestation business upon the terms and conditions as set out in the Licence. The Licence will commence on the date Woodlots are allotted to Growers and will continue until the completion of the final harvest in approximately 16 years.

28. Each Grower must pay a Licence Fee to the Licensor during the Term of the Project being an amount specified in Item 5 of the Schedule to the Licence Agreement (see paragraph 34).

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67** Page 9 of 36

Project Operations Agreement

29. The Project Operations Agreement is between the Grower and Primary Securities Ltd as the Responsible Entity. Each Grower agrees to engage the Responsible Entity of the Project to perform services under the Agreement. The Responsible Entity may arrange for a contractor to carry out some or all of these duties. The Responsible Entity, by execution of the Management Agreement, contracts Northern Tropical Timbers Pty Ltd to manage the Woodlots.

30. The Project Operations Agreement sets out all the services to be performed under this agreement. The Plantation Establishment Services are defined as all services to be performed under the Project Operations Agreement relevant to the main planting and establishment of the Trees on the Woodlots. These include:

- tending to the seedlings prior to planting;
- ripping;
- mounding;
- applying fertiliser or herbicide to the seedlings prior to and during planting; and
- planting the seedlings.
- 31. The Plantation Establishment Services will be completed by:
 - 30 September 2004 for applications accepted by 30 June 2004;
 - 30 June 2005 for applications accepted between 1 July 2004 and 30 March 2005; and
 - 30 September 2005 for applications accepted between 1 April 2005 and 30 June 2005.

This is set out in The Forestry Management Plan.

32. The Plantation Manager will perform the ongoing services required to carry out a business of tree farming. These services include:

- tending to the Trees according to the principles of good forestry; including such nutrient analysis, pruning, fertilising and fumigating, as the Plantation Manager deems appropriate to promote Tree growth and yields;
- maintaining and repairing the irrigation system and such roads and fences as exist on the Land and otherwise keep the Woodlots in good and substantial repair and condition;

- managing the Tree crop in accordance with the Forestry Management Plan; and
- determining the harvest schedule and manage each harvest.

Management Agreement

PR 2003/67

Product Ruling

Page 10 of 36

33. The Management Agreement is between Primary Securities Ltd as the Responsible Entity and Northern Tropical Timbers Pty Ltd as Plantation Manager. This Agreement appoints Northern Tropical Timbers Pty Ltd to perform the obligations of the Responsible Entity under the Project Operations Agreement.

Fees

34. The fees payable under the Licence and the Project Operations Agreement on a per Woodlot basis are as follows:

- Licence Fee of \$68.75 on Application for a twelve month period from the Anniversary Date of Allotment. It is payable annually. The amount will be indexed by 3% per annum or the increase in the Consumer Price Index, whichever is the greater; and
- Management Fee of \$3,297.25 payable on application. \$715 payable within 30 days of completion of the Plantation Establishment Services until the end of that financial year. Thereafter, an annual fee of \$495. The amount will be indexed by 3% per annum or the increase in the Consumer Price Index, whichever is the greater.

Other Fees

35. The Grower is also required to pay a Performance Fee to the Responsible Entity if the average sawn timber per woodlot exceeds 18.5m³. (Clause 4.1(d) of the Projects Operations Agreement).

Payment of Fees

36. Upon signing an Application Form, the Grower acknowledges that the full amount of the Subscription Money is immediately due and payable. All amounts are expressed on a per Woodlot basis.

Growers who enter the project on or before 30 June 2004

- \$3,366 payable on application. A grower can elect to pay in full on application or \$1,683 on application and \$1,683 by 31 December 2004;
- \$715 Management Fee payable within 30 days of completion of the Plantation Establishment Services;
- \$495 Management Fee payable annually from 1 July 2005. This amount is subject to indexation; and
- \$68.75 Licence Fee payable every twelve months on the Anniversary Date of Allotment. This amount is subject to indexation.

Growers who enter the project after 30 June 2004 and on or before 31 March 2005

- \$3,366 payable on application;
- \$715 Management Fee payable within 30 days of completion of the Plantation Establishment Services;
- \$495 Management Fee payable annually from 1 July 2006. This amount is subject to indexation; and
- \$68.75 Licence Fee payable every twelve months on the Anniversary Date of Allotment. This amount is subject to indexation.

Growers who enter the project between 1 April 2005 and 30 June 2005

- \$3,366 payable on application;
- \$715 Management Fee payable within 30 days of completion of the Plantation Establishment Services;
- \$495 Management Fee payable annually on 1 July 2006. This amount is subject to indexation; and
- \$68.75 Licence Fee payable every twelve months on the Anniversary Date of Allotment. This amount is subject to indexation.

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67**

Page 12 of 36

Planting

37. Under the Project Operations Agreement the Grower agrees to engage the Responsible Entity to select and purchase plant-stock to enable the cultivation of trees. During the Plantation Establishment Period, the Plantation Manager will plant sufficient Trees to an average of 82 trees per Woodlot. The Plantation Manager will conduct a survival count within 13 months of planting the Mahogany Trees and replant as necessary. The Manager will then maintain the Trees in accordance with the principles of good forestry.

Harvesting and Marketing

38. The Grower has an interest in the Mahogany Trees to be planted on the Woodlot and a right to the Timber from those Trees. Harvesting will take place as and when deemed appropriate by the Responsible Entity and the Plantation Manager in producing the best overall result for the Grower. The Manager expects to conduct noncommercial thinning of 204 Trees per hectare in year 6 and a harvest of 102 Trees per hectare in year 10. The remaining Trees (approximately 102 per hectare) will be harvested in the final year of the Project.

39. The proceeds from sale of the Grower's Timber will be paid direct to the Responsible Entity which must then be deposited into a Trust Account. Proceeds received by the Responsible Entity are to be distributed in the following order of priority:

- to pay the Adjusted Prescribed Proportion of the costs of sale (unless the Grower has made an election to sell their Timber under the Projects Operations Agreement);
- to pay to the Responsible Entity any outstanding Project Fees or other fees (including the marketing fee), costs, interest or taxes owing by the Grower to the Responsible Entity under the Constitution;
- to pay to the Responsible Entity a reasonable estimate of the following 12 months estimated project fees that may be required;
- to pay the Plantation Manager any amounts due under the Project Operations Agreement;
- to pay any amounts due to the Licensor under the Licence; and

• distribute the balance to the Grower provided that if the aggregate sum to be distributed to all of the Growers is less than \$1,000, then, at the discretion of the Responsible Entity, distribution may be postponed (clause 12 of the Constitution).

Finance

40. Growers can fund their participation in the Project themselves or borrow from an independent lender.

41. This Ruling does not apply if a Grower enters into a finance agreement that includes or has any of the following features:

- there are split loan features of a type referred to in Taxation Ruling TR 98/22;
- there are indemnity arrangements or other collateral agreements in relation to the loan designed to limit the borrower's risk;
- 'additional benefits' will be granted to the borrowers for the purpose of section 82KL, or the funding arrangements transform the Project into a 'scheme' to which Part IVA may apply;
- the loan terms or rate of interest are of a non-arm's length nature;
- repayments of the principal and interest are linked to the derivation of income from the Project;
- the funds borrowed, or any part of them, will not be available for the conduct of the Project but will be transferred (by any mechanism) back to the lender or any associate;
- lenders do not have the capacity under the loan agreement, or a genuine intention, to take legal action against defaulting borrowers; or
- entities associated with the Project are involved in the provision of finance for the Project.

Ruling

Product Ruling

Page 14 of 36

Application of this Ruling

PR 2003/67

42. This Ruling applies only to Growers who are accepted to participate in the Project:

- on or before 30 June 2004 where the Grower has executed Licence and Management Agreements on or before that date (2004 Growers); and
- on or before 30 June 2005 where the Grower has executed Licence and Management Agreements on or before that date (2005 Growers).

Minimum subscription

43. The Grower's participation in the Project must constitute the carrying on of a business of primary production. A Grower is not eligible to claim any tax deductions until the Grower's application to enter the Project is accepted and the Project has commenced. Under the terms of the PDS, a Grower's application will not be accepted and the Project will not proceed until the minimum subscription of 450 Woodlots is achieved.

The Simplified Tax System ('STS')

Division 328

44. For a Grower who is accepted into this Project, the recognition of income and the timing of tax deductions will depend upon whether, in an income year(s), the Grower is an 'STS taxpayer' or is not an 'STS taxpayer'. To be an 'STS taxpayer' a Grower:

- must be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer'; and
- must have elected to be an 'STS taxpayer'.

Qualification

45. This Product Ruling assumes that a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' is so for the income year in which their participation in the Project commences. A Grower may become an 'STS taxpayer' at a later point in time. Also, a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may choose to stop being an 'STS taxpayer', or may cease to be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer' during the term of the Project. These are contingencies relating to the circumstances of individual Growers that cannot be accommodated in this Ruling. Such Growers can ask for a private ruling on how the taxation legislation applies to them.



Tax outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS taxpayers'

Assessable Income

Section 6-5

46. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

47. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of afforestation at the time that income is derived.

Deductions for Management Fee and Licence Fee

Section 8-1

48. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997, for the revenue expenses in the following Tables.

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year Ended 30 June 2004	Year Ended 30 June 2005	Year Ended 30 June 2006
Management Fee	8-1	\$3,297.25 See notes (i), (ii) & (iii) below	\$715 See notes (i) & (iii) below	\$495 See notes (i) & (iii) below
Licence	8-1	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below

2004 Growers Per Woodlot Basis

2005 Growers Per Woodlot Basis

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year Ended 30 June 2005	Year Ended 30 June 2006	Year Ended 30 June 2007
Management Fee	8-1	\$3,297.25 See notes (i), (ii) & (iii) below	\$715 See notes (i) & (iii) below	\$495 See notes (i) & (iii) below
Licence	8-1	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below	\$68.75 See notes (i) & (iv) below

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67**

Page 16 of 36

Notes:

- (i) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g. input tax credits): Division 27. See Example 1 at paragraph 113
- (ii) The first year Management Fee is expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' and is deductible in the income year in which it is incurred (see paragraphs 85 to 89 in the Explanation)
- (iii) Where a Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer', pays the Management Fee in the relevant income years shown in the Project Operations Agreement, those fees are deductible in full in the year that they are incurred. However, if a Grower **chooses** to prepay fees for the doing of a thing (e.g. the provision of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done in the income year the fees are incurred, the prepayment rules of the ITAA 1936 may apply to apportion those fees. In such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 83 unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. For the purpose of this Ruling 'excluded expenditure' refers to an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000, and
- (iv) Where a Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer', pays the Licence Fee in the relevant income years shown in the Licence, those amounts are a prepayment. The prepayment rules of the ITAA 1936 may apply to apportion those fees. In such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 83 unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. For the purpose of this Ruling the Licence Fee is excluded expenditure as it is an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000, where the Grower purchases 14 Woodlots or less. See Example 2 at paragraph 113.



Tax outcomes for Growers who are 'STS taxpayers'

Assessable Income

Section 6-5 and Section 328-105

49. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.

50. The Grower recognises ordinary income from carrying on the business of afforestation at the time that income is received (paragraph 328-105(1)(a)).

Deductions for Management Fee and Licence Fee

Section 8-1 and section 328-105

51. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' may claim tax deductions for the revenue expenses in the following Table. Deductions will be available in accordance with the year in which the Grower commences participation in the Project.

52. If, for any reason, an amount shown in the Table below is not fully paid in the year in which it is incurred by a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer', then the amount is only deductible to the extent to which it has been paid, or has been paid for the Grower. Any amount or part of an amount shown in the Table below which is not paid in the year in which it is incurred will be deductible in the year in which it is actually paid.

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2004	Year ended 30 June 2005	Year ended 30 June 2006
Management Fee	8-1	\$1,648.62 See notes (v), (vi) & (vii) below	\$2,363.63 See notes (v) & (vii) below	\$495 See notes (v) & (vii) below
Licence	8-1	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below

2004 Growers Instalment Payments

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2004	Year ended 30 June 2005	Year ended 30 June 2006
Management Fee	8-1	\$3,297.25 See notes (v), (vi) & (vii) below	\$715 See notes (v) & (vii) below	\$495 See notes (v) & (vii) below
Licence	8-1	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below

2004 Growers Per Woodlot Basis

2005 Growers Per Woodlot Basis

Fee Type	ITAA 1997 Section	Year ended 30 June 2005	Year ended 30 June 2006	Year ended 30 June 2007
Management Fee	8-1	\$3,297.25 See notes (v), (vi) & (vii) below	\$715 See notes (v) & (vii) below	\$495 See notes (v) & (vii) below
Licence	8-1	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below	\$68.75 See notes (v) & (viii) below

Notes:

- (v) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST (e.g. input tax credits): Division 27. See Example 1 at paragraph 113
- (vi) The first year Management Fee is expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' and is deductible in the income year in which it is paid (see paragraphs 85 to 89 in the Explanations)
- (vii) Where a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer', pays the Management Fee in the relevant income years shown in the Project Operations Agreement, those fees are deductible in full in the year that they are paid. However, if a Grower **chooses** to prepay fees for the doing of a thing (e.g. the provision of management services) that will not be wholly done in the income year the fees are incurred, the prepayment rules of the ITAA may apply to apportion those fees (see paragraphs to). In such cases, the tax deduction for the

Page 18 of 36

PR 2003/67

Product Ruling PR 2003/67

Page 19 of 36

prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 83, unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. For the purpose of this Ruling 'excluded expenditure' refers to an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000, and

(viii) Where a Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer', pays the Licence Fee in the relevant income years shown in the Licence, those amounts are a prepayment. The prepayment rules of the ITAA 1936 may apply to apportion those fees. In such cases, the tax deduction for the prepaid fee must be determined using the formula shown in paragraph 83 unless the expenditure is 'excluded expenditure'. For the purpose of this Ruling the Licence Fee is excluded expenditure as it is an amount of expenditure of less than \$1,000, where the Grower purchases 14 Woodlots or less. See example 2 at paragraph 113.

Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers

Interest

53. The deductibility or otherwise of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or financier is outside the scope of this Ruling. However all Growers who borrow funds in order to participate in the Project, should read the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 77 to 84 as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid. Subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception, the prepayment rules apply whether the prepayment is required under the relevant loan agreement or is at the Growers choice.

Division 35 - deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – Commissioner's discretion

54. For a Grower who is an individual, enters the Project during the years ended 30 June 2004 or 30 June 2005 and does not elect to harvest and market their own timber, the rule in section 35-10 may apply to the business activity comprised by their involvement in this Project. Under paragraph 35-55(1)(b), the Commissioner will decide for 2004 Growers for the income years ending 30 June 2004 to 30 June 2018 that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity, provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling. Similarly, for 2005 Growers, the Commissioner will decide for the income years ending 30 June 2005 Page 20 of 36

to 30 June 2018 that the rule in section 35-10 does not apply to this activity, provided that the Project is carried out in the manner described in this Ruling.

55 This exercise of the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) will not be required where, for any year in question:

- the 'exception' in subsection 35-10(4) applies;
- a Grower's business activity satisfies one of the tests in • sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or 35-45; or
- the Grower's business activity produces assessable income for an income year greater than the deductions attributable to it for that year (apart from the operation of subsection 35-10(2)).

56. Where the 'exception' in subsection 35-10(4) applies, or the Grower's business activity satisfies one of the tests, or the discretion in subsection 35-55(1) is exercised, section 35-10 will not apply. This means that a Grower will not be required to defer any excess of the deductions attributable to their business activity in excess of any assessable income from that activity, i.e. any 'loss' from that activity, to a later year. Instead, this 'loss' can be offset against other assessable income for the year in which it arises.

57. Growers are reminded of the important statement made on Page 1 of this Product Ruling. Therefore, Growers should not see the Commissioner's decision to exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) as an indication that the Tax Office sanctions or guarantees the Project or the product to be commercially viable. An assessment of the Project or the product from this perspective has not been made

Section 82KL and Part IVA

58. For a Grower who participates in the Project and incurs expenditure as required by the Licence Agreement and the Project Operations Agreement, the following provisions of the ITAA 1936 have application as indicated:

- section 82KL does not apply to deny the deductions otherwise allowable; and
- the relevant provisions in Part IVA will not be applied to cancel a tax benefit obtained under a tax law dealt with in this Ruling.

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67** Page 21 of 36

Explanation

Is the Grower carrying on a business?

59. For the amounts set out in the Tables above to constitute allowable deductions, the Grower's activities as a participant in the NTT Mahogany Project must amount to the carrying on of a business of primary production.

60. Where there is a business, or a future business, the gross proceeds from the sale of timber from the scheme will constitute assessable income in their own right. The generation of 'business income' from such a business, or future business, provides the backdrop against which to judge whether the outgoings in question have the requisite connection with the operations that more directly gain or produce this income.

61. For schemes such as that of the NTT Mahogany Project, Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out in paragraph 89 the circumstances in which the Grower's activities can constitute the carrying on of a business. As Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out, these circumstances have been established in court decisions such as *Commissioner of Taxation v. Lau* (1984) 6 FCR 202; 84 ATC 4929; (1984) 16 ATR 55.

62. Generally, an investor will be carrying on a business of afforestation, and hence primary production, if:

- the Grower has an identifiable interest (by lease or licence) in the land on which the Grower's trees are established;
- the Grower has a right to harvest and sell the timber from those trees;
- the afforestation activities are carried out on the Grower's behalf;
- the afforestation activities of the Grower are typical of those associated with an afforestation business; and
- the weight and influence of general indicators point to the carrying on of a business.

63. In this Project each Grower enters into a Licence and a Project Operations Agreement.

64. Under the Licence Agreement each individual Grower will have rights in the form of a Licence over a specific and identifiable area of land. The Project Operations Agreement provides the Grower with an ongoing interest in the specific Trees on the licenced area for the term of the Project. Under the Licence, the Grower must use the land in question for the purpose of carrying out afforestation activities, and for no other purpose. The Project Operations Agreement allows

Product Ruling PR 2003/67

Page 22 of 36

the Responsible Entity to come onto the land to carry out its obligations under any of the agreements.

65. Under the Project Operations Agreement the Responsible Entity is engaged by the Grower to establish and maintain the Grower's identifiable area of land during the term of the Project. The Responsible Entity will subcontract the management services to the Plantation Manager, under the Management Agreement. The Plantation Manager has provided evidence that it holds the appropriate professional skills and credentials to provide the management services to establish and maintain the licenced area on the Grower's behalf.

66. The Responsible Entity, may also be engaged to harvest and sell, on the Grower's behalf, the timber grown on the Grower's licenced area.

67. The general indicators of a business, as used by the Courts, are described in Taxation Ruling TR 97/11. Positive findings can be made from the Project's description for all the indicators.

68. The activities that will be regularly carried out during the term of the Project demonstrate a significant commercial purpose. Based on reasonable projections, a Grower in the Project will derive assessable income from the sale of timber that will return a before-tax profit, i.e. a profit in cash terms that does not depend in its calculation on the fees in question being allowed as a deduction.

69 The pooling of timber grown on the Grower's licenced area with the timber of other Growers is consistent with general afforestation practices. Each Grower's proportionate share of the sale proceeds of the pooled timber will reflect the proportion of the Trees contributed from their licenced area.

70 The Responsible Entity's services are also consistent with general afforestation practices. They are of the type ordinarily found in afforestation ventures that would commonly be said to be businesses. While the size of a Woodlot is relatively small, it is of a size and scale to allow it to be commercially viable (see Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8).

71. The Grower's degree of control over the Responsible Entity as evidenced by the Project Operations Agreement, and supplemented by the Corporations Act, is sufficient. During the term of the Project, the Responsible Entity will provide the Grower with regular progress reports on the Grower's licenced area and the activities carried out on the Grower's behalf. Growers are able to terminate arrangements with the Responsible Entity in certain instances, such as cases of default or neglect.

72. The afforestation activities, and hence the fees associated with their procurement, are consistent with an intention to commence regular activities that have an 'air of permanence' about them. For the purposes of this Ruling, the Grower's afforestation activities in the NTT Mahogany Project will constitute the carrying on of a business.

The Simplified Tax System

Division 328

73. Subdivision 328-F sets out the eligibility requirements that a Grower must satisfy in order to enter the STS and Subdivision 328-G sets out the rules for entering and leaving the STS.

74. The question of whether a Grower is eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer' is outside the scope of this Product Ruling. Therefore, any Grower who relies on those parts of this Ruling that refer to the STS will be assumed to have correctly determined whether or not they are eligible to be an. 'STS taxpayer'.

Deductibility of Licence and Management Fees

Section 8-1

75. Consideration of whether the Licence and Management Fees are deductible under section 8-1 begins with the first limb of the section. This view proceeds on the following basis:

- the outgoings in question must have a sufficient connection with the operations or activities that directly gain or produce the taxpayer's assessable income;
- the outgoings are not deductible under the second limb if they are incurred before the business has commenced; and
 - where all that happens in a year of income is that a taxpayer contractually commits themselves to a venture that may not turn out to be a business, there can be doubt about whether the relevant business has commenced and, hence, whether the second limb applies. However, that does not preclude the application of the first limb in determining whether the outgoing in question has a sufficient connection with activities to produce assessable income.

Page 24 of 36

76. The Management and Licence Fees associated with the afforestation activities will relate to the gaining of income from the Grower's business of afforestation (see above), and hence have a sufficient connection to the operations by which income (from the harvesting and sale of timber) is to be gained from this business. They will thus be deductible under the first limb of section 8-1. Further, no 'non-income producing' purpose in incurring the fee is identifiable from the arrangement. The fees appear to be reasonable. There is no capital component of the Management Fee. The tests of deductibility under the first limb of section 8-1 are met. The exclusions do not apply.

Prepayment provisions

Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG

77. The prepayment provisions contained in Subdivision H of Division 3 of Part III of the ITAA 1936 affect the timing of deductions for certain prepaid expenditure. These provisions apply to certain expenditure incurred under an agreement in return for the doing of a thing under the agreement (e.g. the performance of management services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done within the same year of income as the year in which the expenditure is incurred. If expenditure is incurred to cover the provision of services to be provided within the same year, then it is not expenditure to which the prepayment rules apply.

78. For this Project only section 82KZL (an interpretative provision) and sections 82KZME, 82KZMF and 82KZMG are relevant. Subject to section 82KZMG, if the requirements of sections 82KZME and 82KZMF are met, taxpayers determine deductions for prepaid expenditure under section 82KZMF using the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1). These provisions also apply to 'STS taxpayers' because there is no specific exclusion contained in section 82KZME that excludes 'STS taxpayers' from the operation of section 82KZMF.

Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF

79. Other than expenditure deductible under section 82KZMG, if the requirements of subsections 82KZME(2) and (3) are met, the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) (see below) will apply to apportion expenditure that is otherwise deductible under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(2) will be met if expenditure is incurred by a taxpayer in return for the doing of a thing that is not to be wholly done within the year the expenditure is made. The year in which such expenditure is incurred is called the 'expenditure year' (subsection 82KZME(1)).

80. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(3) will be met where the agreement (or arrangement) has the following characteristics:

- the taxpayer's allowable deductions under the agreement for the 'expenditure year' exceed any assessable income attributable to the agreement for that year;
- the taxpayer does not have effective day to day control over the operation of the agreement. That is, the significant aspects of the arrangement are managed by someone other than the taxpayer; and
 - either :
 - a) there is more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer; or
 - b) the person who promotes, arranges or manages the agreement (or an associate of that person) promotes similar agreements for other taxpayers.

81. For the purpose of these provisions, the agreement includes all activities that relate to the agreement (subsection 82KZME(4)). This has particular relevance for a Grower in this Project who, in order to participate in the Project may borrow funds from a financier. Although undertaken with an unrelated party, that financing would be an element of the arrangement. The funds borrowed and the interest deduction is directly related to the activities under the arrangement. If a Grower prepays interest under such financing arrangements, the deductions allowable will be subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF.

82. There are a number of exceptions to these rules, but for Growers participating in this Project, only the 'excluded expenditure' exception in subsection 82KZME(7) is relevant. 'Excluded expenditure' is defined in subsection 82KZL(1). However, for the purposes of Growers in this Project, 'excluded expenditure' is prepaid expenditure incurred under the arrangement that is less than \$1,100. Such expenditure is immediately deductible.

83. Where the requirements of section 82KZME are met, section 82KZMF applies to apportion relevant prepaid expenditure. Section 82KZMF uses the formula below, to apportion prepaid expenditure and allow a deduction over the period that the benefits are provided.

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67**Page 26 of 36

Expenditure x <u>Number of days of eligible service period in the year of income</u> Total number of days of eligible service period

84. In the formula 'eligible service period' (defined in subsection 82KZL(1)) means, the period during which the thing under the agreement is to be done. The eligible service period begins on the day on which the thing under the agreement commences to be done or on the day on which the expenditure is incurred, whichever is the later, and ends on the last day on which the thing under the agreement ceases to be done, up to a maximum of 10 years.

Section 82KZMG

85. Under section 82KZMG(1), expenditure is excluded from the prepayment rules that would otherwise apply, to the extent that the prepaid amount satisfies the requirements of subsections 82KZMG(2) to (4).

86. Subsection 82KZMG(2) requires that the expenditure is:

- incurred on or after 2 October 2001 and on or before 30 June 2006;
- the eligible service period must be 12 months or shorter and must end on or before the last day of the year of income after the expenditure year; and
- for the doing of a thing under the agreement that is not to be wholly done within the expenditure year.

87. To satisfy subsection 82KZMG(3) the agreement must satisfy the following requirements:

- it must be an agreement for planting and tending trees for felling;
- be an agreement where the taxpayer does not have day to day control over the operations arising out of the agreement. (A right to be consulted or to give directions does not equate to day to day control for the purposes of this requirement); and
- either:
 - (i) there must be more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer who incurs the expenditure; or
 - the manager manages, arranges or promotes the agreement, or an associate of the manager, manages, arranges or promotes similar agreements.

88. Under subsection 82KZMG(4) the expenditure incurred by the taxpayer must be paid for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' undertaken by the Manager during the 'establishment period' for the relevant planting of trees for felling.

89. Subsection 82KZMG(5) defines the 'establishment period' to commence at the time that the first 'seasonally dependent agronomic activity' is performed in relation to a specific planting of trees and to conclude with the planting of trees. Where it is necessary to apply a fertiliser or herbicide to the trees at the same time as planting then those activities fall within the establishment period. Planting of trees refers to the main planting of the particular plantation and expressly excludes specific planting to replace existing seedlings that have not survived.

Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project

90. Under the Project Operations Agreement, a Grower incurs a Management Fee of \$3,297.25 per Woodlot. This fee consists of expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities'. As the requirements of section 82KZMG have been met, a deduction is allowable in the same income year as the expenditure is incurred under the Project Operations Agreement for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities'.

91. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' can, therefore, claim an immediate deduction for the Management fee in the income year in which the fee is paid. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' can claim an immediate deduction for each of the relevant fees in the income year in which the fee is incurred.

92. Under the Licence, a Grower incurs a Licence Fee of \$68.75. This amount is 'excluded expenditure' as it is under \$1,000. A deduction is allowable in the same income year as the expenditure is incurred, unless a Grower is allotted more than 14 Woodlots.

93. A Grower who is an 'STS taxpayer' can, therefore, claim an immediate deduction for the Licence fee in the income year in which the fee is paid. A Grower who is not an 'STS taxpayer' can claim an immediate deduction for each of the relevant fees in the income year in which the fee is incurred. This is only the case where a Grower is allotted 14 Woodlots or less.

Growers who <u>choose</u> to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project's agreements

94. Although not required under the Project Operations Agreement, a Grower participating in the Project may <u>choose</u> to prepay the Management Fee or interest for a period beyond the

Product Ruling PR 2003/67

Page 28 of 36

'expenditure year'. Similarly, Growers who use financiers may either choose, or be required to prepay interest. Where this occurs, contrary to the conclusion reached in paragraph 93 above, section 82KZMF will apply to apportion the expenditure and allow a deduction over the period in which the prepaid benefits are provided.

95. For these Growers, the amount and timing of deductions for any relevant prepaid Management Fee or prepaid interest will depend upon when the respective amounts are incurred and what the 'eligible service period' is in relation to these amounts.

96 However, as noted above, prepaid fees of less than \$1,000 incurred in an 'expenditure year' will be 'excluded expenditure' and will be not subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF.

Division 35 - deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

97. Division 35 applies to losses from certain business activities for the income year ended 30 June 2001 and subsequent years. Under the rule in subsection 35-10(2), a deduction for a loss made by an individual (including an individual in a general law partnership) from certain business activities will not be taken into account in an income year unless:

- the 'exception' in subsection 35-10(4) applies;
- one of four tests in sections 35-30, 35-35, 35-40 or . 35-45 is met; or
- if one of the tests is not satisfied, the Commissioner • exercises the discretion in section 35-55.

98. Generally, a loss in this context is, for the income year in question, the excess of an individual taxpaver's allowable deductions attributable to the business activity over that taxpayer's assessable income from the business activity.

99. Losses that cannot be taken into account in a particular year of income, because of subsection 35-10(2), can be applied to the extent of future profits from the business activity, or are deferred until one of the tests is passed, the discretion is exercised, or the exception applies.

For the purposes of applying the tests, subsection 35-10(3)100. allows taxpayers to group business activities 'of a similar kind'. Under subsection 35-10(4), there is an 'exception' to the general rule in subsection 35-10(2) where the loss is from a primary production business activity and the individual taxpayer has other assessable income for the income year from sources not related to that activity, of less than \$40,000 (excluding any net capital gain). As both subsections relate to the individual circumstances of Growers who

participate in the Project, they are beyond the scope of this Product Ruling and are not considered further.

- 101. In broad terms, the tests require:
 - (a) at least \$20,000 of assessable income in that year from the business activity (section 35-30);
 - (b) the business activity results in a taxation profit in 3 of the past 5 income years (including the current year) (section 35-35);
 - (c) at least \$500,000 of real property is used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-40); or
 - (d) at least \$100,000 of certain other assets (excluding cars, motor cycles and similar vehicles) are used on a continuing basis in carrying on the business activity in that year (section 35-45).

102. A Grower who participates in the Project will be carrying on a business activity that is subject to these provisions. Information provided with the application for this Product Ruling indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum allocation in the Project of two Woodlots (or has been allotted one woodlot at the discretion of the Responsible Entity) during the years ended 30 June 2004 or 30 June 2005 is unlikely to pass one of the tests until the year of the final harvest. Growers who acquire more than two Woodlots in the Project may however, find that their activity meets one of the tests in an earlier harvest year.

103. Therefore, unless the Commissioner exercises an arm of the discretion under paragraphs 35-55(1)(a) or (b), the rule in subsection 35-10(2) will apply to defer to a future income year any loss that arises from the Grower's participation in the Project.

104. The first arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(a) relates to 'special circumstances' applicable to the business activity, and has no relevance for the purposes of this Product Ruling. However, the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) may be exercised by the Commissioner where the business activity has started to be carried on and for that, or those income years:

- (i) because of its nature, the business activity has not yet satisfied, or will not satisfy one of the tests set out in Division 35; and
- (ii) there is an expectation that the business activity of an individual taxpayer will either pass one of the tests or produce a taxation profit within a period that is commercially viable for the industry concerned.

Page 30 of 36

105. Information provided with this Product Ruling application indicates that a Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of two Woodlots in the Project and does not elect to harvest and market their own timber, is expected to be carrying on a business activity that will produce a taxation profit in years 10 and 16.

The Commissioner will decide for such a Grower that it would 106. be reasonable to exercise the second arm of the discretion until the vear ended 30 June 2018 for 2004 and 2005 Growers. The taxation profits that are projected for the income year from the harvest in year 10 does not affect the period of the Commissioner's discretion as the income derived from the thinning harvests is considered to be a 'oneoff' event that is specific to the afforestation industry.

107. This Product Ruling is issued on a prospective basis (i.e. before an individual Grower's business activity starts to be carried on). However, the Project may fail to be carried on during the income years specified above (see paragraph 106) in the manner described in the Arrangement (see paragraphs 14 to 41). If so, this Ruling, and specifically the decision in relation to paragraph 35-55(1)(b), that it would be unreasonable that the loss deferral rule in subsection 35-10(2) not apply, may be affected, because the Ruling no longer applies (see paragraph 9) the Commissioner's discretion will not have been exercised because one of the key conditions in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) will not have been satisfied.

In deciding that the second arm of the discretion in paragraph 108. 35-55(1)(b) will be exercised on this conditional basis, the Commissioner has relied upon:

- the report of the Independent Forester, and additional • evidence provided with the application by the Responsible Entity; and
- independent, objective and generally available information relating to the Mahogany industry which substantially supports cash flow projections and other claims, including prices and costs, in the Product Ruling application submitted by the Responsible Entity.

Section 82KL

109. The operation of section 82KL depends, among other things, on the identification of a certain quantum of 'additional benefit(s)'. Insufficient 'additional benefits' will be provided to trigger the application of section 82KL. It will not apply to deny the deduction otherwise allowable under section 8-1.

Part IVA - general tax avoidance provisions

110. For Part IVA to apply there must be a 'scheme' (section 177A); a 'tax benefit' (section 177C); and a dominant purpose of entering into the scheme to obtain a tax benefit (section 177D).

111. The NTT Mahogany Project will be a 'scheme' commencing with the issue of the Product Disclosure Statement. A Grower will obtain a 'tax benefit' from entering into the scheme, in the form of tax deductions for the amounts detailed at paragraphs 48 and 52 that would not have been obtained but for the scheme. However, it is not possible to conclude that the scheme will be entered into or carried out with the dominant purpose of obtaining this tax benefit.

112. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to stay in the scheme for its full term and derive assessable income from the eventual harvesting of the trees. There are no facts that would suggest that Growers have the opportunity of obtaining a tax advantage other than the tax advantages identified in this Ruling. There are no non-recourse financing or round robin characteristics, and no indication that the parties are not dealing with each other at arm's length, or, if any parties are not at arm's length, that any adverse tax consequences result. Further, having regard to the factors to be considered under paragraph 177D(b) it cannot be concluded, on the information available, that participants will enter into the scheme for the dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.

Examples

Example 1 - Entitlement to GST input tax credits

113. Susan, who is a sole trader and registered for GST, contracts with a manager to manage her viticulture business. Her manager is registered for GST and charges her a management fee payable every six months in advance. On 1 December 2001 Susan receives a valid tax invoice from her manager requesting payment of a management fee in advance, and also requesting payment for an improvement in the connection of electricity for her vineyard that she contracted him to carry out. The tax invoice includes the following details:

Management fee for period 1/1/2002 to 30/6/2002	\$4,400*
Carrying out of upgrade of power for your vineyard as quoted	<u>\$2,200</u> *
Total due and payable by 1 January 2002 (includes GST of \$600)	<u>\$6,600</u>

*Taxable supply

PR 2003/67

Page 32 of 36

Product Ruling

Susan pays the invoice by the due date and calculates her input tax credit on the management fee (to be claimed through her Business Activity Statement) as:

$$\frac{1}{11} \times 4,400 = 400$$

Hence her outgoing for the management fee is effectively \$4,400 less \$400. or \$4,000.

Similarly, Susan calculates her input tax credit on the connection of electricity as:

$$\frac{1}{11} \ge 2,200 = 200$$

Hence her outgoing for the power upgrade is effectively \$2,200 less \$200. or \$2,000.

In preparing her income tax return for the year ended 30 June 2002, Susan is aware that the management fee is deductible in the year incurred. She calculates her management fee deduction as \$4,000 (not \$4,400).

Susan is aware that the electricity upgrade is deductible 10% per year over a 10 year period. She calculates her deduction for the power upgrade as \$200 (one tenth of \$2,000 only, not one tenth of \$2,200).

Example 2 – Apportionment of fees where there is a contractual 'eligible service period' and the fees include expenditure that is 'excluded expenditure'

On 1 June 2002 Kevin applies for an interest into the Western Bluegum Project, a prospectus based afforestation project of 12 years. Kevin is accepted into the project and executes a lease and management agreement with the Responsible Entity for the provision of management services and the lease of his Woodlot. The terms of the lease and management agreement require Kevin to prepay the management fees and the lease fee on or before the 30 June each year for the lease of his 1 hectare Woodlot and the provision of management services between the 1 July and 30 June in the following income year. On 15 June 2002 Kevin pays the Year 1 lease fee of \$400 and the Year 1 management fee of \$8,600. The Year 1 management fee is made up of \$7,500 for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' undertaken by the manager during the 'establishment period' and \$1,100 for other management services.

Kevin, who is not an 'STS taxpayer' is not registered, nor required to be registered for GST.

He calculates his tax deduction for management fees and the lease fee for the **2002 income year** as follows:

PR 2003/67

Product Ruling

Page 33 of 36

Management fee

Even though he paid the \$8,600 in the 2002 income year, Kevin is only able to claim a deduction of \$7,500 for the 'seasonally dependent agronomic expenditure' in that income year. Because there are no 'days of eligible service period' in the 2002 income year, Kevin is unable to claim any part of the management fees paid to the manager for other management services, as a tax deduction in his tax return for the year ended 30 June 2002.

Lease fee

Because the \$400 lease fee is less than \$1,000 it is 'excluded expenditure' and can be claimed in full as a tax deduction in Kevin's tax return for the year ended 30 June 2002.

In the 2003 income year Kevin can claim a tax deduction for that part of his first year's management fees that was not deductible in the 2002 income year. The tax deduction is calculated as follows:

= \$1,100 (this represents the whole of that part of the first year's management fee prepaid in the 2002 income year for management services that are not 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' undertaken by the manager in the 'establishment period'. Although this amount was incurred in the 2002 income year it is not deductible until the 2003 income year).

For the term of the Project Kevin continues to calculate his tax deduction for prepaid fees using this method.

Detailed contents list

114.	Below is a detailed contents list for this Product Ruling:

	Paragraph
What this Product Ruling is about	1
Tax law(s)	2
Goods and services tax	3
Changes in the law	4

Note to promoters and advisers	6
Class of persons	7
Qualifications	9

Product Ruling **PR 2003/67**

Page 34 of 36

Date of effect	11
Withdrawal	13
Arrangement	14
Overview	17
Constitution	25
Compliance plan	26
Licence Agreement	27
Project Operations Agreement	29
Management Agreement	33
Fees	34
Other Fees	35
Payment of Fees	36
Planting	37
Harvesting and Marketing	38
Finance	40
Ruling	42
Application of this Ruling	42
Minimum subscription	43
The Simplified Tax System ('STS')	44
Division 328	44
Qualification	45
Tax outcomes for Growers who are not 'STS taxpayers'	46
Assessable Income	46
Section 6-5	46
Deductions for Management Fee and Licence Fee	48
Section 8-1	48
Tax outcomes for Growers who are 'STS taxpayers'	49
Assessable Income	49
Section 6-5 and section 328-105	49
Deductions for Management Fee and Licence Fee	51
Section 8-1 and section 328-105	51
Tax outcomes that apply to all Growers	53
Interest	53

PR 2003/67

Page 35 of 36

Product Ruling

Division 35 - deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	54
Section 35-55 - Commissioner's discretion	54
Sections 82KL and Part IVA	58
Explanation	59
Is the Grower carrying on a business	59
The Simplified Tax System	73
Division 328	73
Deductibility of Licence and Management fees	75
Section 8-1	75
Prepayments provisions	78
Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG	77
Sections 82KZME to 82KZMF	79
Section 82KZMG	85
Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project	90
Growers who <u>choose</u> to pay fees for a period in excess of that required by the Project's agreements	94
Division 35 - deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	97
Section 82KL	109
Part IVA – general tax avoidance provisions	110
Examples	113
Example 1 - Entitlement to GST input tax credits	113
Example 2 - Apportionment of fees where there is a contractual 'eligible service period' and the fees include expenditure that is	112
'excluded expenditure'	113
Detailed contents list	114

Commissioner of Taxation 12 November 2003

Previous draft: Not previously issued in draft form *Related Rulings/Determinations:* TR 92/1; TR 92/20; TR 97/11; TR 97/16; TD 93/34; TR 98/22; PR 1999/95; TR 2000/8

Product Ruling PR 2003/67

Page 36 of 36

Subject references: - advance expenses & payments for certain forestry expenditure - carrying on a business - commencement of business - fee expenses - forestry agreement - interest expenses - management fees - non-commercial losses	 ITAA 1936 82KZMG(4) ITAA 1936 82KZMG(5) ITAA 1936 Part IVA ITAA 1936 177A ITAA 1936 177C ITAA 1936 177D ITAA 1936 177D(b) ITAA 1997 6-5 ITAA 1997 8-1 ITAA 1997 17-5 ITAA 1997 Div 27 ITAA 1997 Div 35
 tax benefits under tax avoidance schemes tax shelters tax shelters project Legislative references: ITAA 1936 Div 3H of Part III ITAA 1936 82KL ITAA 1936 82KZL ITAA 1936 82KZL(1) ITAA 1936 82KZME ITAA 1936 82KZME(1) ITAA 1936 82KZME(2) ITAA 1936 82KZME(2) ITAA 1936 82KZME(3) ITAA 1936 82KZME(4) ITAA 1936 82KZME(7) ITAA 1936 82KZMF ITAA 1936 82KZMF ITAA 1936 82KZMF ITAA 1936 82KZMG ITAA 1936 82KZMG ITAA 1936 82KZMG ITAA 1936 82KZMG 	 ITAA 1997 35-30 ITAA 1997 35-35 ITAA 1997 35-40 ITAA 1997 35-45 ITAA 1997 35-55 ITAA 1997 35-55(1) ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(a) ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b) ITAA 1997 Div 328 ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-F ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-G ITAA 1997 328-105 ITAA 1997 328-105(1)(a) TAA 1953 Pt IVAAA Copyright Act 1968 Case references: Commissioner of Taxation v. Lau (1984) 6 FCR 202; 84 ATC 4929; (1984) 16 ATR 55.

ATO references

NO	2003/01555
ISSN:	1441-1172