PR 2006/89 - Income tax: Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 - 1 July 2006 to 30 September 2006 Growers

This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of PR 2006/89 - Income tax: Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 - 1 July 2006 to 30 September 2006 Growers

This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on 24 May 2006

Page status: **legally binding** Page 1 of 27

Product Ruling

Income tax: Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 – 1 July 2006 to 30 September 2006 Growers

Contents P	ara
BINDING SECTION:	
What this Ruling is about	1
Date of effect	12
Withdrawal	16
Scheme	17

45

NON BINDING SECTION:

Appendix 1:

Ruling

Explanation 56

Appendix 2:

Detailed contents list 103

This publication provides you with the following level of protection:

This publication (excluding appendixes) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

A public ruling is an expression of the Commissioner's opinion about the way in which a relevant provision applies, or would apply, to entities generally or to a class of entities in relation to a particular scheme or a class of schemes.

If you rely on this ruling, we must apply the law to you in the way set out in the ruling (or in a way that is more favourable for you if we are satisfied that the ruling is incorrect and disadvantages you, and we are not prevented from doing so by a time limit imposed by the law). You will be protected from having to pay any underpaid tax, penalty or interest in respect of the matters covered by this ruling if it turns out that it does not correctly state how the relevant provision applies to you.

No guarantee of commercial success

The Tax Office **does not** sanction or guarantee this product. Further, we give no assurance that the product is commercially viable, that charges are reasonable, appropriate or represent industry norms, or that projected returns will be achieved or are reasonably based.

Potential participants must form their own view about the commercial and financial viability of the product. This will involve a consideration of important issues such as whether projected returns are realistic, the 'track record' of the management, the level of fees in comparison to similar products and how the product fits an existing portfolio. We recommend a financial (or other) adviser be consulted for such information.

This Product Ruling provides certainty for potential participants by confirming that the tax benefits set out in the **Ruling** part of this document are available, **provided that** the scheme is carried out in accordance with the information we have been given, and have described below in the **Scheme** part of this document

If the scheme is not carried out as described, participants lose the protection of this Product Ruling. Potential participants may wish to seek assurances from the promoter that the scheme will be carried out as described in this Product Ruling.

Potential participants should be aware that the Tax Office will be undertaking review activities to confirm the scheme has been implemented as described below and to ensure that the participants in the scheme include in their income tax returns income derived in those future years.

Terms of use of this Product Ruling

This Product Ruling has been given on the basis that the entity(s) who applied for the Ruling, and their associates, will abide by strict terms of use. Any failure to comply with the terms of use may lead to the withdrawal of this Ruling.

Page 2 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

What this Ruling is about

1. This Ruling sets out the Commissioner's opinion on the way in which the relevant taxation provision(s) identified below apply to the defined class of entities, who take part in the scheme to which this Ruling relates. In this Ruling, the scheme is sometimes referred to as the 'Kiri Park Project 2005/2006' or simply as 'the Project'.

Relevant provision(s)

- 2. The relevant provision(s) dealt with in this Ruling are:
 - section 6-5 of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 (ITAA 1997);
 - section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997;
 - section 17-5 of the ITAA 1997;
 - section 25-25 of the ITAA 1997;
 - Division 27 of the ITAA 1997;
 - Division 35 of the ITAA 1997;
 - Subdivision 61-J of the ITAA 1997;
 - Division 328 of the ITAA 1997;
 - Division 328 of the Income Tax (Transitional Provisions) Act 1997;
 - section 82KL of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936 (ITAA 1936);
 - section 82KZL of the ITAA 1936;
 - sections 82KZME to 82KZMG of the ITAA 1936; and
 - Part IVA of the ITAA 1936.

All legislative references in this Ruling are to the ITAA 1997 unless otherwise indicated.

Goods and Services Tax

3. All fees and expenditure referred to in this Ruling include the Goods and Services Tax (GST) where applicable. In order for an entity (referred to in this Ruling as a 'Grower') to be entitled to claim input tax credits for the GST included in its expenditure, it must be registered or required to be registered for GST and hold a valid tax invoice.

Page status: **legally binding** Page 3 of 27

Changes in the Law

- 4. Although this Ruling deals with the laws enacted at the time it was issued, later amendments may impact on this Ruling. Any such changes will take precedence over the application of this Ruling and, to that extent, this Ruling will be superseded.
- 5. Entities who are considering participating in the Project are advised to confirm with their taxation adviser that changes in the law have not affected this Product Ruling since it was issued.

Note to promoters and advisers

6. Product Rulings were introduced for the purpose of providing certainty about tax consequences for entities in schemes such as this. In keeping with that intention the Tax Office suggests that promoters and advisers ensure that entities are fully informed of any legislative changes after the Ruling is issued.

Class of entities

- 7. The class of entities to whom this Ruling applies is the entities more specifically identified in the Ruling part of this Product Ruling and who enter into the scheme specified below on or after the date this Ruling is made. They will have a purpose of staying in the scheme until it is completed (that is, being a party to the relevant agreements until their term expires), and deriving assessable income from this involvement as set out in the description of the scheme. In this Ruling, these entities are referred to as 'Growers'.
- 8. The class of entities to whom this Ruling applies does not include:
 - entities who intend to terminate their involvement in the scheme prior to its completion, or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it;
 - Growers who elect to market and sell the timber grown on their Woodlot(s);
 - Growers who enter into finance arrangements with entities associated with this Project, other than those specified in paragraph 42; or
 - Growers who enter the Project after before 1 July 2006 or after 30 September 2006.

Qualifications

9. The class of entities defined in this Ruling may rely on its contents provided the scheme actually carried out is carried out in accordance with the scheme described in paragraphs 17 to 44.

Page 4 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

- 10. If the scheme actually carried out is materially different from the scheme that is described in this Ruling, then:
 - this Ruling has no binding effect on the Commissioner because the scheme entered into is not the scheme on which the Commissioner has ruled; and
 - this Ruling may be withdrawn or modified.
- 11. This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Commonwealth. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:

Commonwealth Copyright Administration Attorney General's Department Robert Garran Offices National Circuit Barton ACT 2600

or posted at: http://www.ag.gov.au/cca

Date of effect

- 12. This Ruling applies prospectively from 24 May 2006, the date this Ruling is made. However, the Ruling does not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling. Furthermore, the Ruling only applies to the extent that:
 - it is not later withdrawn by notice in the Gazette; or
 - the relevant provisions are not amended.
- 13. If this Product Ruling is inconsistent with a later public or private ruling, the relevant class of entities may rely on either ruling which applies to them (item 1 of subsection 357-75(1) of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* (TAA)).
- 14. If this Product Ruling is inconsistent with an earlier private ruling, the private ruling is taken not to have been made if, when the Product Ruling is made, the following two conditions are met:
 - the income year or other period to which the rulings relate has not begun; and
 - the scheme to which the rulings relate has not begun to be carried out.
- 15. If the above two conditions do not apply, the relevant class of entities may rely on either ruling which applies to them (item 3 of subsection 357-75(1) of Schedule 1 to the TAA).

Page status: **legally binding** Page 5 of 27

Withdrawal

16. This Product Ruling is withdrawn and ceases to have effect after 30 June 2009. The Ruling continues to apply, in respect of the relevant provisions ruled upon, to all entities within the specified class who enter into the scheme specified below. Thus, the Ruling continues to apply to those entities, even following its withdrawal, who entered into the specified scheme prior to withdrawal of the Ruling. This is subject to there being no change in the scheme or in the entities' involvement in the scheme.

Scheme

- 17. The scheme that is the subject of this Ruling is described below. This scheme incorporates the following documents:
 - Application for a Product Ruling received 18 August 2005 as constituted by documents provided, and additional correspondence including emails received on 26 October 2005, 8 February 2006; 24 February 2006, 27 February 2006 and 30 March 2006:
 - Draft Product Disclosure Statement ('PDS') for the Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 issued by Environmental Forest Farms Management Limited (the 'Responsible Entity'), received 20 January 2006;
 - Draft Constitution for the Kiri Park Project 2005/2006, received 26 October 2005;
 - Draft Constitution Deed of Variation for the Kiri Park Project 2005/2006, received 26 October 2005;
 - Independent Foresters report for the Kiri Park Project 2005/2006, received 26 October 2005;
 - Loan Agreement documents received 30 March 2006;
 - Draft Lease and Management Agreement (LMA)
 between Environmental Forest Farms Management
 Limited (as Responsible Entity') and Powton Land
 Holdings Limited (the 'Lessor') and the Grower,
 received 26 October 2005; and
 - Draft Compliance Plan for Kiri Park Project 2005/2006, received 18 August 2005.

Note: certain information has been provided on a commercial-inconfidence basis and will not be disclosed or released under Freedom of Information legislation

Page 6 of 27 Page status: legally binding

- 18. The documents highlighted are those that Growers may enter into. For the purposes of describing the arrangement to which this Ruling applies, there are no other agreements, whether formal or informal, and whether or not legally enforceable, which a Grower, or any associate of a Grower, will be a party to, which are a part of the arrangement.
- 19. All Australian Securities and Investment Commission (ASIC) requirements are, or will be, complied with for the term of the agreements. The effect of these agreements is summarised as follows.

Overview

20. The salient features of the Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 – Post 1 July 2006 Growers are as follows:

Location	Regans Ford, Shire of Gingin, Western Australia
Type of business to be carried on by each participant	Commercial growing and cultivation of Paulownia fortunie trees for the purpose of harvesting and selling timber
Number of hectares offered for cultivation	117
Minimum application	1 Woodlot
Size of each Woodlot	0.108
Number of Trees per Woodlot	60
Number of trees per hectare	Approximately 550 trees
Term of the Project	Approximately 10 years
Initial cost	\$6,203 per Woodlot (this includes an amount for prepaid fees)
Ongoing and other	Annual Rent and Management Fees
costs	Annual Insurance
	Harvesting and Processing costs
	Incentive Fee
	Harvesting Marketing Cost Fee

Page status: **legally binding** Page 7 of 27

- 21. The Project is registered as a Managed Investment Scheme under the *Corporations Act 2001*. The Responsible Entity for the Project is Environmental Forest Farms Management Limited.
- 22. This offer pertains to 1,085 Woodlots of 0.108 hectares each. There is no minimum subscription for the Project. The Project will be conducted on land known as 'Kiri Park', located at Regan's Ford in the shire of Gingin, Western Australia.
- 23. The land for the Project is owned by Powton Land Holdings Limited (the 'Lessor'). Powton Land Holdings Limited is in the process of identifying further suitable land for Paulownia plantations, which may be secured in the event of oversubscription, subject to the approval of a Forestry Expert.
- 24. Growers participating in the arrangement will enter into a Lease and Management Agreement with the Lessor and the Responsible Entity. Under this Agreement, Growers lease an area of land called a 'Woodlot' for a term of approximately 10 years for the purpose of growing Paulownia trees for eventual harvest and sale of the timber.
- 25. Under the Lease and Management Agreement, the Growers appoint Environmental Forest Farms Management Limited as the Responsible Entity to manage their Woodlot. The Responsible Entity will be responsible for establishing and cultivating the trees and harvesting, processing and selling the timber. Tree thinning is expected to occur from Years 7 to 9. In Year 10 the remaining trees will be harvested and processed. Unless the Grower elects to take possession of their timber, the Responsible Entity will be responsible for arranging the marketing and sale of the timber. The Responsible Entity is entitled to receive an Incentive Fee of 27.5% of the net amount payable to Growers which exceeds the Incentive Fee Threshold as shown in the Table in the PDS.
- 26. Upon allotment, Growers appoint the Responsible Entity as their power of attorney, enabling the Responsible Entity to act on their behalf.

Constitution

27. The Constitution establishes the Project and operates as a deed binding on all of the Growers and the Responsible Entity. The Constitution sets out the terms and conditions under which Environmental Forest Farms Management Limited agrees to act as the Responsible Entity and manage the Project. Growers are bound by the Constitution by virtue of their participation in the Project. The Responsible Entity will maintain a register of Growers.

Page 8 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

28. Under the terms of the Constitution, all monies received from Applications will be paid into an Application Fund. The Project Subscription Moneys from Applications will be released to the Responsible Entity upon acceptance of an Application after which Woodlots are allocated. Applicants become Growers upon allotment of their Woodlots and a Lease and Management Agreement will be executed on their behalf.

Compliance Plan

29. The Responsible Entity has prepared a Compliance Plan as required by the Corporations Act. Its purpose is to ensure that the Responsible Entity meets its obligations and responsibilities contained in the Constitution and that the interests of Growers are protected.

Lease and Management Agreement

- 30. Growers participating in the arrangement will enter into a Lease and Management Agreement ('LMA') with Powton Land Holdings Limited as the Lessor, and Environmental Forest Farms Management Limited as the Responsible Entity. Growers are granted an interest in the land in the form of a Lease to use their Woodlot for the purposes of conducting their afforestation business until the final distribution of sale proceeds is made to the Grower or until the Project is terminated. Growers are specifically granted rights to harvest timber on their Woodlot for this purpose. The Lease is granted upon the terms and conditions outlined in the Lease and Management Agreement.
- 31. The Lease and Management Agreement provides that each Grower appoints the Responsible Entity to perform services under the LMA. The Responsible Entity will supervise and manage all silvicultural activity on behalf of the Grower in accordance with good silvicultural practice. Item 8 of the Schedule to the Lease and Management Agreement specifies the Initial Services and Ongoing Services to be performed by the Responsible Entity, including but not limited to the following:
 - plant suitable Paulownia trees on the Leased Area at a rate equivalent to 60 trees per Woodlot within 12 months of allotment;
 - cultivate, tend, prune, fertilise, replant, spray and otherwise care for the Trees as and when required;
 - maintain and keep in good repair access laneways within the Leased Area;
 - maintain the Leased Area according to good silvicultural and forestry practices;
 - replace any Trees that fail to establish or that die during the first twelve months of the Project;

Page status: **legally binding** Page 9 of 27

- harvest and process the Trees grown on the Leased Area in Years 7, 8, 9 and 10 in accordance with clause 17 of the LMA; and
- carry out any other obligation to be performed by the Responsible Entity pursuant to the terms of any agreement entered into by the Responsible Entity pursuant to clause 19 of the LMA for the sale of the Forest Produce.
- 32. The Responsible Entity will maintain a public risk insurance policy in respect of the Plantation and arrange for insurance of the Leased Area, including the Trees and Forest Produce (clause 21 of the LMA).

Harvesting and sale

- 33. The Grower is entitled to the Trees to be planted and the timber produced on their Woodlots. Growers may elect, on Application, to sell their own timber produce by giving written notice to the Responsible Entity. This Ruling does not apply to Growers who make such an election. The Responsible Entity will sell the timber harvested on behalf of the Growers who do not make such an election (defined for the purposes of this Ruling as 'Non-Electing Growers') for the highest price practicable.
- 34. The Responsible Entity will Harvest and Process, or engage a suitably qualified person to Harvest and Process from Years 7 to 10, the percentage of trees as set out in the PDS, unless the Responsible Entity believes that it would be in the best interests of the Growers to defer the Harvest to a later date.
- 35. The timber produce of Non-Electing Growers may be pooled and the Responsible Entity is appointed to sell the harvested timber. The Responsible Entity is to ensure that the Gross Sale Proceeds are deposited into the Proceeds Fund (clause 20 of the LMA). The Gross Sale Proceeds are to be distributed in the following order of priority:
 - the relevant Grower's proportional share of Harvesting and Processing Costs;
 - the Incentive Fee (if any);
 - any Annual Contribution then due and payable by the relevant Grower; and
 - any other amounts owed to the Responsible Entity or the Lessor by the relevant Grower.
- 36. The remaining balance is to be paid to the Grower in accordance with each Grower's proportional share after an amount of 5.5% of the Gross Sale Proceeds has been deducted and paid to the Responsible Entity in accordance with clauses 17.3 and 20.3 of the LMA.

Page 10 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

Fees

- 37. Fees are payable under the Lease and Management Agreement on a per Woodlot basis. The total of the Project Subscription Moneys payable on Application is \$6,203 represented as:
 - Land preparation and tree planting fee of \$5,513 payable to the Responsible Entity for the Woodlot preparation and the cost of purchasing and planting the trees;
 - Management Fee of \$609 payable in advance to the Responsible Entity on application to 30 June 2007; and
 - Rent of \$81 payable in advance to the Lessor for the period from 1 July 2006 to 30 June 2007.
- 38. The Annual Contribution payable in subsequent years is as follows:
 - Management Fee of \$609 for managing and maintaining the Woodlot for the period from 1 July 2007 to 30 June 2008 payable in advance on or before 30 June 2007;
 - Management Fee of \$609 for the period 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2009 payable in advance on or before 30 June 2008;
 - thereafter annual Management Fees will be set at \$628 payable in advance on or before 30 June for the following income year and increased at the rate of the Consumer Price Index from 1 July 2009;
 - Rent of \$81 is payable on or before 30 June 2007 for the income year ending 30 June 2008 and on or before 30 June 2008 for the income year ending 30 June 2009;
 - thereafter rent of \$81 is payable on or before 30 June for the following income year and is increased at the rate of the Consumer Price Index from 1 July 2009; and
 - Insurance of the Leased Area (including the Trees and Forest Produce), will be arranged by the Responsible Entity. The insurance premium will be divided proportionally over all Woodlot interests in the Project.
- 39. From 1 July 2007 onwards, the Responsible Entity may obtain the approval of a Forestry Expert to increase the annual Management Fee if the Responsible Entity calculates that the Management Fees, as stated in item 4 of the Schedule to the LMA, are insufficient to cover its costs, including corporate overhead costs, insurance premiums and indexation (clause 23.3 of the LMA).

Page status: **legally binding** Page 11 of 27

- 40. The Responsible Entity is also entitled to the following amounts that will be deducted from the Gross Sale Proceeds:
 - Harvesting and Processing Costs;
 - an Incentive Fee equal to 27.5% of the amount by which the actual net harvest return exceeds the Incentive Fee threshold estimated in the PDS; and
 - an amount equal to 5.5% of the Gross Sale Proceeds for Harvest Marketing Costs as per clause 17.3 of the LMA.

Finance

- 41. Growers can fund their involvement in the Project themselves, through finance arrangements with Forestry Finance Limited ('FFL') (a lender associated with the Responsible Entity) or borrow from an independent lender.
- 42. FFL will offer two finance arrangements to Growers as follows:

Option 1: 5 Year Principal and Interest:

- Principal and Interest Loan
- Fixed interest rate 12% per annum for loans less than \$5,000 or 12.5% per annum for loans greater than \$5,000;
- interest is payable monthly in advance;
- the interest payable from the date of Application to 30 September 2006 is included in the Principal sum;
 and
- repayments commence on 1 October 2006 and conclude on 1 September 2011.

Option 2: 8 Year Principal and Interest:

- Principal and Interest Loan;
- Fixed interest rate 12% per annum for loans less than \$5,000 or 12.5% per annum for loans greater than \$5,000;
- interest is payable monthly in advance;
- the interest payable from the date of Application to 30 September 2006 is included in the Principal sum; and
- repayments commence on 1 October 2006 and conclude on 1 September 2014.

Page 12 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

- 43. Growers cannot rely on this Product Ruling if a different finance arrangement is entered into with FFL or if application monies otherwise remain unpaid by 30 June in the year of application. Where an application is accepted subject to finance approval by any lending institution, Growers cannot rely on this Ruling if written evidence of that approval has not been given to the Responsible Entity by 30 June.
- 44. This Ruling does not apply if the finance arrangement entered into by the Grower includes or has any of the following features:
 - there are split loan features of a type referred to in Taxation Ruling TR 98/22;
 - there are indemnity arrangements or other collateral agreements in relation to the loan designed to limit the borrower's risk;
 - 'additional benefits' are or will be granted to the borrowers for the purpose of section 82KL of the ITAA 1936 or the funding arrangements transform the Project into a 'scheme' to which Part IVA of the ITAA 1936 may apply;
 - the loan or rate of interest is non-arm's length;
 - repayments of the principal and payments of interest are linked to the derivation of income from the Project;
 - the funds borrowed, or any part of them, will not be available for the conduct of the Project but will be transferred (by any mechanism, directly or indirectly) back to the lender or any associate of the lender;
 - lenders do not have the capacity under the loan agreement, or a genuine intention, to take legal action against defaulting borrowers; or
 - entities associated with the Project, other than FFL, are involved or become involved in the provision of finance to Growers for the Project.

Ruling

- 45. This Ruling applies only to Growers who are accepted to participate in the Project between 1 July 2006 and 30 September 2006 and who have executed a Management Agreement and a Lease Agreement between these dates. The Grower's participation in the Project must constitute the carrying on of a business of primary production.
- 46. This Ruling does not apply to:
 - entities who intend to terminate their involvement in the arrangements prior to its completion, or who otherwise do not intend to derive assessable income from it;

Page status: **legally binding** Page 13 of 27

- Growers who elect to market and sell the timber produce grown on their Woodlot(s);
- Growers who enter into finance schemes with entities associated with this Project, other than those specified in paragraph 42; or
- Growers who enter the Project before 1 July 2006 or after 30 September 2006.

The Simplified Tax System (STS)

Division 328

- 47. To be an 'STS taxpayer' a Grower must be eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer' and must have elected to be an 'STS taxpayer' (Division 328 of the ITAA 1997). For a Grower participating in the Project, the recognition of income and the timing of tax deductions is different under the STS where a Grower who was an 'STS taxpayer' prior to the 1 July 2005 continues to use the cash accounting method (called the 'STS accounting method') see sections 328-120 and 328-125 of the *Income Tax (Transitional Provisions) Act 1997*.
- 48. For such Growers, a reference in this Ruling to an amount being deductible when 'incurred' will mean that amount is deductible when paid and a reference to an amount being included in assessable income when 'derived' will mean that amount is included in assessable income when received.

25% entrepreneurs tax offset

Subdivision 61-J

49. For the first income year starting on or after 1 July 2005, Subdivision 61-J provides for a tax offset of up to 25% of income tax liability related to the business income of a business in the STS with annual group turnover of less than \$75,000. Entitlement to the offset varies depending on the type of entity and is therefore outside the scope of this Ruling.

Assessable income

Section 6-5

- 50. That part of the gross sales proceeds from the Project attributable to the Grower's produce, less any GST payable on those proceeds (section 17-5), will be assessable income of the Grower under section 6-5.
- 51. A Grower will be assessable on ordinary income from carrying on their business of afforestation in the income year in which that income is derived.

Page 14 of 27 Page status: **legally binding**

Deductions for Establishment Services Fee, Interest and Borrowing Costs

Section 8-1 and section 25-25

52. A Grower may claim tax deductions for the revenue expenses listed in the Table below on a 'per Woodlot' basis:

Fee Type	Year ended 30 June 2007	Year ended 30 June 2008	Year ended 30 June 2009
Land preparation and tree planting fee	\$5,513 See Notes (i) & (ii)		
Management Fees	\$609 See Note (i)	\$609 See Notes (i) & (iii)	\$609 See Notes (i) & (iii)
Rent	\$81 See Note (i)	\$81 See Notes (i) & (iii)	\$81 See Notes (i) & (iii)
Interest (for finance obtained from FFL)	See Note (iv)	See Note (iv)	See Note (iv)

Notes:

- (i) If the Grower is registered or required to be registered for GST, amounts of outgoing would need to be adjusted as relevant for GST: Division 27.
- (ii) The land preparation and tree planting fee is expenditure for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' (see paragraphs 85 to 89) and is deductible in the income year in which it is incurred.
- (iii) Although the Lease and Management Agreement requires the Management Fees and the rent to be prepaid, for a Grower who acquires the minimum allocation of one Woodlot, the amount of the prepaid Management Fee and rent in respect to the Woodlot are each less than \$1,000. For the purposes of this Project, an amount of less than \$1,000 is 'excluded expenditure'. 'Excluded expenditure' is an 'exception' to the prepayment rules and is deductible in full in the year in which it is incurred (see paragraphs 92 to 95).

Where a Grower acquires more than the minimum allocation in the Project, the amount of either or both of these prepaid fees may be \$1,000 or more. Where this occurs, such Growers MUST determine the relevant deduction for the prepaid Management Fee and prepaid rent according to the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) of the ITAA 1936 (see paragraphs 77 to 84).

Page status: **legally binding** Page 15 of 27

(iv) Interest payable under the finance options with FFL as described in paragraph 42 will be deductible in the year in which it is incurred (see paragraphs 74 to 75).

Interest

53. The deductibility or otherwise of interest incurred by Growers who finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or financier, other than FFL is outside the scope of this Ruling. However all Growers who borrow funds in order to participate in the Project, should read the discussion of the prepayment rules in paragraphs 77 to 84 as those rules may be applicable if interest is prepaid. Subject to the 'excluded expenditure' exception, the prepayment rules apply where the prepayment is required under the relevant loan agreement or is at the Grower's choice.

Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – exercise of Commissioner's discretion

54. A Grower who is an individual accepted into the Project between 1 July 2006 and 30 September 2006 may have losses arising from their participation in the Project that would be deferred to a later income year under section 35-10. Subject to the Project being carried out in the manner described above, the Commissioner will exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) for these Growers for the income years ending 30 June 2007 to 30 June 2012. This conditional exercise of the discretion will allow those losses to be offset against the Grower's other assessable income in the income year in which the losses arise.

Sections 82KZME, 82KZMF and 82KL and Part IVA

- 55. For a Grower who participates in the Project and incurs expenditure as required by the Lease and Management Agreement the following provisions of the ITAA 1936 apply:
 - expenditure by a Grower who participates in the Project does not fall within the scope of sections 82KZME and 82KZMF;
 - section 82KL does not apply to deny the deductions otherwise allowable; and
 - the relevant provisions in Part IVA will not be applied to cancel a tax benefit obtained under a tax law dealt with in this Ruling.

Page 16 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

Appendix 1 - Explanation

This Appendix is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.

Is the Grower carrying on a business?

- 56. For the amounts set out in the Table above to constitute allowable deductions the Grower's afforestation activities as a participant in the Kiri Park Project 2005/06 must amount to the carrying on of a business of primary production.
- 57. Where there is a business, or a future business, the gross proceeds from the sale of the timber produce will constitute gross assessable income in their own right. The generation of 'business income' from such a business, or future business, provides the backdrop against which to judge whether the outgoings in question have the requisite connection with the operations that more directly gain or produce this income.
- 58. For schemes such as that of the Kiri Park Project 2005/06, Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out in paragraph 89 the circumstances in which the Grower's activities can constitute the carrying on of a business. As Taxation Ruling TR 2000/8 sets out, these circumstances have been established in court decisions such as *Commissioner of Taxation v. Lau* (1984) 6 FCR 202; 84 ATC 4929; (1984) 16 ATR 55.
- 59. Generally, a Grower will be carrying on a business of afforestation, and hence primary production, if:
 - the Grower has an identifiable interest (by lease or by licence) in the land on which the Grower's trees are established;
 - the Grower has a right to harvest and sell the timber produce from those trees;
 - the afforestation activities are carried out on the Grower's behalf;
 - the afforestation activities of the Grower are typical of those associated with an afforestation business; and
 - the weight and influence of general indicators point to the carrying on of a business.
- 60. In this Project, each Grower enters into a Lease and Management Agreement.
- 61. Under the Lease and Management Agreement each individual Grower will have rights over a specific and identifiable area of 0.108 hectares of land. The Lease provides the Grower with an ongoing interest in the specific trees on the leased area for the term of the Project. Under the lease the Grower must use the land in question for the purpose of carrying out afforestation activities, and for no other purpose. The lease allows the Responsible Entity to come onto the land to carry out its obligations under the Management Agreement.

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 17 of 27

- 62. Under the Lease and Management Agreement the Responsible Entity is engaged by the Grower to establish and maintain a Woodlot on the Grower's identifiable area of land during the term of the Project. The Responsible Entity has provided evidence that it holds the appropriate professional skills and credentials to provide the management services to establish and maintain the Woodlot on the Grower's behalf.
- 63. The Responsible Entity is also engaged to harvest and sell, on the Grower's behalf, the timber produce grown on the Grower's Woodlot.
- 64. The general indicators of a business, as used by the Courts, are described in Taxation Ruling TR 97/11. Positive findings can be made from the Project's description for all the indicators.
- 65. The activities that will be regularly carried out during the term of the Project demonstrate a significant commercial purpose. Based on reasonable projections, a Grower in the Project will derive assessable income from the sale of the timber produce that will return a before-tax profit, that is, a profit in cash terms that does not depend in its calculation on the fees in question being allowed as a deduction.
- 66. The pooling of timber produce from trees grown on the Grower's Woodlot with the timber produce of other Growers is consistent with general afforestation practices. Each Grower's proportionate share of the sale proceeds of the pooled timber produce will reflect the proportion of the trees contributed from their Woodlot.
- 67. The Responsible Entity's services are also consistent with general silvicultural practices. They are of the type ordinarily found in afforestation ventures that would commonly be said to be businesses. While the size of a Woodlot is relatively small, it is of a size and scale to allow it to be commercially viable.
- 68. The Grower's degree of control over the Responsible Entity as evidenced by the Lease and Management Agreement, and supplemented by the *Corporations Act 2001*, is sufficient. During the term of the Project, the Responsible Entity will provide the Grower with regular progress reports on the Grower's Woodlot and the activities carried out on the Grower's behalf. Growers are able to terminate arrangements with the Responsible Entity in certain instances, such as cases of default or neglect.
- 69. The afforestation activities, and hence the fees associated with their procurement, are consistent with an intention to commence regular activities that have an 'air of permanence' about them. For the purposes of this Ruling, the Growers' afforestation activities in the Kiri Park Project 2005/06 will constitute the carrying on of a business.

Page 18 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

The Simplified Tax System

Division 328

- 70. Subdivision 328-F sets out the eligibility requirements that a Grower must satisfy in order to enter the STS and Subdivision 328-G sets out the rules for entering and leaving the STS.
- 71. Changes to the STS rules apply from 1 July 2005. The question of whether a Grower is eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer' is outside the scope of this Product Ruling. Therefore, any Grower who relies on those parts of this Ruling that refer to the STS will be assumed to have correctly determined whether or not they are eligible to be an 'STS taxpayer'.

Deductibility of Land Preparation and Tree Planting Fee, Management Fees and Rent

Section 8-1

- 72. Consideration of whether the Land Preparation and Tree Planting Fee, Management Fees and Rent are deductible under section 8-1 begins with the first limb of the section. This view proceeds on the following basis:
 - the outgoing in question must have a sufficient connection with the operations or activities that directly gain or produce the taxpayer's assessable income;
 - the outgoings are not deductible under the second limb if they are incurred when the business has not commenced; and
 - where all that happens in a year of income is that a taxpayer is contractually committed to a venture that may not turn out to be a business, there can be doubt about whether the relevant business has commenced, and hence, whether the second limb applies. However, that does not preclude the application of the first limb in determining whether the outgoing in question has a sufficient connection with activities to produce assessable income.
- 73. The Management Fees and Rent associated with the afforestation activities will relate to the gaining of income from the Grower's business of afforestation (see above), and hence have a sufficient connection to the operations by which income (from the harvesting and sale of timber produce) is to be gained from this business. They will thus be deductible under the first limb of section 8-1. Further, no 'non-income producing' purpose in incurring the fee is identifiable from the arrangement. The fee appears to be reasonable. There is no capital component of the Management Fee. The tests of deductibility under the first limb of section 8-1 are met. The exclusions do not apply.

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 19 of 27

Deductibility of interest

Section 8-1

- (i) Growers who use FFL as the finance provider
- 74. Some Growers may finance their participation in the Project through a loan facility with FFL. Whether the resulting interest costs are deductible under section 8-1 depends on the same reasoning as that applied to the deductibility of Rent and Management Fees.
- 75. The interest incurred for the year ended 30 June 2007 and in subsequent years of income will be in respect of a loan to finance the Grower's business operations the cultivation and growing of trees and the lease of the land on which the trees will have been planted that will continue to be directly connected with the gaining of 'business income' from the Project. Such interest will, therefore, have a sufficient connection with the gaining of assessable income to be deductible under section 8-1.
- (ii) Growers who DO NOT use FFL as the finance provider
- 76. The deductibility of interest incurred by a Grower who finances their participation in the Project through a loan facility with a bank or financier other than FFL is outside the scope of this Ruling. Product Rulings only deal with arrangements where all details and documentation have been provided to, and examined by the Tax Office.

Prepayment provisions

Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG

- 77. The prepayment provisions contained in Subdivision H of Division 3 of Part III of the ITAA 1936 affect the timing of deductions for certain prepaid expenditure. These provisions apply to certain expenditure incurred under an agreement in return for the doing of a thing under the agreement (for example, the performance of forestry services or the leasing of land) that will not be wholly done within the same year of income as the year in which the expenditure is incurred. If expenditure is incurred to cover the provision of services to be provided within the same year, then it is not expenditure to which the prepayment rules apply.
- 78. For this Project, only section 82KZL of the ITAA 1936 (an interpretive provision) and sections 82KZME, 82KZMF and 82KZMG of the ITAA 1936 are relevant. Subject to section 82KZMG, if the requirements of sections 82KZME and 82KZMF are met, taxpayers determine deductions for prepaid expenditure under section 82KZMF using the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1). These provisions also apply to 'STS taxpayers' because there is no specific exclusion contained in section 82KZME that excludes them from the operation of section 82KZMF.

Page 20 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF

- 79. Other than expenditure deductible under section 82KZMG of the ITAA 1936, if the requirements of subsections 82KZME(2) and (3) of the ITAA 1936 are met, the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) of the ITAA 1936 (see below) will apply to apportion expenditure that is otherwise deductible under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(2) will be met if expenditure is incurred by a taxpayer in return for the doing of a thing that is not to be wholly done within the year the expenditure is made. The year in which such expenditure is incurred is called the 'expenditure year' (subsection 82KZME(1)).
- 80. The requirements of subsection 82KZME(3) of the ITAA 1936 will be met where the scheme has the following characteristics:
 - the taxpayer's allowable deductions under the agreement for the 'expenditure year' exceed any assessable income attributable to the agreement for that year;
 - the taxpayer does not have effective day to day control over the operation of the agreement. That is, the significant aspects of the scheme are managed by someone other than the taxpayer; and
 - either:
 - (a) there is more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer; or
 - (b) the entity who promotes, arranges or manages the agreement (or an associate of that entity) promotes similar agreements for other taxpayers.
- 81. For the purpose of these provisions, the scheme includes all activities that relate to the scheme (subsection 82KZME(4) of the ITAA 1936). This has particular relevance for a Grower in this Project who, in order to participate in the Project may borrow funds from a financier other than ITC Finance Pty Ltd or the Nominated Financier. Although undertaken with an unrelated party, that financing would be an element of the scheme. The funds borrowed and the resulting interest deductions are directly related to the activities under the scheme. If a Grower prepays interest under such financing arrangements, the deductions allowable will be subject to apportionment under section 82KZMF of the ITAA 1936.

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 21 of 27

- 82. There are a number of exceptions to these rules, but for Growers participating in this Project, only the 'excluded expenditure' exception in subsection 82KZME(7) of the ITAA 1936 is relevant. 'Excluded expenditure' is defined in subsection 82KZL(1) of the ITAA 1936. However, for the purposes of Growers in this Project, 'excluded expenditure' is prepaid expenditure incurred under the scheme that is less than \$1,000. Such expenditure is immediately deductible.
- 83. Where the requirements of section 82KZME of the ITAA 1936 are met, section 82KZMF of the ITAA 1936 applies to apportion relevant prepaid expenditure. Section 82KZMF uses the formula below, to apportion prepaid expenditure and allow a deduction over the period that the benefits are provided.

84. In the formula 'eligible service period' (defined in subsection 82KZL(1) of the ITAA 1936) means, the period during which the thing under the agreement is to be done. The eligible service period begins on the day on which the thing under the agreement commences to be done or on the day on which the expenditure is incurred, whichever is the later, and ends on the last day on which the thing under the agreement ceases to be done, up to a maximum of 10 years.

Section 82KZMG

- 85. Under subsection 82KZMG(1) of the ITAA 1936, expenditure is excluded from the prepayment rules that would otherwise apply, to the extent that the prepaid amount satisfies the requirements of subsections 82KZMG(2) to (4).
- 86. Subsection 82KZMG(2) of the ITAA 1936 requires that the expenditure is:
 - incurred on or after 2 October 2001 and on or before 30 June 2008;
 - the eligible service period must be 12 months or shorter and must end on or before the last day of the year of income after the expenditure year; and
 - for the doing of a thing under the agreement that is not to be wholly done within the expenditure year.
- 87. To satisfy subsection 82KZMG(3) of the ITAA 1936 the agreement must satisfy the following requirements:
 - it must be an agreement for planting and tending trees for felling;
 - be an agreement where the taxpayer does not have day to day control over the operations arising out of the agreement (a right to be consulted or to give directions does not equate to day to day control for the purposes of this requirement); and

Page 22 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

- either:
 - (a) there is more than one participant in the agreement in the same capacity as the taxpayer; or
 - (b) the manager manages, arranges or promotes the agreement, or an associate of the manager, manages, arranges or promotes similar agreements.
- 88. Under subsection 82KZMG(4) of the ITAA 1936 the expenditure incurred by the taxpayer must be paid for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' undertaken by the manager during the 'establishment period' for the relevant planting of trees for felling. The term 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities' is explained in Taxation Determination TD 2003/12.
- 89. Subsection 82KZMG(5) of the ITAA 1936 defines the 'establishment period to commence at the time that the first 'seasonally dependent agronomic activity' is performed in relation to a specific planting of trees and to conclude with the planting of trees. Where it is necessary to apply a fertiliser or herbicide to the trees at the same time as planting then those activities fall within the establishment period. Planting of trees refers to the main planting of the particular plantation and expressly excludes specific planting to replace existing seedlings that have not survived.

Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project *Land Preparation Fee and Planting Fee*

- 90. Under the Lease and Management Agreement, a Grower incurs a Land Preparation and Tree Planting Fee consisting of expenditure of \$5,513 for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities'.
- 91. As the requirements of section 82KZMG of the ITAA 1936 have been met, a deduction is allowable in the income year ended 30 June 2007 for the expenditure incurred under the Lease and Management Agreement for 'seasonally dependent agronomic activities'.

Management Fees and Rent

92. The expenditure incurred by a Grower in the Project for the Management Fees and Rent from the 2008 income year onwards, meet the requirements of subsections 82KZME(1) and (2) of the ITAA 1936 and is incurred under an 'agreement' as described in subsection 82KZME(3). Therefore, unless one of the exceptions to section 82KZME applies, the amount and timing of tax deductions for those fees are determined under section 82KZMF of the ITAA 1936.

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 23 of 27

- 93. For a Grower who acquires the minimum of one Woodlot, the prepaid Management Fee and the prepaid rent, being amounts each of less than \$1,000 in each expenditure year, constitute 'excluded expenditure' as defined in subsection 82KZL(1) of the ITAA 1936. Under Exception 3 (subsection 82KZME(7) of the ITAA 1936) 'excluded expenditure' is specifically excluded from the operation of section 82KZMF of the ITAA 1936.
- 94. However, Growers who acquire more than one Woodlot may incur prepaid Management Fees or rent exceeding \$1,000. Those Growers will need to calculate the deduction for each year using the formula in subsection 82KZMF(1) of the ITAA 1936. Section 82KZMF will apportion the deduction for prepaid fees over the eligible service period which commences on 1 July of the year following the year of payment and ends on 30 June of that year.
- 95. Therefore, any prepaid Management Fee or Rent exceeding \$1,000 incurred in an income year, will be deductible in the following income year.

Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities

Section 35-55 – exercise of Commissioner's discretion

- 96. In deciding to exercise the discretion in paragraph 35-55(1)(b) on a conditional basis for the income years **30 June 2007 to 30 June 2012** the Commissioner has applied the principles set out in Taxation Ruling TR 2001/14 Income tax: Division 35 non-commercial business losses. Accordingly, based on the evidence supplied, the Commissioner has determined that for those income years ended 30 June 2007 up to and including 30 June 2012:
 - it is because of its nature the business activity of a Grower will not satisfy one of the four tests in Division 35; and
 - there is an objective expectation that within a period that is commercially viable for the afforestation industry, a Grower's business activity will satisfy one of the four tests set out in Division 35 or produce a taxation profit.
- 97. Therefore a Grower who would otherwise be required to defer a loss arising from their participation in the Project under subsection 35-10(2) until a later income year is able to offset that loss against their other assessable income.
- 98. The exercise of the Commissioner's discretion under paragraph 35-55(1)(b) is conditional on the Project being carried on in the manner described in this Ruling during the income years specified. If the Project is carried out in a materially different way to that described in the Ruling a Grower will need to apply for a private ruling on the application of section 35-55 to those changed circumstances.

Page 24 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

Section 82KL - recouped expenditure

99. The operation of section 82KL of the ITAA 1936 depends, among other things, on the identification of a certain quantum of 'additional benefits(s)'. Insufficient 'additional benefits' will be provided to trigger the application of section 82KL. It will not apply to deny the deduction otherwise allowable under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997.

Part IVA – general tax avoidance provisions

- 100. For Part IVA of the ITAA 1936 to apply there must be a 'scheme' (section 177A), a 'tax benefit' (section 177C) and a dominant purpose of entering into the scheme to obtain a tax benefit (section 177D).
- 101. The Kiri Park Project 2005/2006 will be a 'scheme'. A Grower will obtain a 'tax benefit' from entering into the scheme, in the form of tax deductions for the amounts detailed in paragraph 52 that would not have been obtained but for the scheme. However, it is not possible to conclude the scheme will be entered into or carried out with the dominant purpose of obtaining this tax benefit.
- 102. Growers to whom this Ruling applies intend to stay in the scheme for its full term and derive assessable income from the harvesting and sale of the wood produce. There are no facts that would suggest that Growers have the opportunity of obtaining a tax advantage other than the tax advantages identified in this Ruling. There is no non-recourse financing or round robin characteristics, and no indication that the parties are not dealing at arm's length or, if any parties are not dealing at arm's length, that any adverse tax consequences result. Further, having regard to the factors to be considered under paragraph 177D(b) of the ITAA 1936 it cannot be concluded, on the information available, that participants will enter into the scheme for the dominant purpose of obtaining a tax benefit.

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 25 of 27

Appendix 2 – Detailed contents list

103. The following is a detailed contents list for this Ruling:

	Paragraph
What this Ruling is about	1
Relevant provision(s)	2
Goods and Services Tax	3
Changes in the Law	4
Note to promoters and advisers	6
Class of entities	7
Qualifications	9
Date of effect	12
Withdrawal	16
Scheme	17
Overview	20
Constitution	27
Compliance Plan	29
Lease and Management Agreement	30
Harvesting and sale	33
Fees	37
Finance	41
Ruling	45
The Simplified Tax System (STS)	47
Division 328	47
25% entrepreneur's tax offset	49
Subdivision 61-J	49
Assessable income	50
Section 6-5	50
Deductions for Establishment Services Fee, Interest and Borrowing Costs	52
Section 8-1 and section 25-25	52
Interest	53
Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	54
Section 35-55 – exercise of Commissioner's discretion	54
Sections 82KZME, 82KZMF and 82KL and Part IVA	55

Product Ruling

PR 2006/89

Page 26 of 27 Page status: **not legally binding**

Appendix 1 – Explanation	56
Is the Grower carrying on a business?	56
The Simplified Tax System	70
Division 328	70
Deductibility of Land Preparation and Tree Planting Fee, Management Fees and Rent	72
Section 8-1	72
Deductibility of interest	74
Section 8-1	74
(i) Growers who use FFL as the finance provider	74
(ii) Growers who DO NOT use FFL as the finance provider	76
Prepayment provisions	77
Sections 82KZL to 82KZMG	77
Sections 82KZME and 82KZMF	79
Section 82KZMG	85
Application of the prepayment provisions to this Project	90
Land Preparation Fee and Planting Fee	90
Management Fees and Rent	92
Division 35 – deferral of losses from non-commercial business activities	96
Section 35-55 – exercise of Commissioner's discretion	96
Section 82KL – recouped expenditure	99
Part IVA – general tax avoidance provisions	100
Appendix 2 – Detailed contents list	103

Page status: **not legally binding** Page 27 of 27

References

Previous draft: - ITAA 1936 82KZME(3) - ITAA 1936 82KZME(4) Not previously issued as a draft - ITAA 1936 82KZME(7) - ITAA 1936 82KZMF Related Rulings/Determinations: - ITAA 1936 82KZMF(1) TR 97/11; TR 98/22; TR 2000/8; - ITAA 1936 82KZMG TR 2001/14; TD 2003/12 - ITAA 1936 82KZMG(1) - ITAA 1936 82KZMG(2) Subject references: - ITAA 1936 82KZMG(3) - advance deductions and - ITAA 1936 82KZMG(4) expenses for certain forestry - ITAA 1936 82KZMG(5) expenditure - ITAA 1936 Pt IVA - carrying on a business - ITAA 1936 177A - commencement of business - ITAA 1936 177C - fee expenses - ITAA 1936 177D - forestry agreement - ITAA 1936 177D(b) - interest expenses - ITAA 1997 6-5 - management fees - ITAA 1997 8-1 - non-commercial losses - ITAA 1997 17-5 - producing assessable income - ITAA 1997 25-25 - product rulings - ITAA 1997 Div 27 - public rulings - ITAA 1997 Div 35 - seasonally dependent - ITAA 1997 35-10 agronomic activity - ITAA 1997 35-10(2) - tax avoidance - ITAA 1997 35-55 - tax benefits under tax avoidance - ITAA 1997 35-55(1)(b) schemes - ITAA 1997 Subdiv 61-J - tax shelters - ITAA 1997 Div 328 - tax shelters project - ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-F - taxation administration - ITAA 1997 Subdiv 328-G - TAA 1953 Legislative references: - TAA 1953 Sch 1 357-75(1) - ITAA 1936 82KL - IT(TP)A 1997 Div 328 - ITAA 1936 Pt III Div 3 Subdiv H - IT(TP)A 1997 328-120

- ITAA 1936 82KZM - Corporations Act 2001

Case references:
- Commission of Taxation v. Lau (1984) 6 FCR 202; 84 ATC 4929; (1984) 16 ATR 55

- IT(TP)A 1997 328-125

- Copyright Act 1968

ATO references

- ITAA 1936 82KZL- ITAA 1936 82KZL(1)

- ITAA 1936 82KZMA- ITAA 1936 82KZMB

- ITAA 1936 82KZMC

- ITAA 1936 82KZMD

- ITAA 1936 82KZME

- ITAA 1936 82KZME(1) - ITAA 1936 82KZME(2)

NO: 2005/12938 ISSN: 1441-1172

ATOlaw topic: Income Tax ~~ Product ~~ timber