

# ***PS LA 2008/18 - Interaction between Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C of Schedule 1 to the Taxation Administration Act 1953***

 This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *PS LA 2008/18 - Interaction between Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C of Schedule 1 to the Taxation Administration Act 1953*

 This document has changed over time. This version was published on *11 July 2024*



# Practice Statement Law Administration

**PS LA 2008/18**

---

**FOI status:** may be released

---

*This Practice Statement is an internal ATO document and an instruction to ATO staff.*

*Taxpayers can rely on this Practice Statement to provide them with protection from interest and penalties in the following way. If a statement turns out to be incorrect and taxpayers underpay their tax as a result, they will not have to pay a penalty, nor will they have to pay interest on the underpayment provided they reasonably relied on this Practice Statement in good faith. However, even if they do not have to pay a penalty or interest, taxpayers will have to pay the correct amount of tax provided the time limits under the law allow it.*

---

**SUBJECT:** Interaction between Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*

**PURPOSE:** To provide guidance to officers on the assessment of penalties under Division 284 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* when assessments which rely on adjustment provisions (including the general anti-avoidance provisions) are issued

---

| Table of contents                                                                          | Paragraph |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>BACKGROUND</b>                                                                          | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>STATEMENT</b>                                                                           | <b>10</b> |
| The imposition of penalties where no adjustment provision applies                          | 10        |
| The imposition of penalties when the assessment is made on alternative grounds             | 11        |
| The imposition of penalties where an adjustment provision applies                          | 14        |
| <b>EXPLANATION</b>                                                                         | <b>20</b> |
| Statutory framework                                                                        | 20        |
| The imposition of penalties under Subdivision 284-B                                        | 24        |
| The imposition of penalties under Subdivision 284-C                                        | 28        |
| The imposition of penalties when the primary tax assessment is made on alternative grounds | 30        |
| The imposition of cumulative penalties                                                     | 32        |
| Examples                                                                                   | 37        |
| <i>Example 1: false or misleading statement</i>                                            | 38        |
| <i>Example 2: not taking a reasonably arguable position</i>                                | 39        |
| <i>Example 3: scheme participation with a reasonably arguable position</i>                 | 40        |
| <i>Example 4: assessment supported on alternative grounds</i>                              | 42        |
| <i>Example 5: cumulative penalties</i>                                                     | 45        |

## BACKGROUND

1. Unless otherwise indicated, all legislative references in this Practice Statement are to Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* (TAA).
2. Division 284 provides an administrative penalty regime for all taxation laws to enable administrative penalties to apply to entities that fail to meet their taxation obligations in a number of circumstances.
3. Subdivision 284-B imposes administrative shortfall penalties on an entity for conduct that includes:
  - making a statement which is false or misleading in a material particular (subsection 284-75(1)), and
  - taking a position on the operation of an income tax law that is not reasonably arguable (subsection 284-75(2)).
4. Subdivision 284-C imposes administrative penalties on an entity that gets a scheme benefit under a scheme.
5. It is not the intention of this Practice Statement to provide comprehensive guidance on the operation of the penalty regime in Division 284. The purpose of this Practice Statement is to explain the interaction between Subdivisions 284-B<sup>1</sup> and 284-C, when either or both may apply. Law Administration Practice Statement PS LA 2012/5 *Administration of the false or misleading statement penalty – where there is a shortfall amount* provides comprehensive guidance on the application of administrative penalties for making false or misleading statements pursuant to subsection 284-75(1).
6. General provisions such as sections 6-5 and 8-1 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) set out the substantive requirements for a particular tax treatment.
7. An adjustment (or scheme) provision is an anti-avoidance provision such as the general anti-avoidance rule in Part IVA of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936), which is applied to cancel a tax benefit otherwise obtainable under a general provision of the tax law.
8. In *Brown and Commissioner of Taxation* [2006] AATA 1107 (*Brown*), the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) held that a penalty cannot be imposed under Subdivision 284-C where a deduction has been disallowed otherwise than under an adjustment provision. In the Decision impact statement on *Brown*, the ATO accepted the decision.
9. In many cases involving a tax avoidance scheme, it will not be known whether an adjustment provision will apply at the time of making the primary assessment. To ensure that an appropriate amount of penalty is ultimately payable by the taxpayer, it is necessary to consider the imposition of penalty under Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C.

## STATEMENT

### The imposition of penalties where no adjustment provision applies

10. If an entity has a shortfall amount that is not related to a scheme, Subdivision 284-C will not need to be considered. In these situations, the shortfall will arise from applying a general provision and penalties under Subdivision 284-B for making a false or misleading statement or not taking a reasonably arguable position on an income tax law should be considered.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note that imposition of penalties under subsection 284-75(3) of Subdivision 284-B is beyond the scope of this Practice Statement.

### **The imposition of penalties when the assessment is made on alternative grounds**

11. If an entity has a shortfall amount from participating in a scheme, the entity's primary tax assessment will still usually be made in reliance on a general provision (or provisions), with an adjustment provision relied on in the alternative. In these cases, the penalty will typically be similarly assessed in reliance on Subdivision 284-B and reliance on Subdivision 284-C in the alternative.
12. Where the penalty amounts arising respectively under Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C are the same, then the final penalty payable will normally be equal to that amount (that is, penalties will not be imposed cumulatively).
13. However, if the alternative penalty amounts are different, the amount payable under the penalty assessment will be the greater of the amounts calculated under Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C. The entity should be provided with an explanation of the amount payable including details of the separate penalty calculations and the circumstances in which the lesser penalty amount may apply.

### **The imposition of penalties where an adjustment provision applies**

14. Where an entity enters into a scheme and obtains a scheme benefit that is cancelled pursuant to an adjustment provision (that is, where the scheme benefit is allowable under general provisions and the adjustment provision applies to cancel the scheme benefit), penalties will be imposed under Subdivision 284-C. However, Subdivision 284-B penalty should also be considered where the conditions for its imposition are or may be satisfied. It does not necessarily follow that because Subdivision 284-C does apply Subdivision 284-B does not apply.
15. Penalties may be imposed cumulatively under both Subdivisions. That is, where an entity has entered into a scheme and has made a false or misleading statement in relation to the scheme, penalties can be imposed under *both* Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C.
- 15A. Depending on the circumstances, we may exercise the discretion to remit the resulting cumulative penalty amount to a reduced penalty amount. For example, the total penalty may be remitted to:
  - the Subdivision 284-B or 284-C amount, if they are the same, or
  - the greater of the Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C amounts, if they are different.
16. However, the cumulative bases for the penalty imposition are maintained and the penalty notice should not purport to remit the excess penalty under any specific Subdivision.
17. We are entitled to rely upon both Subdivisions 284-B and 284-C to support an assessment of the amount of penalty which we consider to be appropriate. By making an assessment, we fix the amount of penalty which is payable. In fixing that amount, we may rely on cumulative or alternative grounds of liability (which may be inconsistent). On review or appeal, the onus will be on the entity to show that the amount of penalty made payable under the assessment is excessive.

18. To explain the imposition (and subsequent remission, where appropriate) of cumulative penalties to the entity, it is recommended that the decision-maker use the following standard wording to advise the entity:

*You are liable for a penalty of \$X under item ... (to be inserted) of subsection 284-90(1) of Schedule 1 to the Taxation Administration Act 1953 (TAA) for (failure to take reasonable care/recklessness/intentional disregard) as to the operation of a tax law. A further \$Y penalty applies under section 284-160 of Schedule 1 to the TAA in relation to the tax benefit you obtained from participating in a scheme. This results in a cumulative penalty of \$(X+Y). However, by the exercise of the Commissioner's discretion under section 298-20 of Schedule 1 to the TAA, your overall penalty amount has been remitted to Z.*

*The reasons for the imposition of both penalties and the remission decision are set out below.*

19. The principles discussed in this Practice Statement are illustrated in the examples in paragraphs 38 to 48 of this Practice Statement.

## **EXPLANATION**

### **Statutory framework**

20. An entity has a shortfall amount for the purposes of Subdivision 284-B if one of the items in the table in subsection 284-80(1) applies. The shortfall amount is the amount by which the relevant tax-related liability is less than, or relevant payment or credit is more than, it would have been if the false or misleading statement had not been made. In this context, the expression 'tax-related liability' as used in Subdivision 284-B and as defined in subsection 995-1(1) of the ITAA 1997 includes a liability worked out under an adjustment provision.
21. The base penalty amount under Subdivision 284-B is calculated as a percentage of that shortfall amount.<sup>2</sup>
22. The base penalty amount under Subdivision 284-C is calculated as a percentage of the relevant entity's scheme shortfall amount.
23. A scheme shortfall amount is the amount by which the entity's liability is less than or payment or credit is more than it would have been but for the application of an adjustment provision (section 284-150).

### **The imposition of penalties under Subdivision 284-B**

24. Tax officers should refer to PS LA 2012/5 for detailed guidance on the imposition of penalties under subsection 284-75(1) for making a false or misleading statement.
25. Penalties are imposed under Subdivision 284-B for:
- making a statement that is false or misleading in a material particular, which results in a shortfall amount
  - taking a position on the operation of an income tax law that is not reasonably arguable, which results in a shortfall amount, and

---

<sup>2</sup> This applies to shortfall amounts under subsections 284-75(1) and (2). Note that different rules apply to calculating the shortfall amount under subsection 284-75(3), however this is beyond the scope of this Practice Statement.

- failing to provide the Commissioner with the necessary documents, thereby requiring the Commissioner to determine tax liability without those documents (not discussed in this Practice Statement).
26. Where a shortfall amount arises from the operation of a general or non-scheme provision of the tax law, we must consider the imposition of penalties under Subdivision 284-B.
  27. Base penalty amounts under Subdivision 284-B may be 25%, 50% or 75% of the shortfall amount, depending on the grounds for the imposition of the penalty. The base penalty amount may be reduced or increased (under sections 284-220 and 284-225), depending on the individual circumstances of the case.

### **The imposition of penalties under Subdivision 284-C**

28. Subdivision 284-C applies to scheme shortfall amounts resulting from participating in a scheme to which an adjustment provision applies.
29. Subdivision 284-C imposes a base penalty amount of 50% of the scheme shortfall amount, or 25% if it is reasonably arguable that the adjustment provision does not apply to the scheme.<sup>3</sup>

### **The imposition of penalties when the primary tax assessment is made on alternative grounds**

30. The AAT's decision in *Brown* has confirmed that penalty under Subdivision 284-C cannot apply to a scheme to which an adjustment provision does not apply (that is, a failed scheme).
31. In a typical case involving a tax avoidance scheme, it will not be known whether an adjustment provision applies at the time of making the primary assessment. To ensure that an appropriate amount of penalty is ultimately payable, the penalty assessment will typically need to be raised on alternative grounds (that is, Subdivision 284-B, and Subdivision 284-C in the alternative). Thus, the penalty assessment will be made pursuant to the penalty provision relating to the primary ground of assessment, with the penalty provision corresponding to the alternative ground similarly relied on in the alternative.

### **The imposition of cumulative penalties**

32. Where an entity has been involved in a scheme (penalised under Subdivision 284-C) and makes a false or misleading statement in relation to the scheme (penalised under Subdivision 284-B), the entity may be subject to cumulative penalties, as Division 284 allows for the concurrent application of administrative penalties under both Subdivisions.
33. If a tax benefit has been obtained under the general provisions and an adjustment provision is relied on to cancel the tax benefit, Subdivision 284-C penalty would be applicable. The application of penalties for making a false or misleading statement under Subdivision 284-B should also be considered where appropriate.
34. In practice, cumulative penalties will only be imposed in exceptional cases. What will constitute an exceptional case is a matter of fact to be determined by considering an entity's particular circumstances.

---

<sup>3</sup> Subdivision 284-C also provides for base penalty amounts of 25% and 10% in respect of certain situations involving international agreements; however, consideration of this is beyond the scope of this Practice Statement.

35. If cumulative penalties are imposed and the resulting amount is considered excessive for the particular circumstances, we may exercise the discretion to remit so much of the penalty as is considered appropriate. The remission decision should not purport to remit the penalty under any specific provision but rather reduce the overall penalty to an amount considered reasonable in the circumstances. That is, the remission should not be attributed to any particular penalty type.
36. In determining whether a penalty amount is excessive, tax officers should only consider the total base penalty amount of penalty and not any subsequent penalty loading pursuant to sections 284-220 and 284-225 resulting from the entity's conduct.

### **Examples**

37. Paragraphs 38 to 48 of this Practice Statement provide examples of situations where penalties are imposed. Note that Examples 1 and 2 do not have a scheme component and are intended to exemplify the grounds for imposing Subdivision 284-B penalty, in order to provide the context for the imposition of such penalty where Subdivision 284-C may also apply.

#### ***Example 1: false or misleading statement***

38. *When filling out her tax return, Marina does not include the income she received from her managed fund. This results in a shortfall amount, which occurred as a result of a failure to take reasonable care. Therefore, Marina will be subject to a base penalty amount of 25% under Subdivision 284-B.*

#### ***Example 2: not taking a reasonably arguable position***

39. *Marina claims a deduction for margin payments made in respect of exchange-traded option contracts. These fees are disallowed under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997 as outlined in Taxation Determination TD 2006/25 Income tax: are margin payments made in respect of exchange-traded option and futures contracts deductible under section 8-1 of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1997? Marina disagreed with our interpretation of the relevant laws and treated the tax law as applying to her in a different way. However, her position was not reasonably arguable. Therefore, she will be subject to a penalty of 25% of the shortfall amount under Subdivision 284-B.*

#### ***Example 3: scheme participation with a reasonably arguable position***

40. *Marina enters into a managed investment scheme and claims a deduction under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997 for a management fee.*
41. *Part IVA of the ITAA 1936 applies and we make a determination to cancel the tax benefit obtained by way of the deduction for the management fee. It is reasonably arguable that Part IVA does not apply. Marina will be subject to a 25% penalty under Subdivision 284-C.*

#### ***Example 4: assessment supported on alternative grounds***

42. *Marina enters into a managed investment scheme and claims a deduction for a management fee. The management fee is capital in nature and is not an allowable deduction under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997. This is reflected in*

*the primary assessment that is issued to Marina. The resulting shortfall amount occurs as a result of failure to take reasonable care on Marina's part. Therefore, a penalty under Subdivision 284-B will be imposed at a rate of 25%.*

43. *Alternatively, if the management fee is allowable under section 8-1 of the ITAA 1997, there is a tax benefit that is cancelled under Part IVA of the ITAA 1936. It is not reasonably arguable that Part IVA will not apply, so a 50% penalty will be payable under Subdivision 284-C. As Marina's primary assessment is made on alternative grounds, her penalty assessment is similarly made on alternative grounds.*
44. *As the alternative penalty amounts differ, Marina's penalty assessment will be for the greater amount, that is, 50%. A letter explaining how the lesser penalty may apply should accompany the penalty notice.*

#### **Example 5: cumulative penalties**

45. *Marina, a resident taxpayer, and her employer enter into a scheme to convert Marina's assessable income into exempt income. On 30 April 2008, the Full Federal Court decides that another entity who participated in an identical scheme had obtained a tax benefit under Part IVA of the ITAA 1936 equal to that entity's exempt income under the scheme. Marina lodges her return for the 2007–08 year on 31 October 2008 and does not disclose her purportedly exempt income.*
46. *On the basis of the applicable judicial authority, Marina will not have a reasonably arguable position in relation to Part IVA of the ITAA 1936. Therefore, she will be subject to 50% penalty under Subdivision 284-C.*
47. *Subdivision 284-B penalty may also be applicable, as Marina has made a false or misleading statement in her tax return by omitting from it her purportedly exempt income. In the circumstances, which include Marina's disregard of applicable judicial authority, Marina's shortfall has occurred as a result of recklessness with regard to the operation of the law. Therefore, Marina will be liable to Subdivision 284-B administrative penalty of 50%.*
48. *Marina is liable to a cumulative penalty on her shortfall amount of 100%. However, with regard to circumstances, the resulting penalty is considered unreasonably high and we exercise the discretion to remit the total amount to 75%. The penalty notice that issues does not purport to remit the penalty under a specific penalty provision, but rather reduces the overall total penalty to 75%.*

## Amendment history

**11 July 2024**

| Part       | Comment                                                                |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Throughout | Updated in line with current ATO style and accessibility requirements. |

**30 March 2017**

| Part                | Comment                                              |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Paragraphs 5 and 24 | Updated reference from PS LA 2006/2 to PS LA 2012/5. |

## References

|                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Legislative references      | ITAA 1997 6-5<br>ITAA 1997 8-1<br>ITAA 1997 995-1(1)<br>ITAA 1936 Pt IVA<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 Div 284<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 Subdiv 284-B<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-75(1)<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-75(2)<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-75(3)<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-80(1)<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-90(1)<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 Subdiv 284-C<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-150<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-160<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-220<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 284-225<br>TAA 1953 Sch 1 298-20 |
| Related public rulings      | TD 2006/25                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Related practice statements | PS LA 2012/5                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Case references             | Brown and Commissioner of Taxation [2006] AATA 1107;<br>2006 ATC 2573; 65 ATR 172                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Other references            | Decision impact statement Brown v Commissioner of Taxation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| File references             | 08/3311                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Date issued                 | 27 November 2008                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Date of effect              | 27 November 2008                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Business line               | SD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

**ATO references**

|                        |                             |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>File references</b> | 08/3311                     |
| <b>ISSN</b>            | 2651-9526                   |
| <b>ATOlaw topic</b>    | Administration ~~ Penalties |

---

**© AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA**

You are free to copy, adapt, modify, transmit and distribute this material as you wish (but not in any way that suggests the ATO or the Commonwealth endorses you or any of your services or products).