

TR 2005/5 - Income tax: ascertaining the right to tax United States (US) and United Kingdom (UK) resident financial institutions under the US and the UK Taxation Conventions in respect of interest income arising in Australia

⚠ This cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TR 2005/5 - Income tax: ascertaining the right to tax United States (US) and United Kingdom (UK) resident financial institutions under the US and the UK Taxation Conventions in respect of interest income arising in Australia*

⚠ There is a Compendium for this document: **TR 2005/5EC** .

⚠ This document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *17 May 2023*



Status: **legally binding**

Taxation Ruling

Income tax: ascertaining the right to tax United States (US) and United Kingdom (UK) resident financial institutions under the US and the UK Taxation Conventions in respect of interest income arising in Australia

📌 Relying on this Ruling

This publication (excluding appendixes) is a public ruling for the purposes of the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

If this Ruling applies to you, and you correctly rely on it, we will apply the law to you in the way set out in this Ruling. That is, you will not pay any more tax or penalties or interest in respect of the matters covered by this Ruling.

[Note: *This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the Legal database (ato.gov.au/law) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]*

Table of Contents	Paragraph
Summary – what this Ruling is about	1
Class of persons/arrangements	1
<i>United States and United Kingdom</i>	1
<i>Other countries</i>	2A
Issues discussed in this Ruling	3
Date of effect	9
Ruling	10
PART A: ascertaining whether the US or UK resident is classified as a financial institution under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions	11
Banks	12
Other enterprises	15
<i>Raising debt finance in the financial markets</i>	17
<i>Taking deposits at interest</i>	19
<i>Using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance</i>	22
<i>Substantially deriving its profits</i>	26
PART B: additional conditions for a financial institution to meet to determine whether it will be subject to tax on its interest income arising in Australia	29
<i>Whether the US or UK financial institution is unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with, the payer of the interest</i>	29

 Status: **legally binding**

<i>Whether the interest is effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident</i>	32
<i>Whether the interest is paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans</i>	33
Appendix 1 – Explanation	34
Background	34
PART A: ascertaining whether the US or UK resident will be classified as a financial institution under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions	38
<i>The meaning of undefined terms within the definition of financial institution</i>	39
Banks	44
<i>The meaning of the term 'bank'</i>	49
Other enterprises	62
<i>The meaning of the term 'raising debt finance in the financial markets'</i>	65
<i>The meaning of the term 'taking deposits at interest'</i>	82
<i>The meaning of the term 'using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance'</i>	88
<i>The meaning of the term 'substantially deriving its profits'</i>	96
PART B: additional conditions for a financial institution to meet to determine whether it will be subject to tax on its interest income arising in Australia	107
<i>Whether the US or UK financial institution is unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with, the payer of the interest</i>	107
<i>Whether the interest is effectively connected with a permanent establishment of the beneficial owner in the country in which the interest arises</i>	122
<i>Whether the interest is paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans</i>	126
Appendix 2 – Examples	129
Banks	129
<u>Example 1</u>	129
Other enterprises	131
<u>Example 2</u>	131
Substantially deriving profits	135
<u>Example 3</u>	135
<u>Example 4</u>	137
<u>Example 4A</u>	138A
Permanent establishment	139
<u>Example 5</u>	139
<u>Example 6</u>	141
Unrelated	143
<u>Example 7</u>	143

Status: **legally binding**

<u>Example 8</u>	145
Back to back arrangements	147
<u>Example 9</u>	147

Summary – what this Ruling is about

Class of persons/arrangement

United States and United Kingdom

1. This Ruling applies to residents of the United States (US) and the United Kingdom (UK) that are classified as financial institutions for the purposes of either the Australia – United States Taxation Convention, as amended by the Protocol, (the US Convention) or the Australia – United Kingdom Taxation Convention (the UK Convention) (collectively referred to as ‘the Conventions’).
2. This Ruling applies to those arrangements where interest arises in Australia, within the meaning of Article 11(7) of the Conventions, and is derived by US or UK residents that are financial institutions for the purposes of the Conventions. The US and UK residents must beneficially own, or be beneficially entitled to, this interest.

Other countries

- 2A. This Ruling also applies to residents of another country with which Australia has a double tax agreement (DTA) that includes a financial institution interest withholding tax rates exemption or reduction on identical terms as the US or UK Conventions^{A1} and are classified as financial institutions for the purposes of the DTA. In applying this Ruling, references to the US or UK should be read as references to that other country and references to the US or UK Convention should be read as references to the relevant DTA.
- 2B. This Ruling applies to those arrangements where interest arises in Australia within the meaning of the relevant DTA and:
 - (i) the interest is treated as interest for the purposes of the DTA;
 - (ii) it is derived by residents of that country that are financial institutions for the purposes of the DTA; and
 - (iii) the residents of that country beneficially own, or are beneficially entitled to, the interest for the purposes of the DTA.

Issues discussed in this Ruling

3. The Ruling discusses the circumstances under which the US or UK resident will not be subject to tax in Australia under the Conventions on interest income arising in Australia.

^{A1} The protocol must be considered in determining whether a DTA provides for a financial institution interest withholding tax rates exemption or reduction on identical terms as the US or UK Conventions. The protocol may include provisions that clarify or modify the DTA.

Status: **legally binding**

4. This Ruling focuses on the definition of 'financial institution' contained in Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions. The definition of 'financial institution' distinguishes two types of entities; those that are 'banks' and those that are 'other enterprises'.

5. The definition also contains a number of undefined terms. Given these undefined terms, there has been some uncertainty as to whether a US or UK resident will be considered to be a 'financial institution' for the purposes of the Convention and subsequently whether it will be subject to Australian tax on interest income arising in Australia.

6. The Ruling and Explanation sections of this Ruling are presented in two parts:

- (a) ascertaining whether the US or UK resident is classified as a financial institution under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions; and
- (b) other requirements that a US or UK resident financial institution must satisfy if it is not to be subject to tax on its interest income arising in Australia, namely:
 - the financial institution is unrelated to and dealing wholly independently with the payer of the interest (Article 11(3)(b));
 - the interest is not effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident (Article 11(6)); and
 - the interest is not paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans (Article 11(4)).

7. This Ruling is intended to assist residents of the US and the UK in receipt of interest arising in Australia to establish their income tax liability, and also assist payers of interest of this type determine their withholding tax obligations.¹

8. Unless specifically addressed, for the purposes of this Ruling, a reference to a US or UK resident does not include the permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident.

Date of effect

9. This Ruling applies in respect of withholding taxes from the date of effect of the Conventions. The US Protocol that amended the US Double Taxation Convention took effect for withholding taxes on 1 July 2003. The UK Convention took effect for withholding taxes on 1 July 2004. However, the Ruling will not apply to taxpayers to the extent that it conflicts with the terms of settlement of a dispute agreed to before the date of issue of the Ruling (see paragraphs 75 and 76 of Taxation Ruling TR 2006/10 *Public Rulings*).

Ruling

10. Where a US or UK resident:
- satisfies the definition of 'financial institution';

¹ Interest withholding tax obligations may arise in respect of interest paid by both residents of Australia and non-residents in accordance with Division 11A of Part III of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* and sections 12-245 and 12-300 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*.

Status: **legally binding**

- is beneficially entitled to, or beneficially owns the interest; and
- is unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with the payer of the interest,

and the interest arising in Australia is not:

- effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident; nor
- paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans,

Australia has no taxing rights under Article 11(2) of the Conventions in respect of interest paid to the US or UK resident. Accordingly, payers of interest of this type have no obligation under section 12-245 of Schedule 1 to the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* (TAA)^{1A} to withhold tax from such payments made to these US or UK residents. This is because no withholding tax is payable in respect of the interest under section 128B of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* (ITAA 1936).^{1B}

PART A: ascertaining whether the US or UK resident is classified as a financial institution under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions

11. The definition of a 'financial institution' is contained in Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions and categorises US and UK residents into those that are 'banks' and those that are 'other enterprises'.

Banks

12. For the purposes of the Conventions, the Commissioner considers that a bank means a US or UK resident that is authorised or licensed to carry on a banking business (that is, to take deposits and make advances) in the US or the UK, and satisfies the capital adequacy requirements to be classified as a bank, as distinct from other categories of deposit taking institutions.

13. Where a US or UK resident satisfies these requirements, it will constitute a financial institution and does not need to satisfy the other elements of the definition of what is a financial institution.

14. The Commissioner considers that UK residents that appear on the list of banks published by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority² will constitute a bank for the purposes of Article 11(3)(b) of the UK Convention.

Other enterprises

15. 'Other enterprises' are those residents of the US or UK that are not classified as banks. This means that these enterprises must 'substantially derive their profits' by 'raising debt finance in the financial markets' or by 'taking deposits at interest' and 'using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance'. Collectively, these activities are referred to as 'spread activities' in this Ruling.

16. While US or UK residents that operate as credit unions, building societies, savings banks or saving and loans institutions, are unlikely to satisfy the meaning of the term 'bank'

^{1A} In conjunction with section 12-300 of Schedule 1 to the TAA.

^{1B} Following application of subsection 4(2) of the *International Tax Agreements Act 1953*.

² See the historical PRA-regulated bank list published by the Bank of England at [Which firms do we regulate?](#)

Status: **legally binding**

for the purposes of the Conventions, the Commissioner considers that these US or UK residents would still meet the definition of financial institution as they would satisfy the requirements for 'other enterprises'.

Raising debt finance in the financial markets

17. The meaning of the term 'debt finance' has regard to the approach applied in Division 974 of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997) of analysing the economic substance of the rights and obligations arising under a financing arrangement rather than the mere legal form. This recognises that the basic indicator of the economic character of the debt is the non-contingent nature of the returns. Applied in the context of the Conventions, a US or UK resident is raising debt finance where the funds obtained result in an 'effectively non-contingent obligation' to return an amount at least equal to the amount received. The term 'effectively non-contingent obligation' takes its meaning from section 974-135 of the ITAA 1997.

18. The term 'financial markets' in the expression 'raising debt finance in the financial markets' takes on its ordinary commercial meaning. It means a facility through which:

- offers to acquire or dispose of debt finance products are regularly made or accepted (including offering loans); or
- offers and invitations are regularly made to acquire or dispose of debt finance products that are intended to result or may reasonably be expected to result in the making (or acceptance) of offers to acquire or dispose of such debt finance products (including offering loans).

The linkage between the meaning of debt finance above, and the requirement that the enterprise obtains its debt finance in the financial markets, means that these funds must be raised on normal commercial terms.

Taking deposits at interest

19. The term 'taking deposits at interest' takes on its ordinary meaning. The CCH Macquarie Business Dictionary defines 'deposit' as:

a sum of money placed into an account with a financial institution. Deposits can range in maturity from a deposit in a passbook account, able to be withdrawn on demand (or on call), to a deposit made for a fixed period of time.³

20. As such, the term, 'taking of deposits at interest' refers to the receipt of a sum of money into an account by a financial institution which pays interest thereon. In the above dictionary definition the term 'financial institution' is used in its ordinary sense, as an institution authorised under a regulatory regime to take deposits, rather than in the defined sense used in the Conventions. For the purposes of this Ruling, the enterprise must be authorised under the regulatory regime of either the US or the UK, to take sums of money to be placed in an account.

21. Where a US or UK resident is authorised to take deposits, it can take deposits at interest from any source, including from a related party (on commercial terms).

³ The CCH Macquarie Business Dictionary, CCH Australia Limited, Sydney, 1993, p 168.

Status: **legally binding**

Using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance

22. The term 'providing finance' takes on its ordinary meaning and in the Macquarie Dictionary is defined as:

3. to supply with means of payment; provide capital for; obtain or furnish credit for.⁴

23. The meaning of *finance* in 'providing finance' is broader than 'debt finance'. While it includes those financial instruments that meet the meaning of debt finance, it is not limited to the provision of funds for which the lender receives a return that is non-contingent in nature. Rather, a provision of finance entails the supply or provision of funds or assets with an obligation (either contingent or non-contingent) on the recipient to return these funds or assets in the future.

24. While various financing arrangements may constitute the provision of finance within the meaning of the term, such financing arrangements must generate income in the form of interest (within the meaning of Article 11(5)) for the enterprise to be entitled to an exemption under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions.

25. The activities of providing finance must also be undertaken in such manner that a US or UK resident is considered to be carrying on a business of providing finance.

Substantially deriving its profits

26. The term 'substantially deriving its profits' means that the activities of raising debt finance in the financial markets or taking deposits at interest and using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance, needs to constitute the US or UK resident's main business activity.

27. These activities constitute the main business activity of the US or UK resident if such activity, when compared to all other activities combined, is the main contributor to the overall profit of the US or UK resident. 'Profit' in this context can be measured according to a range of acceptable accounting indicators, including gross profit, net operating income or operating profit. The calculation of operating income or operating profit should take into account direct expenses and overhead costs in accordance with accounting principles. It should also be measured on the same accounting basis over a reasonable period to ascertain whether the spread activity is consistently the main activity of the enterprise.

28. Where financial institutions, resident in the US or UK, provide finance to an Australian resident through a permanent establishment in a third country it would be necessary to consider the entire activities of the US or UK resident, rather than only the activities undertaken through the permanent establishment, to determine whether it is substantially deriving its profits from its spread activities.

PART B: additional conditions for a financial institution to meet to determine whether it will be subject to tax on its interest income arising in Australia

Whether the US or UK financial institution is unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with, the payer of the interest

29. For the purposes of Article 11(3)(b), the US or UK resident must be both unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with the Australian payer.

30. The term 'unrelated' means that there is no ownership or control based relationship between the payer of the interest and the financial institution, under which one party is able

⁴ The Macquarie Dictionary, Second Edition, The Macquarie Library, New South Wales, 1992, p 649.

Status: **legally binding**

to exert sufficient influence over the activities of the other party. In this regard, the term 'sufficient influence' takes its meaning from section 318 of the ITAA 1936. Essentially, an entity will be sufficiently influenced by another entity where that entity has 'influence, because of obligation or custom, over a company or its directors to direct the actions of the company either directly or through interposed entities'.⁵

31. In determining whether the parties will be regarded as dealing wholly independently with each other, an arm's length test is applied to ascertain whether the transaction has taken place on normal, open market, commercial terms. In relation to the arm's length requirements, paragraphs 4, 23 and 24 of Taxation Ruling TR 2002/2 *Income tax: meaning of "Arm's Length" for the purpose of subsection 47A(7) of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936 (ITAA 1936) dividend deeming provisions* provide guidance.

Whether the interest is effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident

32. In cases where interest is paid by an Australian borrower to a permanent establishment in Australia of the financial institution, and the indebtedness in respect of which the interest is paid is effectively connected with that permanent establishment, Article 11(6) of the Conventions specifies that the provisions of Article 7 (Business Profits) will apply. Notwithstanding that the US or UK resident may be a financial institution, the interest arising in Australia will be taxable in Australia under Article 7 of the Conventions.

Whether the interest is paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans

33. The effect of Article 11(4) is that where a back-to-back loan arrangement involving related party or other debt is structured through a US or UK financial institution, Article 11(3) will not apply.

Commissioner of Taxation

16 March 2005

⁵ Explanatory Memorandum to the Taxation Laws Amendment (Foreign Income) Bill 1990, page 205. In addition refer to the meaning of 'sufficiently influenced' in paragraph 318(6)(b) of the ITAA 1936.

Status: **not legally binding**

Appendix 1 – Explanation

ⓘ *This Explanation is provided as information to help you understand how the Commissioner's view has been reached. It does not form part of the binding public ruling.*

Background

34. Australia has a taxing right under Article 11(2) of the Conventions in respect of interest payments that arise in Australia to which a US or UK resident is beneficially entitled to, or beneficially owns.

35. Australia, however, will not tax interest payments made to US or UK residents that are:

- financial institutions;
- unrelated to the interest payer; or
- dealing wholly independently with the payer of the interest,

and the interest received is:

- not paid as part of a 'back to back' arrangement; and
- is not effectively connected with a permanent establishment in Australia of the US or UK resident.

36. It is the US or UK resident that is beneficially entitled to the interest that must meet the requirements of the Article. The term 'resident' in Article 11 derives its meaning from Articles 1, 3 and 4 of the Conventions. The effect of the definition of 'resident' in the Conventions in the case of corporate groups is that it refers to a particular company within the company group. As a corporate group is not a resident for the purposes of Article 11, the attributes of that Article cannot apply to it. Rather, it is the particular company that is beneficially entitled to the interest that must meet the requirements of Article 11(3), including the requirement to be a financial institution.

37. This Ruling focuses on whether the US or UK resident will be classified as a financial institution and addresses the additional conditions that this US or UK resident must meet if it is not to be subject to tax on interest payments arising in Australia. These aspects are addressed in Parts A and B of this Ruling respectively.

PART A: ascertaining whether the US or UK resident will be classified as a financial institution under Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions

38. The definition of 'financial institution' has been defined in Article 11(3)(b) of the Conventions as follows:

...For the purposes of this Article, the term 'financial institution' means a bank or other enterprise substantially deriving its profits by raising debt finance in the financial markets or by taking deposits at interest and using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance.⁶

⁶ Article 11(3)(b) of the *Convention between the government of Australia and the government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for the avoidance of double taxation and the prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income and on capital gains* [2003] ATS 22; Article 11(3)(b) of the *Convention between the government of Australia and the government of the United States of America for the avoidance of double taxation and the prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income* [1983] ATS 16.

Status: **not legally binding**

The meaning of undefined terms within the definition of financial institution

39. The definition of a 'financial institution' contains a number of terms that are not defined in the Conventions. These include:

- 'bank';
- 'raising debt finance in the financial markets';
- 'taking deposits at interest';
- 'providing finance'; and
- 'substantially deriving its profits'.

40. Article 3(3) of the UK Convention states:

As regards the application of this Convention at any time by a Contracting State, any term not defined therein shall, unless the context otherwise requires, have the meaning that it has at that time under the laws of that State for the purposes of the taxes to which this Convention applies, any meaning under the applicable tax laws of that State prevailing over a meaning given to the term under other laws of that State.

41. Article 3(2) of the US Convention similarly provides that where a term is not defined in the Convention it takes on the meaning it has under the domestic tax law of the country applying the Convention unless the context otherwise requires.

42. Notwithstanding the different wording in Article 3(2) of the US Convention compared with Article 3(3) of the UK Convention, it is considered that there is no substantive difference in the application and operation of the General Definitions Article in both Conventions as it relates to undefined terms.

43. Paragraphs 63 to 76 of Taxation Ruling TR 2001/13 *Income tax: Interpreting Australia's Double Tax Agreements* sets out the Commissioner's approach to the interpretation of undefined terms in a treaty. This approach is relied upon in this Ruling to provide meaning to the undefined terms referred to in paragraph 39 of this Ruling.

Banks

44. Some uncertainty has arisen as to whether the definition requires a 'bank' to meet all the elements of the definition in order to be a financial institution.


45. The drafting of the definition could allow two interpretations. A literal interpretation may suggest that both 'banks' and 'other enterprises' must substantially derive their profits by either taking deposits at interest or raising debt finance in the financial markets, and using these funds to carry on a business of providing finance in order to qualify as a financial institution.

46. Alternatively, the word 'bank' may be read in isolation from the rest of the definition such that a 'bank', as defined, qualifies as a financial institution.

47. The specific reference to banks within the definition allows these entities to be distinguished from other enterprises that are not banks. The Commissioner therefore considers the latter to be the better view. Accordingly, there are two categories of financial institutions: US or UK residents that are banks, and US or UK residents that are other enterprises. This is represented in Diagram 1 of this Ruling.

Status: **not legally binding**

Diagram 1: Categories of financial institutions



48. As such, the requirements of substantially deriving profits, raising funds and carrying on a business of providing finance are only applicable to US or UK residents that are 'other enterprises'.

The meaning of the term 'bank'

49. Article 11 of the Conventions does not define the word 'bank', nor is it defined elsewhere in the Conventions.

50. Article 3(2) of the US Convention and Article 3(3) of the UK Convention indicate that Australia's domestic law meaning of the term bank should apply unless the context requires otherwise.

51. For a bank to operate in Australia it must comply with the *Banking Act 1959*.⁷ Although this Act establishes the legal framework for banks operating in Australia, it does not contain a definition of a bank. The term 'authorised deposit taking institution' (ADI) is used instead.

⁷ Section 8 of the *Banking Act 1959*.

Status: **not legally binding**

52. An ADI is defined as a body corporate that has been granted an authority to carry on a 'banking business' in Australia.⁸ This authority is granted by the Australian Prudential Regulatory Authority (APRA).⁹ A 'banking business' consists of 'both taking deposits (other than as part-payment for identified goods or services) and making advances'.¹⁰

53. All ADIs are permitted to use the word 'bank'¹¹ unless APRA has made a written determination prohibiting them from doing so.¹² It is only these entities that are considered to be banks under Australian law.

54. [Omitted.]

55. It is apparent from the above analysis, that when determining the liability for Australian tax, a meaning of the term bank that is limited to Australia's domestic law meaning¹³ will not be directly applicable to US or UK residents that operate from the US or the UK respectively. Rather, as the Article is intended to apply to residents of the US or the UK, the context requires that the term bank must allow these US or UK residents to undertake their banking business in their country of tax residence.

56. While there are differences between the jurisdictions, US or UK residents classified as banks in the US and the UK have similar regulatory requirements to Australian banks.

57. The banks in these jurisdictions must comply with their domestic regulatory requirements and, where applicable, satisfy any capital adequacy standards that distinguish them from other types of financial institutions. For example, both banks and building societies may be authorised to take deposits. However, to be classified as a bank, the US or UK resident may have to satisfy higher capital adequacy requirements.

58. Having regard to both Australia's domestic law meaning and the treaties' context in paragraph 55 of this Ruling, it is the Commissioner's view that the term 'bank' means residents of the US or UK that:

- are authorised or licensed to carry on a banking business (that is, to take deposits and make advances) in either the US or the UK where they are resident respectively; and
- where there are higher capital adequacy requirements in either the US or UK that distinguish banks from other categories of deposit taking institutions, then these higher requirements must be satisfied.

59. US or UK residents that operate as credit unions, building societies, savings banks or saving and loans institutions in the US and UK have lower capital adequacy requirements than those required of commercial banks. Accordingly, these US or UK residents are unlikely to satisfy the meaning of the term 'bank' for the purposes of the Conventions.¹⁴

60. Where a US or UK resident is part of a corporate group, and another company in this group meets the requirements in paragraph 58 of this Ruling, the US or UK resident will not

⁸ Section 5 and subsection 9(3) of the *Banking Act 1959*.

⁹ APRA is the prudential regulator of banks, insurance companies, superannuation funds, credit unions, building societies and friendly societies in Australia.

¹⁰ Section 5 of the *Banking Act 1959* and *Commissioners of the State Savings Bank of Victoria v Permewan, Wright and Company Ltd* [1914] HCA 83; 19 CLR 457 at pages 470–471; *Melbourne v Commonwealth* [1947] HCA 26; 74 CLR 31 per Latham CJ at page 63, per Rich J at pages 64–65 and per Starke J at page 69; *Bank of NSW v Commonwealth* [1948] HCA 7; 76 CLR 1, per Latham CJ at pages 193–194; *Australian Independent Distributors Ltd v Winter* [1964] HCA 78; (1964) 112 CLR 443 at pages 454–455.

¹¹ Section 66 of the *Banking Act 1959*.

¹² Section 66AA of the *Banking Act 1959*.

¹³ See paragraph 49 of this Ruling.

¹⁴ Refer to paragraph 64 of this Ruling as these US or UK residents will still be treated as financial institutions.

Status: **not legally binding**

be considered to be a bank for the purposes of the Convention unless it also satisfies these requirements.

61. The Commissioner considers that UK residents that appear on the list of banks published by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority¹⁵ will constitute a bank for the purposes of Article 11(3)(b) of the UK Convention.

Other enterprises

62. The second part of the definition of financial institution relates to other enterprises and contains a number of undefined terms.

63. Other enterprises are required to substantially derive their profits by raising debt finance in the financial markets or by taking deposits at interest and using those funds in carrying on a business of the provision of finance. For convenience, the Ruling refers to the undertaking of these activities as the enterprise's 'spread activities'.

64. As noted in paragraph 59, while US or UK residents that operate as credit unions, building societies, savings banks or saving and loans institutions, are unlikely to satisfy the meaning of the term 'bank' for the purposes of the Conventions, the Commissioner however, considers that these US or UK residents would still meet the definition of financial institution as they would satisfy the requirements for 'other enterprises'.

The meaning of the term 'raising debt finance in the financial markets'

65. In examining the meaning of 'raising debt finance in the financial markets', it is clear that the inclusion of the word 'debt' refers to a particular type of finance raising. Therefore, a traditional loan of funds from the financial markets would be a form of raising debt finance, while the raising of finance through an issue of ordinary shares to the public, being a form of equity financing, would not.

66. It will not always be apparent from the nature of modern financing arrangements whether certain arrangements constitute one of raising debt or equity finance. With the development of innovative financial products as a means of raising finance, the traditional legal boundaries to distinguish 'debt' from 'equity' are no longer appropriate in this context.

67. There is no definition of the term 'debt finance' in the Conventions, nor is the term specifically used in Australia's tax law. Division 974 of the ITAA 1997 does, however, distinguish debt from equity. An object of this Division is to establish a test to determine whether an arrangement gives rise to a debt interest or an equity interest in order to discern what amounts are deductible from amounts that may be frankable.¹⁶ This approach has regard to the economic substance of the rights and obligations arising under a financing arrangement, rather than the mere legal form.¹⁷ It recognises that the basic indicator of the economic character of a debt is the non-contingent nature of the returns.¹⁸ The Division distinguishes debt from equity interests by focusing on the single organising principle – the effective obligation of an issuer to return to the investor an amount at least equal to the amount invested.¹⁹ In applying the test the Division requires an 'effectively non-contingent obligation', a term defined in section 974-135.

¹⁵ See the historical PRA-regulated bank list published by the Bank of England at [Which firms do we regulate?](#)

¹⁶ Note 1 to subsection 974-10(1) of the ITAA 1997

¹⁷ Subsection 974-10(2) of the ITAA 1997

¹⁸ Note 1 to subsection 974-10(2) of the ITAA 1997.

¹⁹ Paragraph 1.9 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the New Business Tax System (Debt and Equity) Bill 2001.

Status: **not legally binding**

68. It is considered that the approach used in Division 974 to distinguish between debt and equity, based on the economic substance of the rights and obligations in question, should be applied to the meaning to be given to 'debt finance' in the Conventions.

69. The Commissioner does not require the US or UK resident to satisfy all of the requirements of Division 974 (for example, there is no requirement that the scheme is a financing arrangement under section 974-130). Rather it is consistent with the context of the Conventions to utilise the economic principle underpinning that Division in interpreting this term. Therefore, where it can be concluded that the raising of funds results in an effectively non-contingent obligation, as defined in section 974-135 of the ITAA 1997, to provide an amount at least equal to the amount received, this will constitute 'raising debt finance' for the purposes of the Conventions.

70. For example, under security lending arrangements and repurchase agreements an enterprise may sell securities with an effectively non-contingent obligation to purchase those securities back at a later date at a higher price reflecting an imputed interest rate. A so-called buy-sell agreement (being a form of a repurchase agreement) has the same economic effect. Similarly, an enterprise which receives cash collateral under a securities lending transaction is obliged to repay the cash amount at a later date.

71. The Commissioner considers that such means of financing are within the meaning of raising debt finance. These activities are consistent with the context of Article 11(3)(b) which is to include within the definition of raising debt finance those arrangements that in economic substance are akin to a loan.

72. The meaning of 'debt finance' also needs to be viewed in the context of it being raised in the 'financial markets'. The term 'financial markets' in the composite expression 'raising debt finance in the financial markets' takes on its ordinary commercial meaning. It means a facility through which:

- offers to acquire or dispose of debt finance products are regularly made or accepted (including offering loans); or
- offers and invitations are regularly made to acquire or dispose of debt finance products that are intended to result or may reasonably be expected to result in the making (or acceptance) of offers to acquire or dispose of such debt finance products (including offering loans).

73. This definition includes all forms of loan financing through recognised entities that form part of the retail financial market (that is, depository institutions and finance companies). It also includes the raising of debt finance in the wholesale financial markets through which debt finance products such as notes and bonds are issued.

Corporate groups

74. An issue that has arisen is whether the enterprise that raises its debt finance from a related party within a corporate group is considered to be raising 'debt finance in the financial markets'. The key question here is whether the related party forms part of the 'financial markets'. If so, the related party borrowing will still qualify as raising debt finance in the financial markets.

75. For the related party lender to form part of the financial markets it needs to show that it regularly provides finance to the public as a financier. Where the enterprise raises funds from a related party that regularly provides finance to the public as a financier, the enterprise will be taken to have raised its debt finance in the financial markets if it raises such funds on normal commercial terms.

Status: **not legally binding**

76. On the other hand, where the enterprise raises its debt finance from a corporate treasury or group financier that does not regularly provide finance to the public, the enterprise will not be taken to have raised its debt finance in the financial markets.

The use of special purpose vehicles

77. Another issue is whether an enterprise that provides finance (and therefore is the beneficial owner of the interest) and indirectly raises its debt finance by using a special purpose vehicle, is considered to be raising its debt finance in the financial markets.

78. In these circumstances, it could be argued that the enterprise, to which the definition is being applied, is not raising debt finance in the financial markets because it raises its finance from the special purpose vehicle, not the financial markets.

79. However, where it can be shown that the special purpose vehicle that is used by the enterprise to raise debt finance in the financial markets:

- is established for the sole or principal purpose of acquiring the debt finance in the financial markets on behalf of the enterprise; and
- is, in substance, merely a conduit for the financing transaction between the enterprise and the financial markets (for the special purpose subsidiary to be treated as a mere conduit, it must be shown that the full economic effect of the financing arrangement flows through to the enterprise),

then the enterprise will be taken to have 'raised debt finance in the financial markets'.

80. This approach reflects the context, object and purpose of Article 11(3) which is to exclude US and UK financial institutions from being subject to Australian tax on interest arising in Australia where they can show that they are substantially operating on the profit margin between the cost of funds and the income from the use of such funds in providing finance. This will substantively be the case where the financial institution uses a conduit that it fully owns and controls to raise the debt finance on its behalf in the financial markets.

81. An enterprise that raises its debt finance from the corporate treasury in its group is unlikely to satisfy the requirements in paragraph 79 in this Ruling as a corporate treasury is not considered to be a mere conduit.

The meaning of the term 'taking deposits at interest'

82. The phrase 'taking deposits at interest' is not defined in the Conventions.

83. The term 'interest' is defined in Article 11(5). While the term has a wide meaning, its scope is more limited when used in the context of taking deposits at interest.

84. The Macquarie Business Dictionary defines 'deposit' as:

a sum of money placed into an account with a financial institution. Deposits can range in maturity from a deposit in a passbook account, able to be withdrawn on demand (or on call), to a deposit made for a fixed period of time.²⁰

85. The definition indicates that to take deposits at interest a sum of money must be placed in an account with an enterprise that is authorised under a regulatory regime (such as APRA in the case of Australia) to take deposits at interest. This distinguishes a deposit from a mere loan.

²⁰ The CCH Macquarie Business Dictionary, CCH Australia Limited, Sydney, 1993, p 168.

Status: **not legally binding**

86. Therefore, where the enterprise accepts funds placed with it from a related party within a company group, such funds will not be considered to be 'taking deposits at interest', unless the enterprise is authorised as a depository institution. Once an enterprise is so authorised to take deposits, it is accepted that the deposits can be received from any source, including from a related party (on commercial terms).

87. This interpretation is consistent with the intent of the Convention which recognises that enterprises other than banks, such as building societies and saving and loan associations, may also raise their funds by taking deposits at interest from the public.

The meaning of the term 'using those funds in carrying on a business of providing finance'

88. The Conventions require that the funds raised by debt finance or by taking deposits must be *used* to carry on a business of providing finance. This indicates that there must be a connection between the provision of finance and the raising of funds in the required manner. The requirement of *using those funds* will be satisfied where these activities are undertaken concurrently in carrying on a business.

89. The term 'providing finance' in the definition of financial institution is not qualified by stating whether this must be undertaken through debt or equity. The ordinary meaning of the term 'finance' as defined in Macquarie Dictionary is quite wide. It relevantly states:

3. to supply with means of payment; provide capital for; obtain or furnish credit for.²¹

90. The Commissioner considers that the non-resident may provide both debt finance and equity finance. Accordingly, the provision of finance entails the supply or provision of funds or assets with an obligation (either contingent or non-contingent) on the recipient to return the funds or assets in the future.

91. The definition of 'providing finance' is broader than the traditional lending of funds. For example, providing cash collateral under a securities lending arrangement, the purchase of securities under a repurchase agreement (where the seller of the securities has a non-contingent obligation to repurchase them, or identical securities, at a later date at a higher price reflecting an imputed interest rate) or the purchase of redeemable preference shares would all constitute the provision of finance.

92. Furthermore, the leasing of an asset under a finance lease, or the lending of a security under a security lending arrangement may also constitute the provision of finance under the Conventions where there is an obligation to return those assets or securities at a later date.

92A. Financial advisory services provided by an enterprise would not constitute the provision of finance as no funds or assets are provided by the enterprise. To be the provision of finance the activities must, at the time, involve the supply of funds or assets to a recipient. An arrangement, where finance may be provided if a contingent event happens, is itself not the provision of finance. For example, underwriting is not the provision of finance as, at the time the underwriting takes place, no funds or assets are provided by the enterprise. If the contingencies to which the underwriting pertains occur, and it is necessary to provide funds, then this may constitute the provision of finance at that time. However, it is then only that part of the arrangement that would constitute the provision of finance; it is not the whole arrangement. The characterisation of the original underwriting does not change.

²¹ The Macquarie Dictionary, Second Edition, The Macquarie Library, New South Wales, 1992, p 649.

Status: **not legally binding**

93. A US or UK resident share trader who may sell securities to an Australian resident would also not be providing finance as there is no obligation on the recipient to return these shares.

93A. An arrangement involving a US or UK resident entity making an upfront payment to another entity, for the right to collect receivables from third parties where they have no recourse to that entity for non-payment of the receivables, would not constitute the provision of finance. This is because the US or UK resident entity has not provided any funds or assets to the third parties as part of the arrangement.

94. It should be noted that while certain financing transactions may constitute the 'provision of finance', for the enterprise to benefit from Article 11, these financing transactions must generate payments in the form of interest under Article 11(5) of the Conventions.

95. The definition also requires the enterprise to use these funds in carrying on a business of providing finance. Whether an enterprise is 'carrying on a business of providing finance' is a question of fact and would need to be considered in the light of the general principles relevant to this question.

95A. While the indicia of carrying on a business set out in the case law are relevant to companies, companies are typically formed for the purpose of carrying on a business.^{21A} In *Inland Revenue Commissioners v Westleigh Estates Co Ltd* [1924] 1 KB 390 (*Westleigh*) and *American Leaf Blending Co Sdn Bhd v Director-General of Inland Revenue* [1979] AC 676 (*American Leaf*), it was observed that where a company aims to make, and has a prospect of, profit, it is presumed that the company intends to, and does in fact, carry on a business. In *American Leaf*, Diplock LJ observed that this means any gainful use to which a company puts its assets will, on its face, amount to the carrying on of a business. However, this presumption can be rebutted if it can be shown that, on the facts, the company had no aim or prospect of making a profit.^{21B}

95B. Although there is a presumption that certain companies carry on a business, it is necessary to determine whether the presumption is maintained or rebutted. This requires consideration of the specific circumstances of, and activities carried out by, the company having regard to the indicia of carrying on a business. A range of indicia are relevant in determining whether a business is carried on, including:

- whether the person intends to carry on a business;
- the nature of the activities, particularly whether they have a profit-making purpose;
- whether the activities are:
 - repeated and regular;
 - organised in a business-like manner, including the keeping of books, records and the use of a system;
- the size and scale of the activities including the amount of capital employed in them; and
- whether the activity is better described as a hobby, or recreation.

^{21A} *Brookton Co-operative Society Ltd v Commissioner of Taxation (Cth)* [1981] HCA 28, per Aickin J.

^{21B} *Westleigh* at [408-409], per Pollock MR; *Spassked Pty Limited v Commissioner of Taxation* [2003] FCAFC 282.

Status: **not legally binding**

95C. These indicia are further discussed in the following rulings and the approaches outlined in these rulings are considered applicable in the context of determining whether the US or UK resident is carrying on a business of providing finance:

- Taxation Ruling TR 2019/1 *Income tax: when does a company carry on a business?*
- Taxation Ruling TR 97/11 *Income tax: am I carrying on a business of primary production?*

The meaning of the term ‘substantially deriving its profits’

96. An enterprise is required to be substantially deriving its profits from carrying on a business of ‘spread activities’ (see paragraph 63 of this Ruling).

97. In *Commissioner for Superannuation v Scott, F.O.* [1987] FCA 98, the meaning of ‘substantially’ was interpreted when the Court decided whether the respondent was wholly or substantially dependent upon her husband at the time of his death. In this case, the juxtaposition of the word ‘wholly’ influenced the Courts’ decision that:

the meaning, in relation to a person in the expression “wholly or substantially dependent”, [is] that that person is primarily, essentially or in the main dependent upon another person.²²

98. In the case of *Deputy Commissioner of Taxation of the Commonwealth of Australia v Comcorp Australia Ltd & Ors* [1996] FCA 848; (1996) 70 FCR 356 at [395], the Federal Court examined the issue of whether a person substantially complied with a provision of a deed. Carr J decided that in this instance ‘substantially’ involved a degree of compliance and was used in a relative sense rather than in an absolute sense.²³ The meaning of ‘substantially’ is therefore different to what may be considered ‘substantial’.

99. In considering these cases, the Commissioner is of the view that when the word ‘substantially’ is used in the context of an enterprise substantially deriving its profits from its ‘spread activities’, it is also used in a relative sense. The relevant term ‘substantially’ when used in conjunction with ‘deriving profits’, requires that the main source of the enterprise’s profits be derived from its business of undertaking ‘spread activities’.

100. This means that while the ‘spread activities’ need not be the sole activity of the enterprise, it will need to constitute its main activity when compared to all other activities combined that it undertakes in terms of its contribution to the enterprise’s overall profits.

100A. The ‘spread activities’ will constitute the main business activity if, when compared with all the other activities carried on by the enterprise, they are the main contributor to the enterprises’ overall profits. This involves determination of the extent to which the ‘spread activities’ are the source or generator of the enterprise’s overall profits.

100B. Whether an enterprise substantially derives its profits from the ‘spread activities’ is not by its nature a bright-line test. The question of whether the spread activities are the main contributor to the enterprise’s profits requires consideration of the relationship between the spread activities and the enterprise’s profits. This includes the extent to which underlying economic factors impact on the profitability of the spread activities over a reasonable period of time. However, where the spread activities are the source of 50% or more of the overall accounting profits, this is a strong indicator that the enterprise substantially derives its profits from the spread activities (refer to paragraph 103 of this

²² (1987) 71 ALR 408 at [413]; [1987] FCA 98 at [14].

²³ 70 FCR 356 at [395].

Status: **not legally binding**

Ruling in regard to situations where an enterprise is deriving less than 50% of its profits from spread activities).

100C. The text, purpose and context of Article 11(3)(b) shows that it is intended to be limited to enterprises that, at the very least, are focused on and operate largely in the banking and finance industry. It is not an exemption that should provide benefits to enterprises who are not in the banking and finance industry, or only participate in it in a small or non-substantial way.

101. 'Profits' in this context takes on its accounting meaning. Thus, 'profits' can be measured according to a range of acceptable accounting indicators of profits, including gross profit, net operating income or operating profit. The calculation of operating income or operating profit should take into account direct expenses and overhead costs in accordance with accounting principles.

102. The Commissioner also recognises that the amount of profits that an enterprise generates will fluctuate from year to year. As such, the enterprise's profits should be evaluated on the same accounting basis over a reasonable period of time in relation to each business activity to ascertain whether the main source is from its 'spread activities'.

103. For example, a merchant bank that obtains its profits from both fees and from its spread activities will need to demonstrate that the profits from its spread activities are the main contributor to the enterprise's profits. It is accepted that in a particular year its spread activities may suffer a downturn in profitability. However, despite the profit result in that particular year, an enterprise may be a financial institution if due consideration shows that its main source of profits over a 5-year period is from spread activities. This would take into account the trend of the enterprise's accounting profits and the underlying economic factors that have led this to occur. The factors may include, but are not limited to, movements in any of the following:

- loan balances outstanding;
- bad debts;
- foreign exchange rates;
- numbers of full-time equivalent employees involved;
- technological costs; and
- profit forecasts.

104. It has been suggested that the view adopted in this Ruling may differ from that provided by the United States in their Technical Explanation.²⁴ The United States Technical Explanation notes that where investment banks, brokers and commercial finance companies obtain their funds by borrowing from the public, they will be considered to be financial institutions.²⁵

105. The Commissioner notes that these types of entities may be classified as financial institutions where they meet the requirements of the definition. However, for this to occur, it is necessary that these entities substantially derive their profits from their spread activities.

²⁴ See discussion of the interpretative value of Technical Explanations in paragraph 125 of TR 2001/13 *Income tax: Interpreting Australia's Double Tax Agreements*.

²⁵ *Department of the Treasury Technical Explanation of the Protocol between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Australia signed at Canberra on September 27, 2001, Amending the Convention between the United States of America and the Government of Australia with respect to taxes on income signed at Sydney on August 6, 1982, Article 7 paragraph 3.*

Status: **not legally binding**

106. Where financial institutions, resident in the US or UK, provide finance to an Australian resident through a permanent establishment in a third country, it is necessary to consider the entire activities of the US or UK resident, rather than just the activities undertaken through the permanent establishment, to determine whether it is substantially deriving its profits from its spread activities.

PART B: additional conditions for a financial institution to meet to determine whether it will be subject to tax on its interest income arising in Australia

Whether the US or UK financial institution is unrelated to, and dealing wholly independently with, the payer of the interest

107. Article 11(3)(b) requires that the US or UK financial institution must be unrelated to and dealing wholly independently with the Australian payer if the interest is not to be subject to Australian tax.

108. This requirement has two elements, both of which must be satisfied. The financial institution must be unrelated to the payer, and must deal wholly independently with the payer. These elements are both undefined in the Conventions.

Unrelated

109. Given that the term 'unrelated' is not defined in the Conventions, it takes its meaning from the context in which it appears in the Conventions. As the term 'unrelated' is used in conjunction with the additional requirement for the financial institution to deal wholly independently with the payer, this suggests that the meaning of the term 'unrelated' is influenced by these other words in the Article. It is therefore not limited to a literal interpretation whereby even a minimal ownership interest would connote that the parties are related. Furthermore, the Explanatory Memoranda to the Conventions indicate that the intention of the Article is to align the treatment of interest paid to US and UK financial institutions with the domestic interest withholding tax exemption currently available under section 128F of the ITAA 1936.²⁶

110. The section 128F exemption from interest withholding tax does not rely on the term 'unrelated' in determining its application. Rather, it precludes interest paid to associates pursuant to subsection 128F(6) from being subject to the withholding tax exemption. The term 'associate' is defined in subsection 128F(9) and has the meaning given by section 318, subject to certain modifications. In relation to section 318, an entity will be an associate of a company where, amongst other things, the company is 'sufficiently influenced'²⁷ by that other entity.

111. In this regard, as indicated in the Explanatory Memorandum introducing section 318, an entity will be sufficiently influenced by another entity where that entity has 'influence, because of obligation or custom, over a company or its directors to direct the actions of the company either directly or through interposed entities'.²⁸

112. Therefore, the requirement of being 'unrelated' is contextually similar to a non-associate relationship whereby the relationship is not capable of affecting the dealings between the financial institution and the payer. Taking this factor into account, the Commissioner considers that a financial institution will be unrelated to the interest payer

²⁶ Paragraph 1.31 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the International Tax Agreements Amendment Bill 2003, Chapter 1: The 2003 United Kingdom Convention.

²⁷ Refer to subparagraph 318(1)(e)(i) of the ITAA 1936.

²⁸ Paragraph 205 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the Taxation Laws Amendment (Foreign Income) Bill 1990. In addition refer to the meaning of 'sufficiently influenced' in paragraph 318(6)(b) of the ITAA 1936.

Status: **not legally binding**

where, in considering the level of participation in the ownership or control of either the financial institution or the Australian payer by the other party, it can be concluded that neither party is able to exert sufficient influence over the other party. As such, this test is aligned with the approach adopted in section 128F that excludes from the exemption, debentures acquired by an associate.²⁹

113. For example, Company A that has a portfolio interest in the shareholding of Company B (and no other means of controlling Company B) will be treated as being unrelated for the purposes of the Article 11. The ownership interest is such that Company A will not be able to sufficiently influence the activities of Company B.

114. In a similar manner, redeemable preference shares (RPS) usually contain restricted voting and profit participation rights and are often used as a form of finance, being in substance economically similar to a loan. In such cases, where the holder of the RPS ordinarily has limited power to direct the activities of the company in general meetings and no other factors exist affecting the relationship, it would be reasonable to conclude that the RPS holder does not sufficiently influence the issuing entity so that the parties are treated as being unrelated.

Dealing wholly independently with the payer

115. Even if the parties are unrelated to each other it is still necessary that the parties are dealing with each other wholly independently. As noted at paragraph 108 of this Ruling, the term, 'dealing wholly independently with the payer' is undefined in the Conventions. The term, however, is used within Article 9 of both Conventions to determine whether enterprises are associated enterprises.

116. The Explanatory Memorandum for Article 9 of the UK Convention states the following:

Consistent with Australia's modern treaty practice, the inclusion of the expression 'dealing wholly independently with one another' in paragraph 1 recognises dealings on a truly independent basis as the appropriate benchmark for determining whether the transactions have taken place on normal, open market commercial terms.³⁰

117. In determining whether a transaction has taken place on normal, open market commercial terms, an arm's length test is applied. The Commissioner is of the view that for the purposes of Article 11 it is also necessary to examine whether the Australian payer and the financial institution operate on an arm's length basis.

118. TR 2002/2 examines the meaning of 'arm's length' for the purpose of subsection 47A(7) of the ITAA 1936. Paragraph 4 of that Ruling states that:

Whether a loan satisfies the arm's length test will ultimately be determined by reference to the facts of each particular case and the outcome that might have been expected to arise between independent parties in comparable circumstances.

119. The Commissioner is of the view that TR 2002/2, in particular paragraphs 4, 23 and 24, may be relied upon to determine whether parties are acting independently with each other for the purposes of Article 11.

120. If a financial institution is unrelated to the payer of interest, but is not dealing wholly independently with the payer then the exemption from interest withholding tax will not apply. For example, if enterprises enter into two or more transactions that in total may

²⁹ Subsections 128F(6) and (9) of the ITAA 1936.

³⁰ Paragraph 1.31 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the International Tax Agreements Amendment Bill 2003, Chapter 1: The 2003 United Kingdom Convention.

Status: **not legally binding**

reflect an arm's length dealing, but are not individually arm's length transactions, then the parties would not be regarded as dealing with each other wholly independently.³¹

121. In some circumstances Australian resident entities will be borrowing from a UK or US financial institution and may also receive financial or credit support from their foreign parent entity (for example, parent guarantees in relation to the loan). In the context of the Conventions the mere existence of credit support, does not, of itself, mean that the financial institution and the Australian borrower are not dealing wholly independently with each other. Rather, having regard to the totality of the arrangement, credit support, or other forms of parent guarantees, are simply some of the factors that are taken into account in ascertaining whether the loan is one which would arise between parties dealing wholly independently with each other.

Whether the interest is effectively connected with a permanent establishment of the beneficial owner in the country in which the interest arises

122. The Explanatory Memorandum to the UK Convention states that:

Interest derived by a resident of one country which is paid in respect of an indebtedness which is effectively connected with a permanent establishment of that person in the other country, will form part of the business profits of that permanent establishment and be subject to the provisions of Article 7 (Business profits). Accordingly, the rate of limitation of 10% and the exemption for financial institutions do not apply to such interest in the country in which the interest is sourced.³²

123. In cases where interest is paid by an Australian borrower to a permanent establishment in Australia of the financial institution, and the indebtedness in respect of which the interest is paid is effectively connected with that permanent establishment, Article 11(6) of the Conventions specifies that the provisions of Article 7 (Business Profits) will apply. This interest will be taxable in Australia.

124. However, where US or UK residents provide finance, through a permanent establishment in a third country, to an Australian resident, the interest will not be taxable in Australia, providing they meet the definition of financial institution and satisfy the other conditions in the Article 11.

125. It is important to note that the permanent establishment is not a separate legal entity but rather the fixed place of business through which the enterprise carries on its business in the other jurisdiction. Consequently, the activities undertaken through the permanent establishment are being undertaken by the US or UK resident. It is therefore necessary to consider the entire activities of the US or UK resident against the criteria in Article 11 of the Conventions, including the activities undertaken through the permanent establishment, to determine whether the resident is a financial institution and whether the interest is taxable in Australia.

Whether the interest is paid as part of an arrangement involving 'back to back' loans

126. Article 11(4) of the UK Convention and Article 11(4)(a) of the US Convention state that:

Notwithstanding paragraph 3, interest referred to in subparagraph (b) of that paragraph may be taxed in the State in which it arises at a rate not exceeding 10 per cent of the gross amount

³¹ Refer to *Collis, Marion Elizabeth v Commissioner of Taxation of the Commonwealth of Australia*; *Collis, Stephen John v Commissioner of Taxation of the Commonwealth of Australia* [1996] FCA 733.

³² Paragraph 1.139 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the International Tax Agreements Amendment Bill 2003, Chapter 1: The 2003 United Kingdom.

Status: **not legally binding**

of the interest if the interest is paid as part of an arrangement involving back-to-back loans or other arrangement that is economically equivalent and intended to have a similar effect to back-to-back loans.

127. The aim of this provision is to prevent related party and other debt being structured through a financial institution to gain access to the withholding tax exemption. Due to the range of arrangements which may arise, it will be necessary to determine whether 'back to back' loans exist on a case by case basis.


128. This intent is reflected in the Australian Explanatory Memorandum for the UK Convention, which states:

The exemption will not be available for interest paid as part of an arrangement involving back-to-back loans or other arrangement that is economically equivalent and structured to have a similar effect. The denial of the exemption for these back-to-back loan type arrangements is directed at preventing related party and other debt from being structured through financial institutions to gain access to a withholding tax exemption. The exemption will only be denied for interest paid on the component of a loan that is considered to be back-to-back.³³

³³ Paragraph 1.33 of the Explanatory Memorandum to the International Tax Agreements Amendment Bill 2003, Chapter 1: The 2003 United Kingdom Convention.

Status: not legally binding

Appendix 2 – Examples

 ***This Appendix provides examples which illustrate the principles in the Ruling. Decisions on individual cases will depend on the overall circumstances of that case. Consequently, the conclusions reached in the following examples are not necessarily determinative of the Commissioner's views on cases with similar, but different, facts.***

Banks

Example 1

129. *Company A is a resident of the US under the US Convention. It has been granted its principal banking licence from the US Federal Depository Insurance Corporation to undertake banking activities in the US. In obtaining this licence, the company has satisfied the US capital adequacy requirements to be classified as a bank in the US.*

130. *As Company A has been granted its banking licence in the US and satisfies the United States' capital adequacy requirements to operate as a bank, it is considered to be a bank for the purposes of Article 11(3)(b) of the US Convention and as a consequence is a financial institution (see paragraphs 12 and 58 of this Ruling).*

Other enterprises

Example 2

131. *Company D is a resident of the UK. It raises its funds by issuing promissory notes and commercial bills to the public. It then uses these funds to provide finance leases.*

132. *These methods of raising funds are arrangements that are entered into that result in an effectively non-contingent obligation to provide an amount at least equal to the amount received. The issuing of promissory notes and commercial bills therefore constitute raising debt finance (see paragraphs 17 and 69 of this Ruling).*

133. *A finance lease is considered to be providing finance (see paragraphs 23 and 92 of this Ruling).*

134. *Company D would constitute a financial institution under the UK Convention.*

Substantially deriving profits

Example 3

135. *Company G is a resident of the US and is a subsidiary of a parent company that is a bank. Company G conducts an insurance business and does not hold a banking licence. Over a period of 3 years Company G, on average, derives 90% of its profits from insurance activities and 10% from the carrying on of spread activities.*

136. *Company G is not a financial institution as its main business activity does not involve undertaking spread activities but rather insurance activities (see paragraphs 26 to 27 and 99 to 102 of this Ruling). Although a subsidiary of a parent company that is a bank, Company G itself is not a bank, as defined.*

Status: **not legally binding**

Example 4

137. Over a period of 3 years, Company H has derived 40% of its profit from spread activities, and 60% of its profit from underwriting activities. Under the underwriting arrangement, Company H commits to provide a required level of financing over a certain period in return for a fee, but does not provide any funds or assets.

138. Company H is not a financial institution as its main business activity does not involve undertaking spread activities (only 40% of its profits) but rather underwriting activities. The income derived from the underwriting activities does not constitute income from spread activities as no funds or assets are provided by Company H (see paragraph 92A of this Ruling).

Example 4A

138A. Over a period of 5 years, Company P has derived 60% of its profit from spread activities (30% from lending activities, 20% from hire purchase activities and 10% from other finance leasing activities) and 40% of its profits from non-spread activities (35% from operating lease activities and 5% from underwriting activities).

138B. When compared to Company P's other activities (40%) over a reasonable time, its main business is from its spread activities (60%) and, as such, it is a financial institution (see paragraphs 26 to 27 and 99 to 102 of this Ruling). Although the operating lease activities produce the largest amount of profit when compared to each other activity carried on by Company P, the operating lease activities are not its main business as its combined spread activities produce greater profits than its other activities.

138C. If Company P's profit from its spread activities constitute less than half of its overall profits in a particular year, then it is necessary to carefully consider its situation on a case-by-case basis to examine whether it satisfies the test (see paragraph 103 of this Ruling).

Permanent establishment**Example 5**

139. Company L is a US resident and is classified as a financial institution under the US Convention and has a permanent establishment in Australia. Company L is beneficially entitled to interest that arises in Australia which relates to an indebtedness that is effectively connected to its permanent establishment in Australia.

140. Although Company L is beneficially entitled to the interest, the interest will be taxable in Australia on a net basis under the Business Profits Article (see paragraphs 32 and 123 of this Ruling).

Example 6

141. Company F is a US Bank for the purposes of the US Convention and has a branch in Singapore. The Singapore branch provides finance to an unrelated Australian company. The terms of the loan are considered to be at arm's length and the loan is not considered to be part of a back to back arrangement.

142. The interest paid to the Singapore branch by the Australian company will not be subject to tax in Australia as Company F is beneficially entitled to this interest and is a financial institution for purposes of the US Convention (see paragraph 124 of this Ruling).

Status: **not legally binding**

Unrelated**Example 7**

143. *Company X is a resident of the UK and is a Financial Institution under the UK Convention. Company X makes a loan to its wholly owned subsidiary, Company Y, in Australia.*

144. *Given Company X's ownership interests in Company Y, Company X is in a position to sufficiently influence the activities of Company Y. Company X and Company Y are therefore not unrelated for the purposes of the UK Convention (see paragraphs 30 and 112). The interest paid by Company Y to Company X will be subject to tax in Australia.*

Example 8

145. *Company M is an Australian resident that borrows funds from public Company N that is a resident of the UK (and a financial institution for the purposes of the UK Convention). Company M has a small portfolio shareholding in Company N.*

146. *Company M's participation in Company N's ownership will not sufficiently influence the activities of Company N. It is therefore treated as being unrelated for the purpose of the UK Convention (see paragraphs 30, 112 and 113 of this Ruling).*

Back to back arrangements**Example 9**

147. *Company K is a resident of Australia and is wholly owned by Company J, a resident of the UK. Company J wishes to lend funds to Company K to assist its Australian operations. Company J decides that, rather than providing funds directly to Company K (which would be subject to withholding tax), it makes an arrangement with a financial institution in the UK to avoid interest withholding tax. The arrangement involves providing funds to the financial institution, with the financial institution in turn on-lending these funds to Company K. As a result of this arrangement, Company K pays interest to the UK financial institution.*

148. *The interest that the financial institution receives from Company K will not be entitled to the treaty benefit under Article 11(3) of the UK Convention due to application of Article 11(4) a 'back-to-back loan arrangement' (see paragraphs 33 and 127 of this Ruling).*

149. [Omitted.]

Status: **not legally binding**

References

Previous draft:

TR 2004/D16

Related Rulings/Determinations:

TD 2019/8; TR 92/1; TR 97/11; TR 97/16;
TR 2001/13; TR 2002/2; TR 2006/10; TR
2019/1.

Legislative references:

- ITAA 1936
- ITAA 1936 47A(7)
- ITAA 1936 Pt III Div 11A
- ITAA 1936 128B
- ITAA 1936 128F
- ITAA 1936 128F(6)
- ITAA 1936 128F(9)
- ITAA 1936 318
- ITAA 1936 318(1)(e)(i)
- ITAA 1936 318(6)(b)
- ITAA 1953 4(2)
- ITAA 1997
- ITAA 1997 Div 974
- ITAA 1997 974-10(1)
- ITAA 1997 974-10(2)
- ITAA 1997 974-130
- ITAA 1997 974-135
- TAA 1953
- TAA 1953 Pt IVA
- TAA 1953 Sch1 12-245
- TAA 1953 Sch1 12-300
- Banking Act 1959
- Banking Act 1959 5
- Banking Act 1959 8
- Banking Act 1959 9(3)
- Banking Act 1959 66
- Banking Act 1959 66AA
- International Tax Agreements Act 1953
4(2)

Case references:

- American Leaf Blending Co Sdn Bhd v
Director-General of Inland Revenue [1979]
AC 676
- Australian Independent Distributors Ltd v
Winter [1964] HCA 78; (1964) 112 CLR
443
- Bank of NSW v Commonwealth [1948]
HCA 7; (1948) 76 CLR 1
- Brookton Co-operative Society Ltd v
Commissioner of Taxation (Cth) [1981]
HCA 28; (1981) 147 CLR 441; 55 ALJR

479; 35 ALR 295; 11 ATR 880; 81 ATC
4346

- Collis, Marion Elizabeth v Commissioner
of Taxation of the Commonwealth of
Australia Collis, Stephen John v
Commissioner of Taxation of the
Commonwealth of Australia [1996] FCA
733; 96 ATC 4831; 33 ATR 438
- Commissioner for Superannuation v Scott,
F.O. [1987] FCA 98; (1987) 6 AAR 143;
(1987) 12 ALD 38; (1987) 71 ALR 408;
(1987) 13 FCR 404
- Deputy Commissioner of Taxation of the
Commonwealth of Australia v Comcorp
Australia Ltd & Ors [1996] FCA 848; 14
ACLJ 1616; 21 ACSR 590; 70 FCR 356
- Inland Revenue Commissioners v
Westleigh Estates Co Ltd [1924] 1 KB 390
- Melbourne v Commonwealth [1947] HCA
26; (1947) 74 CLR 31
- Spassked Pty Limited v Commissioner of
Taxation [2003] FCAFC 282; 54 ATR 546;
136 FCR 441
- State Savings Bank of Victoria v
Permewan, Wright and Company Ltd
[1914] HCA 83

Other references:

Bank of England, [Historical PRA-regulated
bank and building society lists](#)

The CCH Macquarie Business Dictionary,
CCH Australia Limited, Sydney, 1993.

Convention Between the Government of
Australia and the Government of the United
Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern
Ireland for the Avoidance of Double Taxation
and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with
Respect to Taxes on Income and on Capital
Gains [2003] ATS 22

Convention Between the Government of
Australia and the Government of the United
States of America for the Avoidance of
Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal
Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income
[1983] ATS 16

[Department of the Treasury Technical
Explanation of the Protocol between the
Government of the United States of America
and the Government of Australia signed at
Canberra on September 27, 2001, Amending
the Convention between the United States of
America and the Government of Australia](#)

Status: **not legally binding**

[with Respect to Taxes on Income signed at Sydney on August 6, 1982](#)

Explanatory Memorandum to the International Tax Agreements Amendment Bill 2003

Explanatory Memorandum to the New Business Tax System (Debt and Equity) Bill 2001

Explanatory Memorandum to the Taxation Laws Amendment (Foreign Income) Bill 1990

The Macquarie Dictionary, Second Edition, The Macquarie Library, New South Wales, 1992

ATO references

NO: 1-B97Z35Q

ISSN: 2205-6122

BSL: PGI

ATOlaw topic: International issues ~~ Double tax agreements ~~ Other

International issues ~~ Non-resident Australian income ~~ Interest

Withholding taxes and amounts ~~ Dividends, interest and royalties ~~ Interest

© AUSTRALIAN TAXATION OFFICE FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

You are free to copy, adapt, modify, transmit and distribute this material as you wish (but not in any way that suggests the ATO or the Commonwealth endorses you or any of your services or products).