
## TR 94/13 - Income tax: cotton growers - trading stock and derivation of income under various selling options

UThis cover sheet is provided for information only. It does not form part of *TR* 94/13 - Income tax: cotton growers - trading stock and derivation of income under various selling options

Units document has changed over time. This is a consolidated version of the ruling which was published on *20 October 2010* 



Australian Taxation Office

FOI status: may be released

Taxation Ruling TR 94/13 page 1 of 12

## **Taxation Ruling**

Income tax: cotton growers - trading stock and derivation of income under various selling options

other Rulings on this topic IT 2228; IT 2670

contents para		
What this Ruling is about 1		
Ruling		
A.	Trading stock	2
B.	Derivation of income	7
(a)	pool contracts	8
(b)	cash purchase contracts	9
(c)	grower loans	10
Date of effect		11
Explanations		12
A.	The commodity	14
B.	Selling alternatives	20
(a)	seasonal pools	20
(b)	on-call marketing arrangements	24
(c)	cash purchase contracts	26
(d)	fixed price marketing arrangements	29
(e)	guaranteed minimum price marketing arrangements	30
C.	•	31
		01
D.	Advance Distributions	35
E.	Notifications	38

This Ruling, to the extent that it is capable of being a 'public ruling' in terms of Part IVAAA of the **Taxation Administration Act 1953**, is a public ruling for the purposes of that Part. Taxation Ruling TR 92/1 explains when a Ruling is a public ruling and how it is binding on the Commissioner.

[*Note:* This is a consolidated version of this document. Refer to the ATO Legal Database (http://law.ato.gov.au) to check its currency and to view the details of all changes.]

## What this Ruling is about

- 1. This Ruling provides guidelines on -
  - (i) the application of the trading stock provisions of the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997)<sup>1</sup> to harvested cotton (seed cotton) which is the subject of a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement between the cotton grower and a cotton merchant;
  - the point in time when income is derived by a cotton grower for the purposes of section 6-5 under the various cash purchase contracts or pool marketing agreements that are offered by cotton merchants to growers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All legislative references in this Ruling are to the ITAA 1997.

# Taxation Ruling TR 94/13

page 2 of 12

## Ruling

## A. Trading stock

2. Modules of seed cotton subject to a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with a cotton merchant become the property of the merchant, and are trading stock of the merchant, at the time the module ticket has been accepted by that merchant (or as specified in the cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement) irrespective of whether that occurs on delivery of the module, or before that time. Otherwise the power to dispose of the property remains with the grower and is trading stock of the grower.

3. Bales of raw cotton produced from modules of seed cotton not subject to a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement remain trading stock of the grower. Bales of raw cotton produced from modules of seed cotton the subject of a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with the merchant are trading stock of the merchant. Bales of raw cotton (for which title has not passed at the module stage) which are subject to a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with a merchant are trading stock of the merchant upon delivery to the merchant.

4. Cottonseed (which is a by-product from the processing of seed cotton) produced from modules of seed cotton subject of a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with the merchant will be trading stock of the merchant.

5. Where a grower has exercised the option to take back some or all of the cottonseed, it will become trading stock of the grower from the time it is collected. This is subject to the proviso that the grower intends to re-sell the cottonseed. Where the grower intends to use the cottonseed as stockfeed etc as opposed to holding it for resale, it is not trading stock for purposes of section 70-35.

6. In a ginning only contract, usually property in the cottonseed vests in the merchant after ginning and the cottonseed is pooled. It will then be trading stock of the merchant for section 70-35 purposes. If, however, property in the cottonseed is retained by the grower, it will be trading stock of the grower provided that the grower intends to re-sell the cottonseed.

#### **B.** Derivation of income by cotton growers

7. Cotton growers are assessable on an accruals basis. In accordance with well established principles, income is not derived by a grower until property has passed to the merchant and a debt for an ascertainable sum has been created. (*Farnsworth v. FC of T* (1949) 78 CLR 504, 4 AITR 258, 9 ATD 33, *J. Rowe & Son Pty Ltd v. FC of T* (1971) 124 CLR 421, 71 ATC 4157, 2 ATR 497; *FC of T v. Australian Gas Light Co & Anor* 83 ATC 4800, 15 ATR 105; *Dawson v. Botten* (1952) 10 ATD 252). A final price cannot be ascertained and a debt created until all the variables affecting the price have been fixed.

### (a) **Pool Contracts**

8. Pool contracts provide when a debt will become due and owing by the merchant to a grower. The merchant will usually strike a successive series of payments under a pool arrangement.

#### (i) Seasonal Pools

Distributions of seasonal pool proceeds are income in the grower's hands at the time the pools have been determined and finalised. This is the date when the merchant determines the net price per kilogram (or pound) for the pool to be distributed to growers. This will usually be a short time prior to the date the grower actually receives payment (or is credited to the grower's account), allowing for processing and mailing time. If a merchant makes a non-repayable advance distribution (whether or not at the request of a grower) the distribution is income of the grower in the income year in which the distribution of a certain amount is declared or approved by the merchant (see paragraph 36).

### (ii) On-Call Marketing Arrangements (On-Call Pools)

On-call marketing arrangements (on-call pools) as described in paragraph 24 are generally treated in the same manner as seasonal pools for income tax purposes. Distributions of pool proceeds are income in the grower's hands at the time the pools have been determined and finalised. If a merchant makes a nonrepayable advance distribution (whether or not at the request of a grower) the distribution is income of the grower in the income year in which the advance distribution of a certain amount is declared or approved by the merchant (see paragraph 36).

# (iii) Fixed Price Marketing Arrangements (Fixed Price Pools)

Income is derived by growers at the time of determination of the value of the contract. This determination occurs at the time of classing, which is completed within 14 days of ginning.

## (iv) Guaranteed Minimum Price Marketing Arrangements (Guaranteed Minimum Price Pools)

The guaranteed minimum price is income in the grower's hands at the time of classing, which is completed within 14 days of ginning. If distributions are made in excess of the minimum price, these are assessable to the growers when declared by the merchant.

## (b) Cash purchase contracts

9. Proceeds from cash purchase contracts become income in the grower's hands at the time of determination of the value of the contract. This determination occurs at the time of classing, which is within 14 days of ginning.

## (c) Grower loans

10. Grower loans which are made available by the merchants to growers on a commercial basis (ie. repayable, interest bearing and at commercial rates) are not income derived by the growers. However, if these requirements are not satisfied, the payments will be treated as assessable advance distributions at the time the payment is approved by the merchant.

## **Date of effect**

11. This Ruling applies to the 1993-94 income year and later income years.

page 4 of 12

FOI status: may be released

## Taxation Ruling TR 94/13 page 5 of 12

## **Explanations**

12. In the cotton industry the cotton 'processor' and the cotton 'merchant' with respect to purchase, pool marketing and ginning contracts entered into with growers, are often the same corporate entity. This means that a ginning contract and a purchase contract or pool marketing agreement may be undertaken with the same corporate entity by a cotton grower. For the purposes of this Ruling, the corporate entity is generally referred to as the 'merchant'.

13. However, it is also common for a grower to have the harvested cotton (seed cotton) ginned by a processor that does not have the cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with respect to the processed (raw) cotton. This may be with another cotton merchant that can also be a processor (ginner) in its own right.

## A. The commodity

14. Harvesting of seed cotton commences as early as March and ends as late as November. As the seed cotton is harvested it is usually compressed into modules ready for transport from the farm to the cotton gin. The modules of seed cotton are delivered from time to time to a processor under the terms of a cotton ginning or ginning and cotton purchase contract/pool marketing agreement. Processing ('ginning') involves the separation of seed cotton into cottonseed and raw cotton, and the cleaning and baling of the raw cotton. Cottonseed is usually used in seed oil production but can also be used as stockfeed. The cottonseed is rarely used for planting next season's crop. Growers purchase planting seed for this purpose.

15. Typically a grower will enter into a seed cotton or raw cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with the processormerchant or independent merchant at an early stage in the growing season or even before the growing season, although in other cases the contract may be entered into after harvest. Delivery will normally occur upon acceptance by the purchaser of the module ticket, or by delivery of the baled cotton, or as specified in the cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement.

# Taxation Ruling TR 94/13

page 6 of 12

16. Each grower enters into a ginning contract with a processor irrespective of whether the grower retains title to the ginned cotton or passes title to a cotton merchant. In some cases the agreement between the grower and the processor will only be for ginning the seed cotton with title remaining with the grower. In those cases, the grower may enter into a contract with a merchant for the sale of the processed cotton (hereinafter called raw cotton) and the cottonseed. In most cases only the raw cotton is sold to or marketed with the merchant with the cottonseed remaining with the grower or being sold to the processor-merchant. In other cases the grower will enter into a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with a processor-merchant covering both the ginning of seed cotton and the sale of raw cotton and cottonseed. The processor makes a ginning charge in accordance with the particular contract.

17. The raw cotton is baled and sold. Growers who do not enter into cash purchase contracts (or derivatives thereof) participate in the proceeds of the sale of raw cotton on a pool basis. Traditional pool marketing agreement alternatives available to growers from the processor-merchants or independent merchants are seasonal pool and on-call pool contracts. Fixed price agreements and guaranteed minimum price agreements are not traditional pool marketing agreements, as they are more akin to cash purchase contracts.

18. When the seed cotton is ginned and the raw cotton baled, a bale number is allocated to the bale. These bales are then removed to warehouses owned by the processor-merchant, independent merchants or third parties. The bales of purchased or pooled cotton are sold by the processor-merchant or independent merchant under the terms of the cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement. For traditional pool marketing agreements, although the bales or raw cotton can still be identified by their numbered tags as cotton of a particular grower, because of the pool marketing system applied in respect of the sale of cotton (see *Farnsworth v. FC of T*), the grower participates in the distribution of the *proceeds* of the pool rather than receiving the proceeds of sale of their specific bales of raw cotton.

19. The cottonseed is usually pooled and sold to seed oil producers and merchants regardless of the type of contract entered into (cash purchase, pooling, or ginning only). Most contracts, however, give the grower an option to take back some or all of their cottonseed entitlement. When pooled, the cottonseed from all growers is inextricably mixed together following ginning and entitlements to income from that cottonseed are generally calculated by reference to the weight of raw cotton produced by each grower. The only rare exception to this general rule is where a grower is able to receive his own cottonseed by having cottonseed deposited directly into the grower's vehicle during the ginning process.

## **B.** Selling alternatives

#### (a) Seasonal pools

20. The traditional form of pool marketing by growers was to deliver their seed cotton to a statutory authority or other processormerchant which would pool both the raw cotton and the cottonseed. Early distributions from the pools were received by the growers in respect of both raw cotton and cottonseed pools and final distributions were made once the season's returns had been finalised.

21. Pools are now not only run by the traditional processormerchants but are also run by some independent merchants (for the raw cotton only).

22. Essential features of pooled raw cotton and cottonseed marketing agreements are -

- (i) title in seed cotton passes from the grower to the cotton merchant upon delivery. Delivery is normally regarded as being effected upon the acceptance by the processor of a module ticket (or as specified in the cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement), which often occurs while the cotton is still on the grower's premises. Title in bales of raw cotton (for which title has not passed at module stage) which are subject to a cotton purchase contract or pool marketing agreement with a merchant, passes on delivery to the merchant, or as specified in the contract/agreement.
- bales of raw cotton and cottonseed produced from the seed cotton are pooled with other raw cotton and cottonseed.
- (iii) the merchant determines each grower's percentage interest in a pool in accordance with the terms of the pool marketing agreement (principally according to weight and grade).
- (iv) in determining the value of the seasonal pool the merchants operating the raw cotton and cottonseed pools are inter alia authorised to sell and deliver the raw cotton and cottonseed produced to third parties, enter into cotton futures contracts and currency hedging contracts or option contracts related thereto on terms and conditions determined by the respective merchant.

(v) the normal terms and conditions under seasonal pool marketing agreements state that proceeds for raw cotton or cottonseed are not available until the pools have been determined and finalised. Merchants may make distributions from the pool prior to the finalisation of the pool.

- (vi) after all the cotton in the pool has been sold, deductions are made for transport and storage costs and also ginning costs (where the merchant is also the processor) for all the cotton in the pool in determining the final amount available for distribution to growers.
- (vii) where the merchant is not the processor, the raw cotton is normally delivered to or collected by the merchant from the gin within 10 days of ginning.
- (viii) a sample of cotton is taken from each bale of raw cotton at the time of ginning and forwarded to the merchant or nominated classing organisation. This sample is then used to determine the grade of the bale.

23. A grower is assessable on income from the pool at the time a debt for an ascertainable sum is established. Generally, this is not until the pool is determined and finalised, subject to any advance distributions (discussed in paragraphs 35 to 37). This is the date when the merchant determines the net price per kilogram (or pound) for the pool to be distributed to growers.

## (b) On-Call Marketing Arrangements (On-Call Pools)

24. A grower may elect to market his cotton through an 'on-call pool' whereby the grower is able to instruct the merchant to enter into cotton futures and currency hedging contracts for the grower's benefit. Under certain arrangements the cotton futures and currency hedge values plus the cash price are the essential components for calculating the amount the grower will receive under the agreement once the pool has been determined and finalised, or as otherwise determined by the terms of the contract.

25. In many cases a grower using an on-call pool will know the gross sale proceeds some time prior to the pool being finalised. However as the deduction for transport and storage costs (a variable amount but representing a significant proportion of the gross sale price) for the pool cannot be ascertained until the pool is finalised, no debt for an ascertainable sum can be established until then.

#### (c) Cash Purchase Contracts

26. Cash purchase contracts have now become a common method of selling raw cotton by a grower. The purchaser could be any one of the merchants whether a processor-merchant or an independent merchant. The agreement may be entered into well before harvest and ginning or well after harvest and ginning.

- 27. The essential features of a cash purchase contract are -
  - (i) an agreement is made between the grower and merchant on a price per kg or price per pound in Australian Dollars (AUD) or United States Dollars (USD). This price is based on a standard grade of cotton and is subject to a discount/premium based on actual grade of cotton produced.
  - (ii) where the merchant is not the processor, the raw cotton is normally delivered to or collected by the merchant from the gin within 10 days of ginning.
  - (iii) a sample of cotton is taken from each bale of raw cotton at the time of ginning and forwarded to the merchant or nominated classing organisation. This sample is then used to determine the grade of the bale after which the value of the raw cotton is able to be determined.
  - (iv) full payment is normally made to the grower by the merchant on an agreed number of days after ginning. It is accepted by the industry that 14 days is an adequate time for the merchant to take delivery of the samples and bales and arrange for the classing tests to determine the value of the raw cotton.

28. Under cash purchase contracts, a debt for an ascertainable sum is established when the value of the contract is determined. This is at the time of classing, which is within 14 days of ginning.

### (d) Fixed price marketing arrangements (fixed price pools)

29. These are similar to cash purchase contracts in that the merchants guarantee the return to the grower subject to normal grade and other quality variables. A debt is established at the time of classing regardless of the grower not being entitled to payment until the pool is finalised.

FOI status: may be released

page 10 of 12

# (e) Guaranteed minimum price marketing arrangements (guaranteed minimum price pools)

30. A few merchants also offer guaranteed minimum price arrangements. These are similar to cash purchase contracts in that a minimum price (subject to quality discount/premium) is guaranteed under the terms of the contract. A debt in relation to the minimum price is created with the grower under these contracts at the time of classing, which is within 14 days of ginning. Further payments in excess of the guaranteed minimum price may be made to growers, but this is at the total discretion of the merchant. A debt in relation to each further payment is established at the time it is declared by the merchant.

## C. Grower loans

31. Due to the time lag between sowing the crop, harvesting the crop and receiving payment for the crop, many growers need working capital to finance the next year's operation and/or repay borrowings received from other financiers. Growers commonly have the facility to borrow from the merchant using their crops or cotton as security for these borrowings.

32. The sophisticated Treasury functions of the merchants, and relevant State legislation, enable the merchants in some States to act as financiers in funding grower operations. In competing for a grower's business a particular merchant may be able to offer reduced borrowing charges, competitive lending rates and more favourable repayment terms.

33. The grower's debt would be made up of the loan principal plus interest to the date of repayment. As the grower becomes entitled to cotton proceeds and a debt becomes owing by the merchant to the grower there is usually an agreement that these proceeds are applied to discharge the grower's debt to the merchant.

34. The lending function is not to be confused with an advance distribution of income to growers as permitted under the terms of some cotton purchase contracts/pool marketing agreements entered into with merchants. Loans are distinguishable by being drawn down at the discretion of the grower, repayable, and bearing interest at commercial rates.

## **D.** Advance distributions

35. It is also common (particularly in pool contracts) for the merchant to make one or more advance distributions to growers prior to the final amount becoming payable. A debt is established in relation to each distribution at the time the merchant declares/approves a distribution of a certain amount.

36. In relation to a pool, if a merchant were to declare on 31 May 2008 advance distributions of \$100 per bale payable immediately; and \$50 payable at each of June 30, August 31 & October 31, these amounts not being subject to pool results, then the whole \$250 would be assessed to the grower in the year ended 30 June 2008. A debt for an ascertainable amount (\$250) is established on 31 May. Alternatively, if the declaration was made on the same day but the amounts payable were expressed as a percentage of average price achieved for the pool up to the time of payment (eg 20% immediately and 10% at each of June 30, August 31 and October 31), then no debt is established until the merchant calculates the value of that percentage. In this situation, only the amounts payable on May 31 and June 30 would be assessed to the grower in the year ended 30 June 2008.

37. 'Loans' that do not meet the criteria for grower loans outlined in paragraph 10 will also be treated as assessable advance distributions to the grower.

### E. Notifications

38. In seasonal pool, on-call pool and advance distribution cases, the merchant should notify a grower of the date which the relevant determination, declaration or approval was made. This date is the time when the grower derives income.

39. In cash purchase contract, fixed price pool and guaranteed minimum price pool cases (income derived at time of classing), when it is apparent that classing would have occurred near June 30, then the grower should make enquiries as to the exact date of classing. This will enable the grower to return the income in the correct year.

## **Commissioner of Taxation** 14 April 1994

## Taxation Ruling TR 94/13

page 12 of 12

ATO references NO BO BRI 0018

Previously released in draft form as TR 93/D29

Price \$1.10

FOI index detail reference number I 1014222

subject referencescotton

- derivation of income
- primary production
- trading stock

legislative references

- ITAA 1997 6-5; ITAA 1997 70-35

case references

- FC of T v. Australian Gas Light Co. 83 ATC 4800; (1983) 15 ATR 105
- Dawson v. Botten (1952) 10 ATD 252
- Farnsworth v. FC of T (1949) 78 CLR 504; 4 AITR 258; 9 ATD 33
- J Rowe & Son Pty Ltd v. FC of T (1971) 124 CLR 421; 71 ATC 4157; 2 ATR 497

FOI status: may be released